APPENDIX C BUILD-OUT CALCULATIONS

BUILD-OUT ANALYSIS

A build-out analysis is designed to provide a general idea of the magnitude of development which could occur at some time in the future. For some municipalities, this time horizon is near term, for others it may be many decades in the future. It is a tool for estimation of the service infrastructure needed to support development and opportunities, e.g. transit, which may come to be in response to concentrations of development. Other than approved projects, the market pressure to develop in one location or another cannot be precisely nailed down. At the broad, regional level, build-out will most likely differ from municipal calculations if they have been done through calculation of the potential of specific vacant parcels. In all events, the results cannot be guaranteed to occur during any particular time period. These can be, however, a useful tool to evaluate the general impact of a particular zoning scheme. This analysis shall be reworked for Highlands communities once the Highlands Council have prepared and adopted a complete (per the Highlands Water Protection and Planning Act) Regional Master Plan and regulations therefore. Additionally, municipal decisions on opt-in will be critical to this effort.

The municipal and Hydrologic Unit Category (HUC) build out analysis is based upon various combinations of the following factors:

Developable land by zone – This measures the land remaining after areas of wetlands, streams, ponds, lakes (and associated buffers), severe slopes (35% or greater), developed lands, park and other preserved lands have been subtracted from the gross acreage in a zone or HUC.

Zone requirements – This sets the regulatory constraints for development, including minimum lot size, floor area ratio, density.

Anticipated lot yield – This is the number of developable lots which may be created from a tract of land. This number is reduced by 25% due to the fact that roads, tract shape and location of physical barriers to development reduce the lots (if any), which may result from subdivision.

Specific limitations e.g. NJPDES Individual Permit – In areas not served by sewerage treatment facilities, industrial development is limited to 15,999 square feet per lot regardless of lot size. This is the result of the requirement for an individual permit for generator of waste in excess of 2000 gallons per lot per day and the factors used by the DEP to calculate the volume

Water and/or sewerage treatment allocation – Where, for example in Andover Borough, a development proposal has some limitation due to available waste treatment capacity, the build-out of that tract is more precisely identified. In a municipality with allocation but no active development approvals, e.g. Hopatcong Borough there is little effect caused by waste treatment.

Impervious coverage limitations – Impervious coverage is a surrogate used to limit the amount of development on a parcel of land. For example, a 50% limitation on coverage allows up to one-half the parcel to be paved or built upon. For commercial or industrial uses requiring parking, access drives, and so forth, one square foot of coverage yields approximately 0.4 square feet of building area. Impervious coverage in single family detached residential areas has no impact on unit yield. The impact is felt where multifamily housing is permitted.

Residential Development

The vast majority of residential zoning in Sussex County is large lot single family detached housing. Here, the lot yield from a given area of developable land is reduced by a factor of 25%. This factor takes into account the loss of lots due to tract shape and the location of constraining elements on the tract. Some tracts, although shown as being developable, cannot be reached and yield no development. Many, if not most, are limited by the location of streams and wetlands beyond the buffer area requirements of NJDEP regulations. Where a Category One stream bisects a property, it will not be crossed. Not all the density thus cut off will be environmentally transferable, thereby reducing the ostensible lot yield. Given these and other common scenarios, the 25% factor is very conservative, probably yielding greater levels of development than will actually be realized.

Nonresidential Development

Non residential development will be affected in much the same ways as its residential counterpart. Lot yield, however, will be less important in the calculation of generated space as the 2000 gallon per day NJDEP limitation will hold development to 15,999 square feet of building per lot for industrial uses and small commercial groupings. For purposes of calculating the area of commercial and industrial space located in the County, an area of 200 square feet per worker has been used. One half of the development is assigned to the Highlands and the other to the remainder of the County. There are 38,000 jobs in the County. This, multiplied by 200 square feet per job, equals 7,600,000 square feet of space. If one half of this lies in the Highlands, 3,800,000 @ 2000 square feet per 225 gallon unit yields 1900 units. If Highlands areas generate only 148 gallons per acre per day of available recharge, two thousand square feet of space requires, on average, 1.5 acres of land. If there are 3,800,000 square feet of space in the Highlands, 2,889 acres of unpaved land are required to support it. Depending on the specific aquifer, a 100,000 square foot facility would require thirty-two to eighty acres to safely support its operation.

