

SUSSEX COUNTY WATER QUALITY MANAGEMENT

POLICY ADVISORY COMMITTEE

MINUTES

MARCH 11, 2010

The meeting was opened at 7:33 p.m. by Vice Chairman Butz. The meeting was held in compliance with the Open Public Meetings Act, N.J.S.A. 10:4-1 of 1975, as amended, and notice has been forwarded to the newspapers and posted on the bulletin board maintained at the Sussex County Administrative Center for public announcement.

**ROLL CALL:**

MEMBERS PRESENT: Vita Thompson, Andover Township  
Mimi Tomey, Byram Township  
Jeffrey Butz, Vice-Chairman, Fredon Township  
Christopher Kelly, Hamburg Borough  
William Sanford, Hampton Township  
Howard Baker, Hopatcong Borough  
David Muscalo, Lafayette Township  
Paul Baldwin, Town of Newton  
Joe Pinzone, Sandyston Township  
Eric Powell, Sparta Township  
James Benson, Stanhope Borough  
Richard Gottemoller, At-Large  
Ernie Hofer, At-Large  
Eleanor Mensonides, At-Large  
John Nugent, At-Large  
Susan Zellman, Freeholder Liaison

EXCUSED MEMBERS: James Landrith, Andover Borough  
Louis Kneip, Vernon Township  
John Armeno, Chairman, At-Large  
Kathy Little, At-Large

STAFF MEMBERS: Alice Brees, Principal Planner  
Dennis R. McConnell, Esq., County Counsel  
Antoinette Wasiewicz, Recording Secretary

ALSO PRESENT: John Phillips, Nouvelle Associates  
Luciano Bruni, Nouvelle Associates  
Michael Kovacs, Nouvelle Associates  
Daren Phil, Nouvelle Associates  
Ursula Leo, Lafayette Township Land Use Board  
Nathaniel Sajdak, Walkkill River Watershed Group

**MINUTES CORRECTION/APPROVAL:**

A motion was made by Eleanor Mensonides to approve the Minutes of October 8, 2009. The motion was seconded by David Muscalo. All were in favor, with abstentions from Vita Thompson, Christopher Kelly, Paul Baldwin, Eric Powell, Richard Gottemoller, Ernie Hofer, Eleanor Mensonides and John Nugent. Motion carried.

In the Minutes of December 10, 2009, David Muscalo pointed out an error on Page 5, 4<sup>th</sup> Paragraph from the bottom; “clay land” should be “clay lens”. A motion was made by David Muscalo to approve the Minutes of December 10, 2009 as corrected. The motion was seconded by Eleanor Mensonides. All were in favor, with abstentions from Vita Thompson, William Sanford, Howard Baker, Paul Baldwin, Joe Pinzone, Eric Powell and Ernie Hofer. Motion carried.

**CORRESPONDENCE:**

Alice Brees reviewed the correspondence listed on the attached sheet and answered questions on Correspondence Number 8. Eleanor Mensonides requested a copy of Correspondence 4.

**PROGRAM MANAGER’S REPORT:**

Ms. Brees reported that the County Planners met with Larry Baier and DEP staff on January 19 to work on the wastewater plans. The usual issues of the need for DEP Policies to reflect the State Plan were discussed. It was too soon to know about changes in policy with the new governor. She has been reviewing transition team reports on their website. The next DEP and Counties meeting is scheduled for March 25.

FEMA and DEP Staff are holding Open House on Monday at the Main Library in Frankford from 4:00-8:00 p.m. to review updated Draft Flood Maps. They will be available for questions. The maps were created using 2’ contours from aerial photos

and show additional properties located in the flood plains. Affected residents will be notified directly. This meeting was rescheduled from February 25.

Andover Township had a Hydrology Study done. The report on their geology and aquifers will be presented on Tuesday, March 16 at 7:30 p.m.

**ATTORNEY'S REPORT:**

County Counsel said he did not have anything to report this evening.

**UNFINISHED BUSINESS:**

A. NOUVELLE ASSOCIATES WMP AMENDMENT, ROUTE 15, LAFAYETTE:

John Phillips, attorney for Nouvelle Associates said that Ursula Leo is attending this evening's meeting, presumably on behalf of Lafayette Township Planning Board. He asked that she offer to this Board and to his client the Resolution from the Planning Board authorizing her to attend the meeting; the Resolution from the governing body authorizing the Planning Board to take a position in this matter, since they have already make their recommendation; and the Resolution from the governing body amending its prior Resolution, which is on file with this Board with regard to this application. He asked that this be done before he proceeds if she will be permitted to speak and object.

