

SUSSEX COUNTY SOLID WASTE ADVISORY COUNCIL

MINUTES

SEPTEMBER 8, 2015

The meeting was opened at 7:00 p.m. by Chairman Landrith. The meeting was held in compliance with the Open Public Meetings Act, N.J.S.A. 10:4-1 of 1975, as amended, and notice has been forwarded to the newspapers and posted on the bulletin board maintained at the Sussex County Administrative Center for public announcement.

ROLL CALL:

MEMBERS PRESENT: James Landrith, Andover Borough, Chairman
Jason Doyle, Franklin Borough
Dennis Haff, Fredon Township
Allan Esenlohr, Green Township
Karen Hansen, Hampton Township
Howard Baker, Hopatcong Borough
Stephanie Pizzulo, Lafayette Township
Nina Fradl, Montague Township
Adam Vough, Town of Newton
Jo-Ann Williamson, Sandyston Township
Eric Powell, Sparta Township
Don Drake, Stanhope Borough
Christopher Fitzpatrick, At-Large
Donald Ploetner, At-Large, Vice Chair pro tem
Richard Vohden, Freeholder Liaison

EXCUSED MEMBERS: William Koellhoffer, Byram Township
Gary Larson, Frankford Township
Robert Schultz, Hardyston Township
Eleanor Mensonides, At-Large

STAFF MEMBERS: Autumn Sylvester, Division of Planning
Antoinette Wasiewicz, Recording Secretary
Adam Boltz, Health Department
Reenee Casapulla, Recycling Coordinator
Tom Varro, SCMUA

ALSO PRESENT: George Graham, Freeholder
John Williams, Esq., County Counsel
Scott Rogener, C.E.C.S., Inc.
John Hatzelis, S.C.M.U.A.

MINUTES CORRECTION/APPROVAL:

A motion was made by Jason Doyle to approve the Minutes of May 12, 2015. The motion was seconded by Donald Ploetner. All were in favor, with abstentions from Dennis Haff, Adam Vough, Jo-Ann Williamson and Christopher Fitzpatrick. Motion carried.

CHAIRMAN'S REMARKS:

In the interest of brevity, Chairman Landrith said he would forgo his Chairman's remarks.

NEW BUSINESS:

A. CONSIDERATION OF AMENDMENT TO THE SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT PLAN, CAVALIER ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE SERVICES, INC., SPARTA TOWNSHIP:

Scott Rogener said he is the President of Cavalier Environmental Compliance Services, Inc. His company is operating the MRF Transfer Station at the Grinnell facility which accepts C&D 13-C. Mr. Rogener said he made a previous presentation to the SWAC to request that his permit be amended to accept Type 13 waste. He met with resistance from the SCMUA and from many of the townships in the form of Resolutions against his proposal. He said it became evident to him that this was not something worth pursuing if he wanted to work with the SCMUA. Mr. Rogener said he then drafted the letter to request support from the SCMUA and from the SWAC to be allowed to accept Type 13 waste from out of the County. This letter was included in the mailing to the SWAC as Correspondence. The ability to accept Type 13 waste will attract business to his facility and help it grow; it will not impact the revenue of the landfill. He said it will also attract business to the area when the truck drivers stop to eat, etc.

Mr. Rogener said when he first presented this to the SCMUA in February 2015, there was concern about enforcement and he was told about the actions of the previous operator. As he stated in his initial presentation, Mr. Rogener said he cannot control what the previous operator did in the past; he can only control what he does. He said it is unfair to him to make assumptions of violations, based on what someone else did. Thus far, he has gone through many inspections from the DEP. He has been operating for many months and has made a lot of progress in many areas. During the March meeting, it was brought up that the previous owners had not paid their host fees for almost two years. Mr. Rogener said he made sure that situation was rectified. He also said that during that same meeting, Donald Ploetner asked how his proposal would impact his business. In speaking with his out-of-county customers, he is able to

predict an additional 50 tons a day. This figure would fluctuate depending on the season. His new proposal would preserve some jobs that already exist and would enable him to add two new employees. He presently employs 11 people; he only had five employees in February. He added that the DEP Inspector feels he is doing a good job. He opened asked if anyone had questions.