Areas Served by Wastewater Treatment Facilities

The principal limitation to larger scale development in Sussex County has historically been the availability of wastewater treatment. As noted, the vast majority of the Sussex County area is dependent upon septic systems for waste treatment. However, even those area with available capacity are more limited than the counties to the south and east. The major receiving stream, the Delaware River is off limits as it is a Wild and Scenic River, with the Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area acting as a barrier. Additional streams in the County are relatively small as they are headwaters. The NJDEP has also ceased to issue permits for surface discharge of treated waste effluent.

The net result is that the future of compact development in Sussex County is limited to the capacity of those already permitted facilities with surface discharge (See Exhibit 15, Existing and Proposed Sewer Service Areas) and new facilities discharging highly treated effluent to groundwater. This can only occur where there are highly permeable soils such as glacial outwash capable of absorbing discharges of some magnitude.

Additionally, a review of the acreage zoned for non-residential uses in light of available water supplies indicates that the county is significantly overzoned for its carrying capacity.

Buildable Lands

The first step in preparing a build-out analysis is to evaluate the resource base and particularly those elements which affect the ability of land to sustain development. Lands which are permanently preserved, currently occupied, wetlands, excessive slopes, subject to regulatory restrictions (Category 1 streams and associated buffers), flood plains, etc. are not considered developable in this context. That analysis, presented below, yields the amount of land, by municipality and by zone which remains potentially developable. The Highlands has not been included in this calculation as the regulations and master plan have not yet been completed. It may be safely assumed, however, that development within the Highlands core will be significantly curtailed in the future. In addition to the above, allowance was made for substandard lots in lake communities.

Once the land area available for development has been calculated, the zoning standards for each municipality are applied to the developable lands. This calculation requires us to make assumptions based on sewer capacity, NJDEP permit limitations, maximizing density and impervious coverage, and without variances. With those understood, the following charts provide estimated build-out for Sussex County, by municipality, by zone.

Residential Units/Lots Potential Build-out by Municipality

Municipality Zone	Zone Name	Minimum Lot Size (acres)	Developable Acres			
Andover Borough						
R-1A	Residential	0.344	1.31	2		
R-1	Residential	2.000	554.21	193		
R-2	Residential	0.230	0.00	0		
R-3	Residential	0.230	0.69	2		
Sum % of County T	otal - 0.81%			197		
Andover Township						
PRC	Planned Retirement Community	200.0 (1.5 units/acre	87.13	91		
R-2/A-1	Single Family Residentia /Airport 1	al 1.0	0.57	0		
SR	Special Residential	5.0 (3630sq.ft./bed)	28.81	241		
R-3	Single-Family Residenti	al 3.0	425.80	99		
R-2.5	Single Family Residentia	al 2.5	130.75	36		
R-2	Single-Family Residenti	al 1.997	2108.49	739		
R-1	Single Family Residentia	al 1.033	136.82	92		
R-0.5	Single Family Residentian Development	al 0.459	58.56	89		
ML	Mount Laurel	0.344	71.26	145		
MFR	Multi-Family Residentia	1 5.0 (9 units/acre)	10.78	67		
R-0.5/A	Single Family Residentia 0.5/Airport	al 0.459	25.05	38		
Sum						
Branchville Borough						
R-1	Residential District	0.344	32.39	65		
R-2	Residential District	0.344	0.00	0		
R-3	Residential District	0.459	49.66	75		
Municipality Zone	Zone Name		•	Potential		
		(acres)	Acres	Units/Lots		