Dennis McConnell asked Ms. Leo if she cared to comment.

Ursula Leo said she was with the firm of Laddey, Clark and Ryan and was here this evening on behalf of the Lafayette Township Land Use Board. She said she did not have any of the Resolutions that Mr. Phillips requested. She added that Resolution 2009-44 has not been changed. It includes a report from Cerenzio and Panero. She submitted some background documents in her correspondence dated February 4; and since this meeting is open to the public, the amendments and rules allow for the concerns of local interested parties.

Mr. Phillips recognized that any interested party can speak and that she can speak as an individual; but that she is here as a representative of a governmental authority which means she needs authorization from that governmental authority to take that position. Also, the Land Use Board does not take precedence over the governing body; and the governing body has adopted a Resolution recommending that this Council approve the application subject to certain conditions which they are prepared to address. That does not require input from the Land Use Board.

Ms. Leo agreed that the Township has that Resolution and that it is in force. She is the attorney for the Land Use Board and is here in that regard.

Mr. McConnell asked Ms. Leo if she acknowledged the fact that the Municipality itself passed the Resolution to move this process along. Ms. Leo said, "Of course. Absolutely." Mr. McConnell said that if and when Ms. Leo wants to offer a comment, he will make a decision at that point. He said that Nouvelle is here and they want to proceed with their application.

Mr. Phillips said he was before PAC in December and again in January, when Ms. Brees was absent. Some questions had been raised with regard to the Cerenzio and Panero report. Mr. Phil indicated that he had addressed the comments in the Cerenzio and Panero report in a revised submission that he did in September. Mr. Phil will speak to those issues and the issues raised in Ms. Brees' report.

Mr. McConnell reminded Mr. Phil that he was still under oath.

Daren Phil said the documentation provided to this committee was prepared in February 2006 and went through many revisions. There was a September 23 revision and a final revision on November 30, 2009 which addressed specific comments regarding the review letters from Cerenzio and Panero. He said he was prepared to go through the 11-page document (Cerenzio & Panero report dated 6/18/09) but asked if PAC had specific issues that he could address. Ms. Brees asked him to go through the first three pages.

Mr. Phil said page one covered the background of the project and page two covered the steps taken to get through the NJPDES permit and the Treatment Works Approval. Under the technical review there is a comment dealing with sewer development. With regard to the subject tract of land, there is no phasing. The two pieces of property are for a restaurant and 65,000 sq. ft. commercial building. The 14,900 gallonage has been indicated and is in one phase, or one entire project. There is no intention of any future build out. Mr. Phil said that Ms. Brees had some specific questions regarding phasing and that would have to be referred to the attorney.

Ms. Brees said there was an issue because they saw that the water supply amount in the developer's agreement from Sparta is 23,000 gallons per day greater than what is being done in this first phase. Mr. Phillips responded, saying that there is the approved site plan which has 65,000 sq. ft. retail building and the restaurant and associated parking. That is what is before PAC at this time. When Nouvelle spoke to Sparta about getting water to service that site, there was a hope that at some point in the future Lafayette will change its zoning to allow a more intense development on the rest of the property. Lafayette has been consistently asked what will be done with the rest of the property. Mr. Bruni answered that with the current zoning, they can put "x" number of houses on it but that's not what they want to do in the future. They don't think that's the best use of the land but that's what they'd like to be able to do under the present zoning and that would not require a treatment plant. He is not looking for a treatment plant for that portion of the property because the current zoning would not allow them to use it. In getting a water allocation from Sparta, Mr. Bruni said if they could get Lafayette to agree, then at some point in time they will need "x" number of gallons of water. They wanted to reserve that capacity with Sparta. Currently they have an approved project that requires 14,000 gallons and

that's what's before PAC. There is a capacity reserve in the future if the Lafayette zoning is ever changed but they can't use it now, they can't put another treatment plant in now, they can't phase this development because the zoning doesn't allow it.

Mr. Phil said there is open land within the site plan that is not intended for any building. Mr. Phillips added that Nouvelle does own substantial additional lands which are adjacent to the site but they are currently not zoned for anything other than single family residence on large lots.

