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## Professional Backiroundi

## Rick Richardella <br> 40 Plus Years of Law Enforcement Experience

- 26 years as a municipal police officer, 7 as Chief of Police
- 18 years with the State of New Jersey, NJ Department of Community Affairs-Division of Local Government Services-Public Safety Liaison
- 2 years as a Subject Matter Expert Consultant working with International City/County Management Association (ICMA) in Washington D.C.
- Facilitated implementation of the Camden Countr Regional Police Department and the Lake Como/Belmar Shared Service Police Department;
- Presently Assigned - Local Assistance Bureau (L.A.B.) Chief.


## Professional Backipound

## Chris Raths

- 28 years experience as a Municipal Manager: North Carolina, Massachusetts, 18 Years Roxbury, New Jersey
- 28 years as a "Member of the International City/County Management Association (ICMA) in Washington D.C.; 18 year member of the New Jersey Manager Association including serving as the Association's Vice President
- Published multiple articles in professional journals on Financial Trend Monitoring, Legacy costs and the Provision of Municipal Service in various states
- Part Time Division of Local Government Services Municipal Technical Advisor


## Professional Backiround

## Felipe "Phil" Gonzalez

- 25 years as a municipal police officer, 10 as a Police Captain
- 3 years as an investigator with auto insurance industry
- Participated as a Panelist in Police Promotional Interviews with the Governor's Initiative for Atlantic City
- Division of Local Government Services Public Safety Liaison


## Purpose Of This Presentation

$\square$ Provide an impartial and comprehensive review of the proposed contract service arrangement whereby Morris County provides Inmate Housing for Sussex County.
$\square$ Determine whether the proposed contract service agreement can promote the welfare of parties by promoting efficiency, effectiveness, and performance.
$\square$ Promote optimal outcomes for all individuals and entities that would be affected by implementation of the proposal, including identifying opportunities to achieve any staffing adjustments in an equitable fashion that emphasizes attrition and reemployment whenever possible.


## The Desired Outeome

$\square$ Apply fiscally responsible and operationally sound best practices to enhance the Sussex and Morris County "Inmate Housing Contract Service Agreement"
$\square$ Facilitate informed decision-making by providing recommendations based on relevant facts, examples, and established corrections principles.
$\square$ Support decision-makers in reaching and implementing their objectives. DLGS recognizes there is "no one right way" nor do we suggest a "one-size-fits-all" approach to organize such a service.


## Reangizing the Fiseal Challenye

In government, tipping points can occur surprisingly quickly, are rarely good, and often result in tax increases.
$\square$ Sussex County is confronting an estimated $\$ 62$ million in essential capital improvements to maintain its current corrections facility. This has been identified as a tipping point for the County budget.

The Sheriff, County Freeholders, and Administration have been proactive in recognizing this challenge and mustering the courage to address the issue head on.

## Relavent Fagtors

$\square$ Taxpayer Concerns
$\square$ Increasing budgetary pressure caused by escalating demand for essential services and other non-discretionary spending
$\square$ Existing redundant layers of administration and bureaucracy
$\square$ Potential for efficiencies and cost savings
$\square$ Effect of increasing operational costs and impending capital needs on Morris and Sussex Counties' capacity to operate within the $2 \%$ cap


## Team Building Essential to Progress

$\square$ Political will is the willingness of the elected and appointed decision makers to give serious consideration to proposals for significant change designed to adopt best practices and achieve improved outcomes despite anticipated resistance.
$\square$ Although external sources can provide valuable guidance and help you research and develop service models, there is no effective substitute for the direct and personal involvement and commitment of the elected officials and other stakeholders. It takes your time, effort, and dedication to advance a meaningful shared service initiative.

## Will Things Change?- Yes Are These Impossible Challenges? - No

Residents and staff may raise legitimate issues and concerns that must be resolved to effectively implement significant organizational changes.

Common challenges include:
$\square$ Establishing new lines of authority
$\square$ Mitigating community impacts
$\square$ Protecting the interests of affected employees

## 5 Year Ganital Plan for Keonh-Dwyer Gorreations Fability

## Capital Requests from Facilities Department

| 2020 | $\$ 2,260,969$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| 2021 | 927,583 |
| 2022 | $3,466,935$ |
| 2023 | $9,013,814$ |
| 2024 | 605,000 |
|  | $\$ 16,274,301$ |

$>$ In 2014, Pulitzer/Bogard \& Associates, LLC issued a Needs Assessment Report to accommodate with ADA and Department of Corrections Compliances. Phase 1 cost was anticipated to be $\$ 23,963,722$ and the Phase 2 cost to be $\$ 42,623,043$.
$>$ Sussex County does not maintain an inmate population to support these anticipated costs.