Sum	% of County To	tal – 0.58%			140
Byra	m Township				
	R-1	Single Family Residential	5.0	730.11	102
	R-1A	Single Family Residential	3.5	126.07	25
	R-2	Single Family Residential	0.918	863.04	658
	R-3	Single Family Residential	0.459	278.35	424
	R-4	Single Family Residential	0.230	83.62	254
	Sum % of County To	tal - 6.78%			1633
Fran	kford Township				
	AR-5	Agricultural/Residential	5.0	6051.58	1210
	Sum % of County To	tol 5 029/			1210
	76 of County 10	tai – 3.02 /0			
Fran	klin Borough				
	R-1	Residential	3.0	446.24	104
	R-3	Residential	0.344	7.44	15
	R-2	Residential	1.0	42.32	29
	R-4	Residential	0.143	12.67	62
	MF	Multi-Family Residential	50 (15 units/acre)	32.00	0
	ZM	Zinc Mine Zone	20 (35 units/acre)	21.00	490
	MHP	Modular Home Park	25.0	7.67	0
	MAAH	Mixed Active Adult Housing	70 (3.05 units/acre)	169.00	515
	Q	Quarry	1.0 (1 unit/ 3 acre)	37.35	12
	HMF	Hospital Multi-Family Zone	15.0 (6 units/acre)	18.36	90
Sum % of County Total – 5.47%					
Fred	on Township				
	AR-6	Agricultural-Residential	6.000	4092.25	477
	ER-1	Existing Residential	1.000	93.15	65
	AR-2	Agricultural-Residential	2.000	76.80	26
	PD	Planned Development	1.5	106.94	49

Municipality	Zone	Zone Name	Minin (acr	num Lot Size	Developable Acres	Potential Units/Lots
Green Townsh	ip					
AR-5/2		Agricultural-Residential	1	5.0	3397.01	475
R-1		Residential		1.0	53.21	37
R-1.5 Sum % of C	ounty T	Residential otal – 2.29%		1.5	42.25	19 531
	·	Otai — 2.27 / 0				
Hamburg Boro	ough	Dlamad Davidanment		1.0	21.15	1.4
PD		Planned Development		1.0	21.15	14
RR		Residential-Medium Density		0.230	63.38	192
RO		Residential Office		0.344	0.00	0
PR		Planned Residential-Hig Density	gh	0.115	6.20	37
ARPR		Age Restricted Planned High-Density	Res.	20.0	approved for:	67
Sum						310
% of C	ounty To	tal – 1.28%				
Hampton Tov	vnship					
R-3	· F	Single Family Residenti	ial	3.0	2970.19	693
R-2		Single Family Residenti	ial	2.0	2760.79	966
R-1.5		Single Family Residenti	ial	1.5	299.79	139
APT/TI	Н	Apartment/ Townhouse Multi-Family District		15.0 (5 units/a	cre) 42.13	150
Sum	ounty To	tal – 7.51%				1808
70 UI C	ounty 10	tai – 7.31 /0				
Hardyston To	wnship					
R-4		Medium Density		0.222	52.58	165
MIDD-	10	Residential (Multi-Fan Minimum Impact Development District (10.0 (0.1 units	/acre) 562.57	39
MIDD-	5	Minimum Impact		5.0 (0.2 units/a	ncre) 1209.58	169
MIDD-	3	Development District (Minimum Impact	(5)	3.33 (0.3 units.	/acre) 1164.77	244

617

	R-3	Development District (3) Lakeside Residential	0.344	30.15	87
	R-C Sum	Residential Commercial	0.918	59.45	45 749
	% of County To	tal - 3.11%			
Hopa	tcong Borough				
	R-1	Residential-Medium Density	0.344	271.40	552
	R-2	Residential	1.377	96.29	48
	R-2T	Townhouse Residential	25.0 (5 units/acre)	8.73	0
	R-3	Residential-Low Density	5.000	537.61	75
	RPD	Residential Planned	3.000	206.16	48
	MPD	Development Multiple Purpose Development	3.000	116.50	38
	Sum % of County To	tal – 3.16%			761
Lafayo	ette Township				
·	R-2.5	Residential	2.500	236.27	66
	R-4.0	Residential	4.000	1035.62	181
	R-5.0	Residential	5.000	2109.35	295
	VR	Village Residential	0.344	17.0	34
	Sum % of County To	tal – 2.39%			576
Monta	ngue Township				
	R-4	High Density Single Family	0.390 (9 units/acre max)	144.17	258
	R-4SC	Planned Adult Residential Community Zone	0.390	41.07	73
	R	Low Density Single Family	3.000	4273.12	997
	Sum % of County To	tal – 5.52%			1328
Newt	on				
	R-4	High Density Residential	0.293	2.07	5