Mr. Phil said they met with the Sussex County Utility Authority recognizing the potential that they may be sole permittee. They were adamant that they wanted an activated sludge process. A field trip was taken into Jefferson where there is a pipe system known as a "Membrane Bioreactor System". This system goes through a primary and secondary train of treatment and also has a tertiary type of treatment which is a membrane filtration system and a disinfectant through UV to kill any microscopic organisms that are left prior to discharge. It is flexible to peak flows, especially with low flow systems.

Mr. Phil said that they are now in a deficit area for statewide water supply. That was indicated on page five of the application and there are no TMDLs per DEP's publication. The "Will Serve" letter as well as the full Resolution was added in to Appendix Five which was part of the November 30 revision. Items E, F, G and H were in the September 23 revision. All of that data has been provided to PAC months ago as part of the original submission. All of the State requirements for recharge as well as quality and quantity of discharge and environmental mappings were provided within the document. There is no issue pertaining to the environmentally sensitive areas that would impact the proposed project, which was also reviewed at the Planning Board level during the process for site plan approval. The topography maps were provided which indicated there are no steep slopes. The DEP landscape maps for habitat for endangered, threatened or other priority wildlife was also provided.

At the bottom of page three of the Cerenzio & Panero report, "Proposed Wastewater Treatment and Disposal System," they agree with "A". With regard to "B", they are in a Class 2 ground water quality standard, so DEP will require that they meet criteria associated with that level.

Ownership was modified and explained. Lafayette Township wants to have Sussex County MUA to be the sole permittee, unfortunately they never discussed that condition in the Resolution and they do not have an agreement with MUA to take over all of the plants that come in. Nouvelle met with MUA to make sure that they understood the type of system to prevent a redesign further along in the process. Unfortunately, the MUA just adopted a policy that they do not want to be operators of systems under 100,000 gallons. Mr. Nugent indicated that even if the MUA does not operate the system, they will do a full review of the document anyway. On page 4, there are three other options to get the proper individuals to maintain and operate this system.

Mr. Phil said that as part of the revisions, there was quite a bit of additional information relative to the hydrogeologic report.

There were a few questions relating to the treatment system's operator. Ernie Hofer said that there are at least five treatment plants for surface discharge within the Paulins Kill area between Newton and Balesville with at least three or four different operating firms. He did not see an issue about who operates it, as long as they are qualified, trained and meet the standard for operation.

David Muscalo asked if a quality analysis has been done on the water being brought in from Sparta and compared to the water in Lafayette to determine if there are any incompatibilities. Mr. Phillips said that Sparta is bringing in drinking water and the quality of drinking water would be acceptable anywhere in the State. The State had drinking water standards, the project area is a Class 2 area, as is Sparta, so the water is the same. Mr. Muscalo said that the mineral composition of the water in Sparta can be different and could impact the quality of the water in Lafayette.

Ernie Hofer said that the water in Sparta is analyzed in compliance with State requirements. The analysis of the water is issued to the public once a year. Those annual reports are available for the last 10 years. One can take those ten reports and compare the data with the analysis of Lafayette water. But the fact remains that the water meets State requirements. Just as Morris Lake in Sparta provides water to Newton and Lake Rutherford in Wantage provides water to Sussex Borough, there is interchange of waters from one area of the county to another. He does not know of any water quality issue by this conversion of water among or between municipalities.

Mr. Phillips said that PAC is dealing with the wastewater treatment and not the issue of bringing water in from Sparta; that is something that Judge Bozonelis has already ruled they can do.

Ms. Brees asked Mr. Phil to go over the February memo to Nouvelle Associates. Mr. Phil said they already discussed items one and two this evening. Item number three talks about the Developer's Agreement between Sparta Township and Nouvelle and was addressed by Mr. Phillips. Item four pertains to the letter from the Land Use Board and believes for the time being that was addressed. Items five and six were addressed. Item seven – Mr. Phil said there is no center designation. It is their proposal to the state that there are no environmentally sensitive lands there, so a sewer service area can be established. He added that in the future, when you start getting into the larger tracts of land, this issue will come back.

Dennis McConnell referred to page two of the September 1 letter from Cerenzio and Panero where they asked for additional information to be included in the packets. Mr. Phil had a copy of a letter he put together on September 23 that went through the September 1 letter and explained how his Plan Amendment was revised to include all the data and its location. The September 1 letter said that the Township Committee could not move forward until that information was provided. Obviously it was provided because they did get the Resolution.