Tom Varro asked for a clarification as to who the applicant is and whether there is an administratively complete application. Also, is this presentation modifying the prior application? Mr. Rogener said he is here this evening because he realized there was zero support for this first proposal. He is looking to get some feedback on his new proposal to accept only out-of-county Type 13 waste. He will then take instruction on how to move this forward. Mr. Varro said that Mr. Rogener just stated he wanted to work cooperatively with the MUA, and asked why the MUA was not copied on the letter dated June 29, 2015. Mr. Rogener said the last time he copied the MUA and the SWAC, he was corrected and instructed by Eric Snyder not to send correspondence directly to the SCMUA and the SWAC. The correspondence should go through the Planning Division where it will be distributed in the next meeting mailing. Mr. Rogener said he met with the SCMUA prior to sending out the letter and that this issue was discussed at that meeting. He said Mr. Varro and John Hatzelis attended the meeting, and that it was at this meeting where the enforcement issue was brought up.

John Hatzelis said the letter was written months ago and yet it was not received until last Thursday. The Board of Commissioners will not meet until next week. He questioned why the letter was not circulated. Antoinette Wasiewicz, the Recording Secretary, advised Mr. Hatzelis that the SWAC meetings were cancelled in July and August. Correspondence and draft Minutes are not distributed with a Cancellation Notice; they are held until the next meeting (tonight.) Mr. Rogener said no disrespect was intended, he was following instructions. He said he does not expect any action to be taken this evening; he is simply looking for feedback. He also said that he has talked to the DEP about this proposal and said that according to the DEP, he would not be "breaking new ground." Mr. Rogener said he is not looking to hurt SCMUA's revenue.

Mr. Varro asked whether this application was his or Grinnell's. Mr. Rogener said he is operating the facility, and that he is the one who will benefit from the modification. As long as the permit is still in Grinnell's name, it will have to be handled the same way the other permit was handled. He added that it has been brought up that because the permit is still in Grinnell's name; they have something to do with the operation. Mr. Rogener said there is documentation from the DEP that Grinnell has absolutely nothing to do with the transfer station MRF.

Mr. Hatzelis said Grinnell holds the permit and that he, as a tenant ... Mr. Rogener said he is not just a tenant. According to the DEP, he is the operator. He asked Mr. Hatzelis not to sell it any other way than what it is. He is the operator; he has control over the facility. Grinnell is not allowed in the facility or the scale house; they cannot dump without decals on their vehicles; and they are not allowed to make any decisions regarding this facility. He asked Mr. Hatzelis to please phrase things correctly because it hurts him when it is perceived to be something that it's not. Mr. Hatzelis said that

Grinnell still owns the facility and they hold the permit. Mr. Rogener said yes, they presently hold the permit. Mr. Hatzelis said that he (Mr. Rogener) can decide tomorrow to leave and the operation would become Grinnell's. Mr. Rogener said that was not correct because the DEP would have to be notified, and based on several meetings he has had with the DEP, the DEP would have to reissue Grinnell a license. He assured Mr. Hatzelis that that would be highly unlikely. He added that permits do not automatically go to the previous owner if he fails. Permits do not transfer. He said this is another perception that is not true. The DEP has already taken power away from Grinnell and that Grinnell would have to be reauthorized. He advised Mr. Hatzelis to ask the DEP if they would reauthorize Grinnell's permit, or even a truck decal. Mr. Rogener said he feels whenever he walks into a meeting, he is at a disadvantage. Misconceptions do not help him. He said he is at the same facility but that the facility and buildings do not make decisions.

Donald Ploetner asked if he is applying to transfer the permit from Grinnell's name to his name. Mr. Rogener said he had a preliminary meeting with the DEP and at that meeting he asked what he needed to do. The DEP asked why he needed this done so soon and Mr. Rogener told them that he needs to distance himself from the past. He said there is a process to getting a permit transferred and it is not quick.

Mr. Varro said there was an Ogdensburg Borough Council work session meeting on April 29 and, at that meeting, Jared (Cofrancesco) said, on behalf of Cavalier, he intends to ask for not only ID-13 but all waste types. He asked if Jared was misrepresenting him personally and the association between the two companies and was he incorrect in saying that there is a plan going forward where he wants to accept all waste types. Mr. Rogener said he was not at that meeting and that he does not know what Jared represented or didn't represent. He didn't even know there was a meeting. He said his focus is on his current proposal and nothing more. Simultaneously, he would like the permit transferred to his name. Mr. Varro asked if there will be an application for ID-10. Mr. Rogener said it is not on the agenda at all. It is not even in his mind.