## Proposed Staffing Levels for Sussex Eounty Jail

| CORRECTIONS |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual | Actual | 2018 <br> Actual | 2020 <br> Proposed |
| Undersheriff | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Captain | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Lieutenants | 3 | 4 | 4 | 1 |
| Sergeants | 13 | 12 | 11 | 9 |
| Corrections Officers | 67 | 64 | 53 | 23 |
| Counselor | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Nurses | 5 | 5 | 5 |  |
| Kitchen Staff | 6 | 3 | 3 |  |
| Civilians | 1 | 6 | 4 | 4 |
| County Division Head | 101 | 98 | 83 | 38 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |

## Projeated Gosts for Sussex Rounty Gorreational Facility

| Description | 2019 Adopted Budget | 2020 Projected | 2021 Projected | 2022 Projected | 2023 Projected | 2024 Projected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SCENARIO 1) STATUS QUO (ASSUMES 15 FEMALE INMATES PER DAY AT MORRIS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SALARIES \& WAGES | \$7,254,742.00 | \$7,399,839.00 | \$7,547,841.00 | \$7,698,803.00 | \$7,852,785.00 | \$8,009,847.00 |
| OTHER EXPENSES | \$1,308,450.00 | \$1,334,620.00 | \$1,361,336.00 | \$1,388,589.00 | \$1,416,384.00 | \$1,444,736.00 |
| CONTRACT COSTS | \$164,444.00 | \$574,875.00 | \$586,373.00 | \$598,101.00 | \$610,064.00 | \$622,266.00 |
| TRANSPORT COSTS | - | 173,232.65 | 176,698.00 | 180,232.00 | 183,837.00 | 187,514.00 |
| INDIRECT COSTS | 3,592,828.00 | 3,937,957.42 | 4,186,691.00 | 4,454,845.00 | 4,744,127.00 | 5,056,400.00 |
| TOTAL CURRENT FUND COSTS | \$12,320,464.00 | \$13,420,524.07 | \$13,858,939.00 | \$14,320,570.00 | \$14,807,197.00 | \$15,320,763.00 |
| CAPITAL COSTS | - | 2,260,989.00 | 927,583.00 | 3,466,934.66 | 9,013,813.70 | 605,000.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Description | 2019 Adopted Budget | 2020 Projected | 2021 Projected | 2022 Projected | 2023 Projected | 2024 Projected |
| SCENARIO 2) ALL INMATES TO MORRIS COUNTY- TEMPORARY HOLDING FACILITY (ASSUMES 75 INMATES PER DAY) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SALARIES \& WAGES | \$7,254,742.00 | \$4,952,163.00 | \$5,051,210.00 | \$5,152,239.00 | \$5,255,290.00 | \$5,360,402.00 |
| OTHER EXPENSES | \$1,308,450.00 | \$949,010.00 | \$968,013.00 | \$987,399.00 | \$1,007,169.00 | \$1,027,337.00 |
| CONTRACT COSTS | \$164,444.00 | \$2,877,108.00 | \$2,934,651.00 | \$2,993,345.00 | \$3,053,212.00 | \$3,114,277.00 |
| TRANSPORT COSTS | - | 346,465.30 | 353,395.00 | 360,463.00 | 367,673.00 | 375,027.00 |
| INDIRECT COSTS | 3,592,828.00 | 3,905,161.95 | 3,541,925.00 | 3,199,154.00 | 3,411,106.00 | 3,640,130.00 |
| TOTAL CURRENT FUND COSTS | \$12,320,464.00 | \$13,029,908.25 | \$12,849,194.00 | \$12,692,600.00 | \$13,094,450.00 | \$13,517,173.00 |
| CAPITAL COSTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Projegted Savings for Sussex Gounty Gorreetional Fasility

## SCENARIO 1) STATUS QUO (ASSUMES 15 FEMALE INMATES PER DAY AT MORRIS)

SCENARIO 2) ALL INMATES TO MORRIS COUNTY- TEMPORARY HOLDING FACILITY (ASSUMES 75 INMATES PER DAY)

PROJECTED SAVINGS


## Estimated Benefit Savinys

Anticipated savings achieved through the proposed staffing reduction of 36 Corrections Officer Positions:

|  | 5 Year | 10 Year | 25 Year |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Health Insurance | $\$ 3.6 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 7.2 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 18.0 \mathrm{M}$ |
| Pension Costs | $\$ 3.7 \mathrm{M}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 7 . 4 \mathrm { M }}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 8 . 5 \mathrm { M }}$ |
| Total Savings | $\$ 7.3 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 14.6 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 36.5 \mathrm{M}$ |

This equates to $\$ 36.5$ Million over the next 25 years

## Decision Points

Communication, Cooperation, and Creativity have brought you to this point.
$\square$ This presentation lays out a standard contracted service model that adheres to accepted best practices and guidelines.

There is no magic wand or secret formula.
$\square$ Savings are achievable for both counties through the implementation of this model.
$\square$ The parties must decide if this is the best option for them.

## Contracted Service Implementation

Ongoing communication, monitoring, assessment, and reform are imperative to long-term success.
$\square$ Set realistic, measurable goals.
Select a problem solving method to identify and correct deficiencies.
$\square$ Commit to open and honest communication when difficult choices affecting services, personnel and budgets arise.

Take courage and remain focused on the long term goals.

## Working Aroup

- Comprised of:
$\checkmark$ Freeholders
$\checkmark$ Sheriff
$\checkmark$ County Administrator and CFO
$\checkmark$ DCA - DLGS

Meet monthly to discuss outstanding issues and concerns.

## THANK YOU

## LAB <br> Local Assistance BUREAU

## Q\&A

New Jersey Department of Community Affairs
Division of Local Government Services
Local Assistance Bureau 609-292-6858