Jeffrey Butz asked for clarification that they are just dealing with the commercial space, they are not dealing with the residential at all at this point in time. The last time Nouvelle came before PAC, he asked about the introduction from water outside of this area causing the wetlands to increase in size. Mr. Butz says it appears that they are requesting for the commercial space plus the residential. He is concerned that if over the next year, or five years, before the residential phase of this development is done, the wetlands do expand into a greater area, will there still be provisions to take into account that the buildable land may be a different size than it is today.

Mr. Phillips said that the application for the treatment is for the two commercial buildings. There is no residential approved, nor is there any residential proposed at the present time. Mr. Phil said with regard to the any potential expansion of the wetlands, obviously if the wetlands expand for whatever reason, when an application comes in, then those wetlands areas will have to be mapped and the buffers established based on those wetland areas where they exist, not where they exist today. So if the wetlands expand, then the area for development would decrease. Mr. Phil added that the Bureau of Freshwater Wetlands issues LOIs that are only good for five years.

Mr. Butz said that he brings up this issue because this has been experienced in Sussex County when the quarry in Sparta stopped pumping, causing the water levels to change in other areas in other townships. He said this project has the potential of changing water levels in Lafayette, which appears may be limited to Nouvelle's property, but it may effect other properties also.

Mr. Phillips said that lawfully they are allowed to bring the water in and that Judge Bozonelis has indicated that it would be better to have public water as opposed to well water. He does not have a hydrogeologist here to address this specific question tonight. The only thing that is involved in this treatment plant at this time is the 65,000 sq. ft. of retail and the restaurant on this one particular site and the associated parking that goes around it.

The meeting was opened to the public.

Mr. Phillips asked that the speaker indicate the capacity to which she is asking these questions.

Ursula Leo said that she was asking questions as the attorney for the Lafayette Township Land Use Board. She asked for clarification regarding what will happen once it's a larger tract issue.

Mr. Phil said that with regards to the larger tract, there are environmentally sensitive lands, so the wastewater management planning rules will have to be addressed at that time.

Ms. Leo asked why aren't they being addressed now. Mr. Phil said there are no environmentally sensitive lands on these two lots.

Ms. Leo asked about the build-out potential and they are proposing less than 20,000 sq. ft. at this time even though some of the documents say 180,000. They said SCMUA would take it if it were over the 100,000 gallons per day which is the "wish list". Mr. Phil said SCMUA doesn't just take over, they will go through, they will review and analyze it. They made a policy that they are not taking over small plants, no matter what. Lafayette wishes to have SCMUA take over all of the plants where they're located. Lafayette indicated that they would look favorably because of the fact that their operators are right there and they don't have expenditure or down time going out to a smaller plant. He believes that the agreement is based on flow rates and not just on the fact that they're running a treatment plant.

Mr. Phillips clarified saying that Ms. Leo just mentioned 20,000 sq. ft. He said that there is a 65,000 sq. ft. retail building and the restaurant is about 14,000 sq. ft. for a total of 79,000 sq. ft. of development. Ms. Leo said she meant the 20,000 gallons vs. the 180,000 gallons.

Ms. Leo had a question regarding the Cerenzio and Panero June 18, 2009 report, page three, comment D. She asked if the consumptive use analysis was done. Mr. Phil said that they are not in a deficit area. She then asked if they provided any information on conservation measures. Mr. Phil said he didn't understand what she meant.

Mr. Phillips answered that during the site plan process, the plans indicated that there would be water conservation measures used in the development of the property, such as low flow toilets. He believes those are the water conservation measures that are referred to in that report and said they are site plan issues. They're relating to water usage as opposed to gallonage. The Cerenzio and Panero report agrees that the gallonage numbers are correct.

Ms. Leo cited the last sentence of comment D, "It is also not clear what impact the proposed water conservation measures would have... ." She asked if he had any testimony as to that. Mr. Phil said the treatment plant has to be designed for planning flows, so they are designing a treatment plant at 14,900 gallons. That same paragraph states that because of conservation measures and using the planning flows for water consumption, is significantly less. With any type of water conservation measures that will reduce flow, they need to have a system that's flexible. This type of system is very flexible and it will be able to handle the lower flows and have the flexibility to meet the NJPDES discharge requirements at lower flow than as designed. A treatment plant has a low flow and a high flow parameter for which it will function properly within. They need to make sure that the size of the tanks, pumps, etc., that the low flows and peak flows fall within the parameter. This system has in front, an equalization system that allows flow to come in and build up and then it creates much less of a fluctuation as it flows through the system. As they implement water conservation measures, they will know specifically those measures and make sure that the treatment plant can function at these lower flows.