Mr. Ploetner asked if he planned to use the railroad. Mr. Rogener said he put a pre-application in to the DEP in twofold. One was to connect the rail and the other was to add a recycling system. He said that right now, he is not prepared to do either one. He knows that these things take time and wanted to have it looked at as an option. Right now, connecting to the rail is not a good business decision. Rail only works when you're sending material far away and you have a certain volume. There are many costs involved in using rail service that people do not factor in. For his business, there is no benefit to using rail service because it would take him a month to fill a railcar and it would cost him about \$375,000.00 to connect to the railroad. He is not interested in doing that. However, applications to the DEP are not inexpensive, so while he was there, he included the application for the rail and recycling equipment. He can always say he decided not to move forward with them.

Howard Baker asked how much of an impact to the SCMUA does he expect his proposal to have. Will they lose tonnage? Mr. Rogener said the SCMUA will not lose tonnage if he gets approved to take out-of-county waste. He is not looking to take

waste from within Sussex County because he realizes it would impact the SCMUA. He is here for support in taking waste from outside the County. He said 60% or less of what he receives comes from Sussex County; 40% or a little more, comes from outside the County. Mr. Baker said that concerns him because if those tons went to the SCMUA instead of to him, the tipping fees would generate a significant amount of revenue. If their revenue falls short against their debt service, the taxpayers have to foot the bill. Mr. Rogener said SCMUA does not receive waste from outside the County; the SCUMA only receives waste from Sussex County. Taking ID-13 from outside of the County will not impact the SCMUA at all. He said what Mr. Baker is referring to already exists and it is already in the Solid Waste Plan. Mr. Baker said he did not see the authorization in the Solid Waste Plan or any other official document to allow Grinnell or Cavalier to circumvent flow control. Mr. Rogener said there is a Resolution. Mr. Baker said he tried to look it up but could not find it. Mr. Rogener asked for Mr. Baker's card and said he would provide him with the Resolutions from the County Attorney. He added that the DEP would not allow him to operate without the Resolutions being in place. Mr. Baker said bureaucracy's left hand doesn't know what the right hand is doing. Mr. Rogener said if that was the case, John Hatzelis would have figured that out long before he came to Sussex County because it is impacting his numbers. The facility is in the Solid Waste Plan. Mr. Baker said he is allowed to receive the waste, but said the Solid Waste Plan is silent on where the waste comes from. He said there is no explicit authorization for him to get waste from Sussex County. Mr. Rogener said once the facility was put in the Solid Waste Plan, authorization was there. He again said that he would provide him with the documents, but said that he was probably better off getting the documents from the County or making a call to the DEP. He reiterated that his proposal would not impact the SCMUA at all and that it would benefit the County and Sparta Township.

Mr. Baker brought up the increased traffic. Mr. Rogener said currently he is permitted for 400 tons per day and he is just barely taking in more than 100 tons per day. If he takes in an additional 50 tons per day, it is still just 150 tons per day. He would not even be coming close to being a nuisance. He said there aren't enough tons up here. In fact, it's probably shrinking, not growing. In an effort to grow his business, he is trying to attract business in other ways.

Donald Ploetner asked how far out of the County will he travel to bring in ID-13. Mr. Rogener said the waste will be brought to him, probably from Warren and Passaic Counties. He said customers can either go south or east and get bogged down in traffic or, if the deal is good enough, they can come to Sussex County. He said he is not going to attract tons if they are farther down.

Tom Varro asked where the ID-13 residuals would go. Mr. Rogener said if the waste came from out-of-county, it could not go to SCMUA, it would go to a landfill. Jason Doyle asked how he distinguishes the material once it is processed if it is coming in from two different places. Mr. Rogener said he does not have a sophisticated facility, but he does have plenty of room, and because he does a minimal amount of tonnage, he is able to identify loads and dump three or four loads before another load shows up. That is how he is able to sort. He added that they get the tonnages and that

SCMUA gets a copy of his reports. Every month, C.E.C.S. brings SCMUA the tonnage that they are obligated to bring them.

Mr. Baker asked if his volume of business increases significantly, will he have to change the way he operates and, in that change, would he expect to lose control of being able to track the recyclable materials from the residuals. Mr. Rogener said that is why his number of employees has grown from five to 11. If his volume increases, he needs more employees. Otherwise it will take him longer to retrieve the material. When asked about the use of conveyor belts, Mr. Rogener said he would need to have some sort of a sorting system at about 275-325 tons per day. It may be as simple as a pre-screen right up to a picking station with eight to 12 poles which would feed four to six bins underneath. Jim Landrith asked about contamination. Mr. Rogener said when boxes come in; the operators can see what's in the box.