R-TH	Medium/ High Density Residential	0.293	12.90	30
R-3	Medium Density Residential	0.207	21.22	71
R-2	Low/ Medium Density Residential	0.293	177.33	423
R-1	Low Density Residential	0.482	86.57	125
PRD-A	Planned Residential Development	40.000 (5 units/acre)	25.38	126
Sum % of County	Total – 3.24%			780
Ogdensburg Boroug	h			
R-10	Residential	0.230	0.26	0
R-100	Residential	2.296	1.97	0
R-15	Residential	0.344	19.37	39
R-30	Residential	0.689	8.08	8
R-40	Residential	0.918	20.77	15
RMF	Residential - Single and	25.000 (5 units/acre)	27.99	125
	Multi-Family			
Sum % of County	Multi-Family Total - 0.77%			187
	Total - 0.77%			187
% of County	Total - 0.77%	0.230	226	187 687
% of County Sandyston Township	Total - 0.77%	0.230 2.296	226 6.17	
% of County Sandyston Township LC	Total - 0.77% Lake Community			687
% of County Sandyston Township LC V	Total - 0.77% Lake Community Village Medium Mountain Residential Valley Residential-	2.296	6.17	687 2
% of County Sandyston Township LC V D	Lake Community Village Medium Mountain Residential Valley Residential- Agricultural Walpack Ridge	2.296 1.837	6.17 480.39	687 2 183
% of County Sandyston Township LC V D B	Lake Community Village Medium Mountain Residential Valley Residential- Agricultural Walpack Ridge Residential-Agricultural Mountain Residential-	2.296 1.837 1.377	6.17 480.39 1247.92	687 2 183 634
% of County Sandyston Township LC V D B A C Sum	Lake Community Village Medium Mountain Residential Valley Residential- Agricultural Walpack Ridge Residential-Agricultural	2.2961.8371.3772.755	6.17 480.39 1247.92 972.79	687 2 183 634 247
% of County Sandyston Township LC V D B A C Sum	Lake Community Village Medium Mountain Residential Valley Residential- Agricultural Walpack Ridge Residential-Agricultural Mountain Residential- Agricultural	2.2961.8371.3772.755	6.17 480.39 1247.92 972.79	687 2 183 634 247 128
% of County Sandyston Township LC V D B A C Sum % of County	Lake Community Village Medium Mountain Residential Valley Residential- Agricultural Walpack Ridge Residential-Agricultural Mountain Residential- Agricultural	2.2961.8371.3772.755	6.17 480.39 1247.92 972.79	687 2 183 634 247 128
% of County Sandyston Township LC V D B A C Sum % of County Sparta Township	Lake Community Village Medium Mountain Residential Valley Residential- Agricultural Walpack Ridge Residential-Agricultural Mountain Residential- Agricultural Total – 7.82%	2.2961.8371.3772.7554.591	6.17 480.39 1247.92 972.79 844.19	687 2 183 634 247 128 1881

		Constraints			
I	RC-2	Rural Conservation/ Residential	5.000	966.20	135
I	RC-1	Rural Conservation/ Residential	5.000	2.75	0
I	R-4	Residential/ Professional	0.459	4.98	7
I	R-2	Residential	0.459	95.91	146
ľ	MF-1,2,3	Multi-Family	20.00/3.00(5.5 units/acre)	28.01	107
I	R-3	Residential	0.321	57.37	125
	Sum % of County Tot	al – 4.49 %			1080
Stanho	pe Borough				
I	HR	High Density Residential	5.000 (10 units/acre)	6.03	60
I	HVR	Historic Village Residential	0.250	3.50	9
I	MR	Medium Density Residential	0.333	91.07	191
I	RC	Residential Conservation	5.000	40.42	5
1	MLR	Medium-Low Density Residential	1.000	65.86	46
I	LR	Low Density Residential	2.00	0	0
	Sum % of County Tot	al - 1.29%			311
Stillwat	ter Township				
I	R-30	Residential	0.689	58.18	59
I	R-5	Residential	5.000	3194.57	447
I	R-7.5	Residential	7.500	4113.58	383
	Sum % of County Tot	al – 3.69%			889
Sussex E	Borough				
I	R-1	Low Density Residential	0.230	20.46	118
I	R-2	Medium Density Residential	0.344	1.96	5
I	R-3	High Density Residential (Garden Apartments)	3.000	0.21	8
	Sum % of County Tot	al - 0.54%			131