Ms. Leo asked Mr. Phil if he reviewed any of the yearly reports on the differences between the Sparta and Lafayette water. Mr. Phil said that he has not. He added that it is not a concern because this will all be part of the TWA (Treatment Works Approval)

permit. The NJPDES will say that they are allowed to discharge water at these levels. As part of the NJPDES, they will have to predict what the concentrations of their wastewater are so they know what's coming in and what's going out. If they were using industrial wastewater, maybe, but since they have potable drinking water that will be brought to the site, it's a non-issue.

David Muscalo told Mr. Phil that the last time he was before the PAC, Jeffrey Butz asked if the treatment plant was designed to be increased to be able to accept larger flows. He believes Mr. Phil said it could be adapted to a larger flow if necessary. Mr. Phil said that they definitely need fluctuations for peak flows, etc. He said that in the future, if the system at Quick Chek fails and they want to tie in, these types of systems look for redundancies. Because of the low flows, they can't put in a treatment plant, buy all the hardware for 100,000 gallons and start running 10,000 into it.

Mr. Phil said the treatment plant has not been designed yet. That is one of the final steps. Mr. Muscalo asked if the treatment plant will be designed in such a way that it can be adapted to accept a higher flow than its being designed for at this time. Mr. Phil said every treatment plant has that flexibility. Eleanor Mensonides said that they will be limited by their permit as to how much they can process. Ernie Hofer said that from a design standpoint, a conventional facility can, it depends on the additional investment you put into the facility. If you make the facility too large to start with, it's unstable and will not give the control with respect to the purification of the discharged water. You have a plant that addresses a minimum flow and a maximum flow and has a permitted maximum flow. Is that facility expandable? Yes. But as stated here you're still locked within the permit. So you don't want to put something three times larger if you'll never get a permit to operate it. From an investment standpoint, it's not an economic decision to make.

Alice Brees asked if any of the wells in Sparta are located in the Highlands Preservation Area. Mr. Phil said he met with Eileen Swan, Director of Highlands Council, on another matter and she told him as long as the Water Allocation Permit is not being modified, they have no jurisdiction. Ms. Brees asked if he knew for sure that this project wouldn't require a permit modification. Mr. Phil said Sparta could not do a Resolution or a Will Serve letter without having that available allocation. She asked if it mattered to the Highlands Council that water was leaving the Highlands. Mr. Phil said he was not aware of a problem and that there have been attorneys working through this.

Mr. Phillips said that Luciano Bruni knew the answer to that question but that he has not been previously sworn. Mr. Bruni was sworn by Dennis McConnell.

Luciano Bruni said he is principal of Nouvelle Associates. He said the Germany Flats well is not located in the Highlands Preservation Area. Ms. Brees asked if the agreement would require a major modification of the water allocation permit. Mr. Bruni said it did not. Ms. Brees asked if the Highlands had any jurisdiction over this. Mr. Phil said he came across this with a United Water issue in Mt. Arlington. He was told to stay within that Water Allocation Permit and go build your commercial buildings. Ernie Hofer said to his knowledge there is up to 20-22 wells within Sparta.

Some of those wells are probably in the Preservation Area, but the wells under discussion here are in the Planning Area outside of the jurisdiction of the Highlands. Ms. Brees asked if the well was in any of their water deficit areas as defined in the Highlands. Mr. Phil said the whole water allocation permit is based on the analysis of what is already permitted by DEP to take out of the ground.

There were no other questions or comments.

Dennis McConnell said at the December 2009 the affected parties were identified as being Lafayette Township, Sparta Township and SCMUA.

**MOTION:**

A motion was made by Eleanor Mensonides to direct Staff to prepare a Resolution for approval of the Nouvelle Associates WMP Amendment which identifies the affected parties as Lafayette Township, Sparta Township and SCMUA. The motion was seconded by John Nugent.