Mr. Ploetner asked when he plans to submit a formal application. Mr. Hatzelis suggested that Mr. Rogener submit an application and, when it is deemed "administratively complete," the SWAC has a set number of days to make a decision. Mr. Rogener thanked the SWAC for their time and said he was always available for questions.

OLD BUSINESS:

None

REPORTS:

A. SCMUA UPDATE:

Tom Varro provided the SWAC with a tonnage report from December 1, 2014 through August 31, 2015. FY 2015 is compared to tonnage with prior years for the same period. ID-10 had a little over 52,000 tons. Compared to 2014, this figure is up 0.6%; compared to FY 2013, it is down 2.8% within the level of accuracy. ID-10 Bagged Waste at the Resident's Convenience Center (RCC) was 825 tons, which is a little more than a 1% change from the year before.

ID-13 C&D waste was 3,953 tons and compared to 2014, it is down 48.2%. ID-13 waste was 4,219 tons in 2015 and compared to 2014, it was down 27.3%. The ID-13 CC Bulky at the RCC was 7,573 tons which is increased by 1.1% over 2014. Vegetative Waste to the landfill was minor as was Animal Waste and Industrial/Asbestos. These vary from year to year.

The overall tonnage difference from 2014 to 2015 is down 6.6% which is due to ID-13 Bulky and ID-13 C&D Waste. There was also a calculation on the tip fees in 2013 through 2015. In 2013, the revenue was \$8,199,232.00, which dropped by 2.9% in 2014 and then dropped again in 2015 by another 5.4%. There was also a calculation of ID-13 Bulky tonnage, converted into revenue. From 2014 to 2015, the difference of 1,585 tons resulted in a loss of \$182,275.00. For ID-13 C&D, the difference from 2014 to 2015 was \$422,855.00. The total loss for ID-13 was \$605,130.00 compared to last

year. The SCMUA is getting residual waste revenues from the C&D residuals from the Cavalier Facility. This amounted to \$144,793.00, making the net loss of revenue for ID-13 and ID-13C, \$460,337.00. Mr. Varro also reported that they are getting a large revenue from the landfill gas energy vendor. It appears that this revenue will help cover the loss.

Chris Fitzpatrick asked if he heard correctly that the major factor in the loss of revenue was due to Cavalier. Mr. Varro said for C&D, he can say that; for ID-13 Waste, he can't say at this time that it is due to Cavalier. That is only one of many possibilities. When asked, Mr. Varro gave a brief explanation of ID-13 C&D (construction and demolition) and ID-13 waste, which is household waste such as sofas, chairs, water heaters, air conditioners, etc., bulky items that are not put out on the curb. Mr. Fitzpatrick asked if the reduction in building in Sussex County was a major factor. Mr. Varro said that could be used as a theory, but ID-13 Bulky remains constant and maybe even a little bit up. This is not construction related and most of that construction related material is coming out from the C&D category and not just general bulky waste. Mr. Fitzpatrick asked if there were any figures as to the number of abandoned homes in Sussex County. There were no definitive answers. Mr. Fitzpatrick said it is probably a high number and that it has to affect the SCMUA's revenues. Mr. Varro said it would affect ID-10 revenue, regular household waste. The ID-10 numbers are constant and a little above. SCMUA has already seen the downturn on ID-10 numbers but they have remained fairly consistent over the last four years.

Howard Baker asked Adam Boltz if the number of containers of bulky waste being filled and transported is the same as it was years ago, or is it increasing or decreasing. Mr. Boltz said the Health Department only sees the containers that they tag. There may be containers out there that they haven't tagged. During this discussion Jason Doyle said at 1:30 a.m., he observed a roll-off container being loaded onto a truck. He did not recognize the name on the side of the dumpster but he did note the disposal tag number. Mr. Boltz asked him if he reported it to the Health Department. Mr. Doyle said he did not because he wanted to talk to him first. When asked, Mr. Doyle said this occurred at the end of July. Mr. Boltz advised him that as of June 30, the Health Department is no longer handling waste flow enforcement. Mr. Hatzelis said he was unaware of this. Mr. Boltz said that during the month of June, he was instructed that at the close of that month, the Health Department would no longer be handling waste flow enforcement.

