

# COMPREHENSIVE COUNTY YOUTH SERVICES PLAN

JANUARY 2018 – DECEMBER 2020

## GUIDELINES



*NEW JERSEY  
JUVENILE JUSTICE COMMISSION*

**Christopher S. Porrino, Attorney General  
Chair, JJC Executive Board**

**Kevin M. Brown, Executive Director**

# *Planning Bodies*

CYSC – County Youth Services Commission

CJJSI – County Council on Juvenile Justice System Improvement

No.	Race/ Ethnicity*	Name & Designee	Position/Representative	CYSC	CJJSI
1	white	Kristen M. Turtur	Youth Services Commission Administrator	X	X
2	white	Honorable Maritza Berdote Byrne	Presiding Judge – Family Part of the Superior Court	X	
3	white	Theresa Mahoney, AFDM	Family Division Manager (or Assistant Family Division Manager)	X	X
4	white	Michael Lasko	Chief Probation Officer	X	X
5	white	Carl F. Lazzaro, Freeholder Director	Highest elected official of County government (e.g., Freeholder/ County Executive)	X	
6	white	Frances Koch	County Prosecutor	X	
7	white	Steven Insley	County Public Defender	X	
8	white	Melissa Latronica	County DCP&P District Manager	X	X
9	white	Cindy Armstrong	County Mental Health Administrator	X	
10	white	Rosalie Lamonte	County Superintendent of Schools	X	X
11	white	Gus Modla	Superintendent of the County Vocational School	X	X
12	white	Carol Novrit	County Human Services Department Director	X	X
13	white	Jill Cerullo	Youth Shelter Director	X	
14	white	Tom Pollio	Youth Detention Center Director	X	
15	white	Kattya Koenigsberg	Juvenile Family Crisis Intervention Unit - Director	X	
16	white	Chief Steven Pittigher	President – Juvenile Officers Association or other law enforcement representative who works primarily with youth/Police	X	X
17	white	Nick Loizzi	County Alcoholism and Drug Abuse Director	X	
18		vacant	Workforce Investment Board Representative	X	

\* Race/Ethnicity: White, Black, Hispanic or Other (Other represents Native American, Alaskan Native and Asian or Pacific Islander).

# *Planning Bodies*

CYSC – County Youth Services Commission

CJJSI – County Council on Juvenile Justice System Improvement

No	Race/ Ethnicity*	Name & Designee	Position/Representative	CYSC	CJJSI
19		vacant	Business Representative	X	
20	white	James Saylor	Court Liaison - Juvenile Justice Commission	X	X
23	white	Honorable Noah Franzblau	Juvenile Judge – Family Part of the Superior Court	X	X
24	black	Rashad Shaaka-Burns	Trial Court Administrator – Family Part of the Superior Court		X
25	white	Kerry Lynn Walsh	Family Division Manager – Family Part of the Superior Court		X
26	black	Courtnie Thomas	JJC JDAI Detention Specialist		X
27	white	Thomas Militano	County Public Defender’s Office	X	X
28	white	Rachelle Jones	County Prosecutor’s Office	X	X
29	white	Star Felty	Probation Division		X
30	white	Becky Carlson	Private/ Non-profit organization	X	X
31	white	Rachel Helt	Parents of youth in the juvenile justice system or youth member	X	X
32			Juvenile Justice		
33	White	Nilda Raftopoulos	Parent/Family/Youth Association	X	
34	white	David Johnston	Regional DCP&P Representative	X	
35	white	Benjamin Davey	County DCP&P Resource Development Sp	X	
36	White	James Mahoney	County Care Management Organization	X	X

\* Race/Ethnicity: White, Black, Hispanic or Other (Other represents Native American, Alaskan Native and Asian or Pacific Islander).

# *Planning Bodies*

CYSC – County Youth Services Commission

CJJSI – County Council on Juvenile Justice System Improvement

No	Race/ Ethnicity*	Name & Designee	Position/Representative	CYSC	CJJSI
37	White	Gina Marra	Community Provider Coordinator	X	X
38	White	Jennifer Colville	Detention Alternatives Supervisor	X	X
39	White	Sara Goutter	Community Residential Program Director	X	
40					
41					
42					
43					
44					
45					
46					
47					
48					
49					
50					
<b>Total Number of Members</b>					

\* Race/Ethnicity: White, Black, Hispanic or Other (Other represents Native American, Alaskan Native and Asian or Pacific Islander).

## County Management Structure

Name	Title	JJC Grants			Duties
		SCP	FC	JDAI	
Christine Florio*	Division Director	X		X	Provides supervision for all County Youth Services Commission activities, including meetings and planning activities. Also provides assistance and supervision for all county JDAI responsibilities. The Youth Services Coordinator reports directly to Ms. Florio
Kristen M. Turtur*	Youth Services Coordinator	X		X	Duties included but are not limited to the following in regards to the YSC: meetings, monitorings, oversight and completion of County Youth Plan, writing and administering contracts, data input into JAMS, review of monthly and quarterly reports from providers, and plan and organize SC Youth Services Commission meetings. Provides assistance and coordination of the county JDAI activities and is the contact person for Innovations programs. Also networks with local agencies and other planning bodies which have an interest in working with Sussex County youth.
MaryLee VanHooker	Fiscal Officer	X	X	X	Provide fiscal support and data entry into JAMS for Youth Service Coordinator. Provides reports as needed. Acts as liasion between Youth Services Coordinator and the Division Director and County Treasury.


### Legend

SCP – State Community Partnership  
 FC – Family Court

JDAI – Juvenile Detention Alternatives Initiative

\* Staff is funded in whole or part through a JJC grant.