Municipality (ac	Zone eres)	Zone Name	Minimum Lot Size Acres	Developable Units/Lots	Potential
Vernon Town	ship				
PLC		Private Lake Communit Residential	y 0.230	596.05	1814
R-4		Single-Family Residenti	al 0.230	24.73	75
R-3		Single-Family Residenti	ial 0.689	152.36	154
R-1		Single-Family Residenti	ial 2.755	1442.80	366
R-2		Single-Family Residenti	ial 1.377	1785.77	907
Sum % of C	ounty Tot	tal – 13.77%			3316
Wantage Tow	nship				
RC		Residential-Commercial	0.918	77.97	59
ML		Mount Laurel	0.167	104.44	437
M-R		Multi-Family Residentia	al 25.00(6 units/acre)	121.40	600
E-5		Residential Environs	5.00	10238.58	1433
R-2		Residential	0.258	54.01	146
Sum % of C	ounty Tot	tal – 11.11%			2675
				Grand Total	24064

Commercial Square Footage Potential by Zone Build-out

Municipality/Zone	Zone Name	Minimum Lot Acres	Developable Square Feet	Total Size (Acres)		
Andover Boroug	gh					
C-1	Commercial	0.5	3.4	15,240		
C-2	Shopping Center	5.0	2.4	13,462		
C-3	Office, Shopping Center & limited Industrial	4.0	7.3	41,367		
IP	Industrial Park	5.0	28.5	161,284		
Sum % County	total		246 3.2%	411,357 1.3%		
Andover Towns	hip					
B/A	Business/Airport	0.5	33.1	183,500		
BPO/R/A	Business	1.4	1.2	6,736		
	Professional Office/Resider	ntial/Airport				
CB	Community Business	0.5	22.3	118,532		
CR/A-2	Community Recreation/Airport-2	15.0	31.4	32,000		
HC	Highway Commercial	1.4	45.5	257,675		
I	Industrial	3.0	399.4	1,391,733		
I/A-2	Industrial/Airport-2	3.0	66.0	230,136		
Sum			599	2,220,311		
% County	total		7.8%	6.8%		
Branchville Bor	ough					
C	Commercial District	0.3	0.0	0		
НС	Highway Commercial District	0.9	4.0	22,925		
O	Professional & Office District	0.9	18.5	104,526		
Sum % County	⁷ Total		23 0.3%	127,450 0.4%		
Byram Township						
B-1	Highway Commercial	0.9	31.8	171,964		
B-2	Shopping Center Commercial	5.0	54.9	310,776		
C-R	Commercial- Recreation	20.0	70.2	36,478		
ICP	Industrial Commercial Park	2.5	212.7	852,794		
Sum	- · · · · - · · · ·		370	1,372,012		
% County	total		4.8%	4.2%		