Alice Brees advised Mr. McConnell that a draft resolution has already been prepared. Mr. McConnell read the draft Resolution into the meeting. John Phillips said that in the Lafayette Township Resolution, they refer to the recommendation as Block 9, Lots 21.07 and 12.08. Mr. McConnell said that the PAC Resolution will be revised to include the corrected lot numbers and today's date.

Eleanor Mensonides amended her motion to approve the Resolution for the Nouvelle Associates Wastewater Management Plan Amendment with the corrected lot numbers and date. John Nugent amended his second. A roll call vote was taken. All were in favor with a negative vote from David Muscalo and an abstention from Eric Powell. Motion carried.

**B. DEP REVIEW OF COUNTY WASTEWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN:**

Alice Brees reported that things are at a standstill waiting for changes at the DEP level. She should have something more to report after her next meeting in Trenton in two weeks.

**C. WATERSHED UPDATES: UPPER DELAWARE, WALLKILL:**

Jeffrey Butz reported that on March 17 the Paulins Kill Pequest Watershed Association is having a meeting regarding the Upper Delaware at 7:30 p.m. in Blairstown. Nathaniel Sajdak reported that permission was received from Sussex Borough to enter into discussions with DEP about obtaining permission for a tree debris cleanup effort. They have officially received permission from DEP to proceed with getting proper approval for that project without going through the permit process.

They are now formally in a contract with The Land Conservancy to do an aquifer groundwater study for the Paulins Kill area. Once the study is complete, Bob Canace will present the information to this Committee.

There is the possibility of four new projects getting underway this spring which include continuing riparian restoration at Station Park in Sparta, Lafayette Park in Lafayette, Clove Acres Lake in Sussex Borough and a potential new project for the Homestead Complex in Frankford. They welcome public participation.

An arrangement has been entered into with Rutgers Cooperative Extension and Rutgers University to host two "Watershed Stewardship Seminars" in Sussex County. Rutgers stated the Watershed Stewardship program to get residents, stakeholders and interested citizens to attend these classes to certify them as watershed stewards and to participate in various projects. The first two events are scheduled for April 14 and April 19 at the Rutgers Extension office at the Homestead Complex.

Mr. Sajdak said this is the 10<sup>th</sup> Anniversary of the initial Watershed Planning Contract was awarded to the Sussex County MUA. The DEP awarded \$235,000 to initial and jumpstart watershed planning. The Wallkill group has become the Sussex County group and what started as \$235,000 has now resulted in over \$3 million in grant funding for watershed efforts in Sussex County.

D. PAC BY-LAWS UPDATE:

There was nothing new to report on the update of the PAC By-Laws.

**NEW BUSINESS:**

A. SPEAKERS AND EDUCATIONAL TOPICS FOR 2010 PAC MEETINGS:

Alice Brees said that PAC has discussed asking Laura Nicholson from NJ Geologic Survey to do a presentation at a meeting. David Muscalo offered to put together a field trip on a weekend to show some of the geology of the County.

Ernie Hofer said that the aquifer study Mr. Sajdak talked about will assess the aquifers within seven municipalities, one being in Andover Township. The Andover study will only focus on Andover. The new project will look at Andover plus all the surrounding municipalities because aquifers cross municipal boundaries. They are trying to assess it with respect to HUC 14 or for boundaries of aquifers as opposed to within one municipality. He suggested that when the study is issued for draft review, that Bob Canace attend a PAC meeting for a presentation on local aquifers and the interplay between surface and ground water quality. A second potential project is the State Water Budget. It should be issued this year and that could also be of interest to this group. Mr. Hofer also suggesting getting some DEP staff to talk to PAC.

Nathaniel Sajdak said that in early fall there will be a draft of the Paulins Kill Restoration Plan in circulation and will start to receive comments. He said that he and Ernie Hofer could present that Restoration Plan draft to this Committee.

B. DRAFT MORRIS COUNTY WMP:

Alice Brees said she is just starting to look at the draft Morris County Wastewater Management Plan. It is posted on the Morris County website. Sussex County shares some watersheds with Morris County and their planner has said that their build-out shows that they are over their capacity, according to the DEP Nitrate Dilution Model.

**PUBLIC COMMENT:**

None

**ADJOURNMENT:**

All business having been completed, a motion to adjourn the meeting was made by Eleanor Mensonides. The motion was seconded by Jim Benson and carried unanimously. The meeting adjourned at 9:30 p.m.