Ms. Williams questioned what difference it makes for CECS to take in waste from out of the County; it has nothing to do with the County. The County agreement was already made and is over and done with. Mr. Baker said if CECS is allowed to bring in Class 13 waste from outside the County and there is no enforcement of flow control, word gets around and Sussex County's Class 13 waste goes to Cavalier instead of SCMUA, and SCMUA loses the revenue. Ms. Williamson said he already has 13 C&D, that's already been done. That was negotiated a long time ago. She said if she sees a box in her community that she's suspect about, she calls Mr. Boltz. She added that all municipal employees should be doing the same.

Eric Powell said the Correspondence included a Resolution from July 22, 2015 that says, "Enforcement actions to be provided by SCMUA for waste flow control. SCMUA is responsible for inspecting all facilities, MRFs, transportations, illegal landfills or otherwise for any violation of flow control." There is also a paragraph on Page 15 that talks about the inspection of transporters. That SCMUA, through their office of enforcement services, is going to be inspecting solid waste transporters. Mr. Varro said they are working with the County to make that happen, but they are not authorized to do any enforcement at this time. Mr. Powell questioned why a shared services agreement between the County and the MUA occurred without direct descriptions of who is going to do what. Mr. Hatzelis said it is there. The County Health Department has to send the Solid Waste Enforcement Plan to the State. The State has to certify it, and then they have to certify the MUA as a subcontractor with the DEP and the County Health Department. It has not been done yet, so the transfer of authority has not been accomplished with the DEP. Freeholder Liaison said he was unaware that the Health Department stopped waste flow enforcement. As the liaison, he will take this back to the Freeholder Board to straighten this out. He will also take it to the County Administrator tomorrow.

B. RECYCLING COORDINATOR:

Renee Casapulla said the SCMUA Annual Boat Shrink Wrap Recycling Program collected approximately eight tons of boat shrink wrap. In June, the first Household Hazardous Waste Collection Event for 2015 brought in 900 cars which included 10 schools and townships that they accommodated the Friday before the event. They collected approximately 1,500 tons of household hazardous waste.

Also in June, the SCMUA had their second Flag Retirement Ceremony at the Abbey Glen Pet Cemetery. This was the first Flag Retirement Ceremony that was conducted with Abbey Glen being permitted to accept the flags. Ms. Casapulla said 720 lbs. of flags were brought to Abbey Glen and that the ashes will be the first burial at the new Veteran's Cemetery during their opening ceremony.

The SCMUA had their third Electronics Recycling Collection Event on August 1, with 685 participants bringing in 31.65 tons of electronics. There were 15 schools and towns that came on the Friday before the event.

For the last five years, the SCMUA has taken part in Green Day at the Sussex County Farm and Horse Show. The entire day was dedicated to green activities involving private and public entities, businesses, etc.

Ms. Casapulla said that at the May meeting, she talked about the towns submitting their Recycling Tonnage Reports for 2014. All the towns have now completed their reports.

The SCMUA also conducts monthly medical waste disposal on the first Thursday of every month and monthly shredding programs. Both programs use a private vendor.

Between May and September, there were a number of tours conducted at the facility. Some of the tours were notable because they had Pocono Environmental Education Center (PEEC) and ARC in attendance. Schools have also attended these tours.

The last Electronics Recycling Collection Event for 2015 will be held on Saturday, October 10 from 7 a.m. to 2 p.m. The second Household Hazardous Waste Disposal Day for 2015 is scheduled for Saturday, November 7, also from 7 a.m. to 2 p.m. Schools and towns will be permitted to come on November 6, by appointment only.

Ms. Casapulla said there will be a Municipal Recycling Coordinator's meeting at the MUA on October 6 from 9 to 11:30 a.m. She said she hopes to do the 2014 Recycling Tonnage presentation during the October SWAC meeting.

C. STATE LIAISON:

The State Liaison did not attend this evening's meeting, nor did she provide a report.

DIRECTOR'S REPORTS:

A. ERIC SNYDER:

Eric Snyder was unable to attend this evening's meeting.

B. HEALTH DEPARTMENT:

Adam Boltz reported that his waste flow report is from the last meeting in May to June 30, 2015. A total of 183 waste containers were tagged and inspected. There were 42 solid waste containers closed since the last meeting. To date, 40 garbage truck routes were inspected, all but one are closed. Three new Notices of Violation were issued for improper container labeling. There was one Penalty Assessment for a Type 10 hauler that was taking garbage out of the County.