Municipality Zone	Zone Name	Minimum Lot Acres	Developable Square Feet	Total Size (Acres)
Frankford Town	ship		-	
C-1	Commercial	0.9	11.1	0
C-2	Commercial	5.0	259.4	1,425,082
C-3	Commercial	0.9	102.3	555,388
C-R	Commercial/	100.0	247.2	160,667
	Recreation Resort			,
LI	Light Industry	3.0	1015.2	517,536
Sum	2		725	2,658,674
% County	Total		9.4%	8.1%
·				
Franklin Boroug	g h			
B-1	Business	0.1	0.5	1,395
B-2	Business	0.3	0.6	3,441
HC-2	Highway	2.9	4.5	25,212
	Commercial			•
HC-3	Highway Commercial	0.5	10.9	61,945
HC-4	Highway	2.9	48.4	274,244
	Commercial			•
I	Industrial	4.8	18.9	64,914
LC-1	Limited Commercial	4.0	1.2	6,792
LC-2	Limited Commercial	23.0	102.5	580,250
Q	Quarry	2.8	4.3	24,615
Sum	· ·		196	1,063,732
% County	Total		2.6%	3.2%
v				
Fredon Townshi	D			
LI	Light Industrial	5.0	61.7	128,257
TC	Town Center	0.7	50.3	285,060
Sum			113	413,317
% County	Total		1.5%	1.3%
Green Township	1			
-	Agricultural	10.0	312.5	309,010
	Industrial			,
В	Business	1.5	177.3	964,776
Sum			490	1,273,786
% County	total		6.4%	3.9%
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			,	
Hamburg Borou	gh			
BC	Borough Center	0.0	0.3	
CR	Commercial-	3.0	7.7	43,516
011	Recreation			.0,010
НС	Highway	0.3	7.4	38,946
-10	Commercial			,
I	Light Industrial	1.8	38.3	216,647
LI	Limited Industrial	1.8	0.3	-14,328
PC	Planned Commercial	1.8	0.0	0
Sum			54	284,781
% County	Total		0.7%	0.9%
70 County			0 / 0	0 • 2 / 0

Municipality Zone	Zone Name	Minimum Lot Acres	Developable Square Feet	Total Size (Acres)
Hampton Towns	ship			
НС	Highway Commercial	2.0	18.0	49,577
HC-MFG	Highway-Commercial Manufacturing-Industrial	2.0	124.4	460,600
HC-R	Highway-Commercial Residential	2.0	29.0	164,339
HC-RD	Highway-Commercial Research-Development	5.0	56.4	319,408
Sum	1		228	993,924
% County	Total		3.0%	3.0%
Hardyston Town				
B-1	Neighborhood Commercial	0.5	9.5	38,026
B-2	Highway Business	0.9	5.6	7,863
C-R	Commercial Recreation	0.1	285.7	870,306
I-1	Limited Industrial	3.0	384.1	2,070,254
I-2	Medium Industrial	3.0	179.1	1,014,306
Sum			864	4,000,755
% County	Total		11.3%	12.2%
Hopatcong Boro	_	0.0		20.204
B-1	Neighborhood	0.3	7.6	38,304
5.44	Business	o =	0.0	0
B-1A	Retail Business Zone	0.5	0.0	0
B-2	Community Business	0.5	9.4	49,306
M-1	Light Manufacturing	2.0	47.4	216,108
M-2	Light Manufacturing And Extraction	2.0	262.1	1,467,022
Sum			327	1,770,740
% County	Total Total		4.3%	5.4%
I ofovotto Toveno	hin			
Lafayette Towns	-	3.0	62.2	210.570
EI	Extractive Industrial		63.3	219,579
НС	Highway Commercial	3.0	103.2	283,829
LI	Light Industrial	3.0	327.3	958,501
VC S	Village Commercial	1.0	18.4 512	104,394
Sum	Total		512 6.7%	1,576,030 4.8%
% County	Total		0.7%	4.0 %
Montague Town	ship			
HC	Highway Commercial	1.0	310.5	1,758,327
NC	Neighborhood	1.0	72.0	402,219
110	Commercial		. 2.0	10-,-17
Sum			382	2,160,547
% County	Total		5.0%	6.6%
•				