There were 11 new NJDEP Referred Investigations since the last meeting, for a year-to-date total of 18. Most of the investigations were for illegal dumping of solid waste. There was a total of five CEHA Solid Waste Complaint/Referrals, one new complaint since the last meeting. The complaint was for odors at the RER facility. The complaints are coming in anonymously and you have to have a property owner file a complaint for odors detected on his property.

Mr. Boltz said he completed seven new Convenience Center inspections, bringing the total to nine. These were for two Class B, four Class C and two Class A facilities. He also said he found a large condo/townhouse complex in Hamburg that was not recycling. The Health Department is bringing them into compliance. There were 35 truckloads inspected at the SCMUA landfill since the last meeting.

CORRESPONDENCE:

- A. SOLID WASTE FACILITY MONTHLY DISPOSAL AND MATERIALS RECOVERY REPORT FOR APRIL 2015, C.E.C.S., 5/15/15:
- B. SOLID WASTE TRANSPORTER MONTHLY DISPOSAL REPORT FOR FEBRUARY 2015, EQ NORTHEAST, 5/15/15:
- C. SOLID WASTE TRANSPORTER MONTHLY DISPOSAL REPORT FOR MARCH 2015, EQ NORTHEAST, 6/15/15:
- D. SOLID WASTE FACILITY MONTHLY DISPOSAL AND MATERIALS RECOVERY REPORT FOR MAY 2015, GRINNELL RECYCLING, 6/22/15:
- E. ARTICLE RE: HIGH COST OF RECYCLING PROGRAMS, THE WASHINGTON POST, 6/24/15:
- F. LETTER TO THE NJDEP RE: THE SCSWMP AMENDMENT TO EXPAND THE LANDFILL, E. SNYDER, 6/26/15:
- G. LETTER TO THE SWAC RE: CONSIDERATION OF AMENDMENT, S. ROGENER, 6/26/15:
- H. LETTER TO BACK THRU THE FUTURE RE: NOTICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE COMPLETENESS, NJDEP, 6/29/15:
- I. LETTER TO BACK THRU THE FUTURE RE: GENERAL APPROVAL RENEWAL, NJDEP, 7/6/15:
- J. SOLID WASTE FACILITY MONTHLY DISPOSAL AND MATERIALS RECOVERY REPORT FOR MARCH 2015 AND QUARTERLY LEACHATE REPORT, SCMUA, 7/15/15:
- K. SOLID WASTE FACILITY MONTHLY DISPOSAL AND MATERIALS RECOVERY REPORT FOR JUNE 2015, C.E.C.S., 7/22/15:
- L. RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING A SHARED SERVICE AGREEMENT FOR SOLID WASTE ENFORCEMENT, 7/22/15:
- M. SOLID WASTE TRANSPORTER MONTHLY DISPOSAL REPORT FOR JUNE 2015, ALLSTATE POWER VAC, 8/10/15:
- N. SOLID WASTE TRANSPORTER MONTHLY DISPOSAL REPORT FOR MAY 2015, ALLSTATE POWER VAC, 8/12/15:
- O. SOLID WASTE FACILITY MONTHLY DISPOSAL AND MATERIALS RECOVERY REPORT FOR JULY 2015, C.E.C.S., 8/19/15:
- P. NOTIFICATION OF EXEMPT RECYCLING ACTIVITIES, SWIM & TRIM, LLC D/B/A ALL POOL DEMOLITION, 8/31/15:

Tom Varro clarified Correspondence F. He said this letter was not about the Amendment to Expand the Landfill. He said it was about a generic requirement that DEP puts in all Solid Waste Management Plan Amendments, where they ask for plans and activities geared toward increasing recycling.

PUBLIC COMMENT:

John Williams introduced himself as the new County Counsel and said that he has been trying to observe all of the County meetings. He said the Shared Services Agreement talks about concurrent authority and implementation. This agreement was drafted during the change-over of County Counsels and the Health Department heads. He said he will follow-up with the County Administrator about this tomorrow.

ADJOURNMENT:

All business having been completed, a motion to adjourn the meeting was made by Jason Doyle. The motion was seconded by Donald Ploetner and carried unanimously. The meeting adjourned at 8:32 p.m.