Municipality Zone	Zone Name	Minimum Lot Acres	Developable Square Feet	Total Size (Acres)
Newton				
C-1	Professional & Office	0.2	2.2	6,850
C-2	Retail Service	0.2	0.0	0
C-3	Highway Commercial	0.2	5.0	17,282
	Retail			- , -
C-4	General Commercial	0.2	4.1	14,461
M-1	Limited Industrial	2.0	42.6	189,028
MXD	Mixed Use	5.0	0.0	0
Sum			54	227,620
% County	Total		0.7%	0.7%
Ogdensburg Bor	_			
BC	Borough Center	0.2	1.5	8,390
	(Commercial)			
CR	Commercial/Retail	0.5	6.5	29,059
IC	industrial/Commercial	5.0	35.1	72,946
LI/C	Light Industrial/	2.0	15.9	72,547
a	Commercial		7 0	100.041
Sum	TD 4.1		59	182,941
% County	1 otal		0.8%	0.6%
Sandyston Town	achin			
CI	Commercial-	0.0	185.1	1,000,000
CI	Industrial	0.0	105.1	1,000,000
CS	Commercial Service	0.0	0.5	2,873
Sum	Commercial Service	0.0	186	1,002,873
% County	7 Total		2.4%	3.1%
70 County	10001		2,0	0,17,0
Sparta Townshi	p			
C-1	Community Commercial	0.2	6.3	21,427
C-1H	Commercial Historic	0.2	4.3	20,586
C-2	Commercial/Professional	0.5	18.1	98,383
E-D	Economic Development	1.8	330.9	1,650,047
E-D1	Economic Development/	1.8	30.6	173,338
	Low & Moderate Cost Inco	ome		
PCD	Planned Commercial	1.0	32.7	185,230
	Development			
TCC	Town Center	0.2	9.8	55,439
	Commercial			
TCCO	Town Center	0.5	0.7	-93
	Commercial Office			
TCLC	Town Center	10.0	14.6	82,847
	Limited Commercial			
TCLM	Town Center- Lower	0.2	2.6	14,893
	Main Street Commercial	10.0	• •	00.51.
TCPB	Town Center –	10.0	29.6	80,216
~	Professional Business		400	
Sum	m		480	2,382,314
% County	Total		6.3%	7.3%

Municipality Zone		Minimum Lot Acres	Developable Square Feet	Total Size (Acres)			
Stanhope Borough							
HC	Highway Commercial	0.3	14.2	68,649			
I	Industrial	1.0	0.5	2,749			
PIC	Planned Light Industrial	5.0	110.5	625,928			
	Commercial Development			•			
VB	Village Business	0.2	0.1	486			
Sum			125	697,812			
% County	7 Total		1.6%	2.1%			
Stillwaton Town	g hin						
Stillwater Town	_	3.0	2.2	11 441			
C	Commercial		3.3	11,441			
CR	Commercial-Recreation	3.0	92.2	319,465			
NC	Neighborhood	0.0	17.1				
a	Commercial		112	220.006			
Sum			113	330,906			
% County	Total		1.5%	1.0%			
Sussex Borough							
A & I	Agriculture & Light	1.8	0.9	4,932			
	Industry						
C-1	Central Business	0.5	0.0	55			
C-2	Redevelopment Area	0.9	0.5	2,698			
C-3	General Commercial	0.5	0.3	1,472			
LI & U	Light Industry and	1.8	0.0	0			
	Utility			-			
O-R	Office Residential	0.2	0.0	0			
Sum		·-	2	9,157			
% County	7 Total		0.0%	0.0%			
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,							
Vernon Townsh	ip						
C-1	Neighborhood	0.5	5.1	4,773			
	Commercial						
C-2	General Business	0.7	31.9	138,856			
C-3	Office Commercial	0.9	17.3	89,884			
CR	Commercial Recreation	10.0	421.6	1,690,554			
LI	Light Industry	0.9	296.8	1,656,776			
TC	Town Center	0.1	57.8	303,395			
Sum	16 Wil Center	0.1	831	3,884,238			
% County	⁷ Total		10.8%	11.8%			
v							
Wantage Towns							
НС	Highway Commercial	0.9	551.6	3,059,773			
I	Industrial	5.0	76.2	300,656			
LI	Limited Industrial	2.0	6.1	34.633			
NC	Neighborhood	0.5	71.2	395,064			
C	Commercial		505	2 500 125			
Sum	Total		705 0.29/	3,790,127			
% County	10tal		9.2%	11.5%			
			nd Total	Grand Total			
		7,	673	32,873,199			

Many municipalities have prepared build-out analyses on a lot-by-lot basis. This approach, coupled with detailed local knowledge will result in a more precise estimate. Upon receipt of any of these municipal efforts, the above will be modified as appropriate.