**Sussex County Division of Community and Youth Services  
Organizational Chart**



# ***PLANNING PROCESS***

## ***SUSSEX County***

### **Instructions**

This section will allow you to describe to the public your county's planning process regarding identifying the needs of youth in your county. Your answers to each of the following questions should describe your county's planning *process*, **not the results/outcome** of the planning process. Answer all questions using this form.

1. List the County Youth Services Commission meetings held in 2017:

January 18-Youth Services Commission meeting

January 3, 17, 25-Youth Services Commission sub-committees meetings

February 15-Youth Services Commission meeting

February 7, 21, 22-Youth Services Commission sub-committees meetings

March 15-Youth Services Commission meeting

March 7, 21, 22-Youth Services Commission sub-committees meetings

April 19-Youth Services Commission meeting

April 4, 18, 26-Youth Services Commission sub-committees meetings

May 17-Youth Services Commission meeting

May 2, 16, 24-Youth Services Commission sub-committees meetings

June 21-Youth Services Commission meeting

June 6, 20-Youth Services Commission sub-committees meetings

July 19-Youth Services Commission meeting

July 18-Youth Services Commission sub-committees meetings

2. Describe the planning process for this Comprehensive Plan for all points of the continuum, indicating the planning activities that identified needs or service gaps. Also, indicate any policy or practice changes you have made at each point in the continuum based on your 2018-2020 Plan recommendations.

Prevention:

- April 5, 2017
  - Discussion:
    - the use of surveys (provider and youth) to identify gaps in service needs
    - the use of supplemental data, such as the NJ Kid's Count report
    - spoke of the planning process and the timeline, set meeting dates
    - overview of the comprehensive plan and expectations
- April 19, 2017
  - Discussion:
    - the county's current Prevention and Diversion programs, how effective those programs have been, where each stakeholder believes there were gaps in service, and assigning members to collect data to support his/her observations.
- May 17, 2017

- Discussion and Completion:
  - Prevention Analysis questions and review of data points worksheet

Diversion:

- April 5, 2017
  - Discussion:
    - Station House adjustment data and the lack thereof, how to track down supplemental data, possible reasons as to why
- April 19, 2017
  - Discussion and Completion:
    - spoke about the use of Station House Adjustment data
    - Diversion Analysis questions minus the FCIU data and the data points worksheet
- June 21, 2017
  - Discussion and Completion:
    - Spoke of the FCIU data

Detention:

- June 21, 2017
  - Discussion and Completion:
    - Review all local JDAI data
    - Detention Analysis questions and the data points worksheet

Disposition:

- June 21, 2017
  - Discussion and Completion:
  - Disposition Analysis questions and the data points worksheet

Reentry:

- May 17, 2017
  - Discussion and Completion:
    - spoke of the insignificant numbers relating to re-entry
    - Re-entry Analysis questions and the data points worksheet

Comments: On July 12, 2017, the Planning Committee had its final meeting. All sections were reviewed via email correspondences prior to the meeting. The committee discussed any clarifications needed. The committee completed the Vision section.

3. Use the table below to describe any additional data or information other than that provided by the JJC (i.e. JJC Residential and Commitments Data, Detention Statistics Report, etc.) used in your county's planning process. Attach any additional information you used (i.e., surveys, data, articles, questionnaires, etc.).

Point of Continuum	Description	Source	Timeframe/ Year(s)	How was the data used?
<i>Diversion</i>	<i>Ex: Municipal Arrest</i>	<i>State Police, Uniform Crime</i>	<i>Jan – Dec 2015</i>	<i>To focus on municipalities that had high arrest for youth.</i>



		<i>Report</i>		
<i>Disposition</i>	<i>Survey</i>	<i>YSC funded programs</i>	<i>February 2016</i>	<i>To understand from youth perspective what services they need to be successful.</i>
Prevention Diversion Disposition	2017 Youth Survey	SC Community and Youth Services	2017	To hear from system involved youth as to services gaps and needs
Prevention Diversion	Station House Adjustment Reports	SC Police Departments	2015	Identify offenses receiving station house adjustments
Detention Disposition	JDAI tracking forms	SC Detention Alternatives	2012-2015	Trends, length of stays, success rates of current services
Prevention Diversion Detention Disposition	Middle School Survey	NJ DMHAS	2015	Substance abuse related issues among students, protective and risk factors
Prevention Diversion	SC CLEAR Data	Center for Prevention and Counseling	2016-2017	Young adult substance use issues within the county, treatment options
Prevention Diversion	SC PRIDE Data	Center for Prevention and Counseling and SC Community and Youth Services	2015	National survey collected from schools identifying risk factors, substance use, and behaviors

Comments:


4. If you are a JDAI site, list topics and discussion points that were shared between the Youth Services Commission and the County Council on Juvenile Justice System Improvement and any activities that helped to facilitate the completion of this Comprehensive Plan.

The 2017 JDAI Innovations workgroup discussed program options or enhancements that would address service gaps or compliment already existing programs. Many of the Innovations members are also Planning Committee members. Conversations flow between both committees.

5. Describe efforts made by the YSC to seek additional funding to supplement the funding received through the Partnership/Family Court Program.
  1. JDAI 2018 Innovations Funding
  2. DCF Substance Use Navigator state position (local level)
  3. OJJDP FY 17 Mentoring Opportunities for Youth

Additional Comments:


# CY 2017 Existing Services Continuum of Care (Points of Intervention) County of Sussex



**Community Based Disposition Options  
(Post-Adjudicated Youth)**

*Name/LOS/Funding Source*

1. The Center For Prevention and Counseling (assessments/IOP/EIP-OP/aftercare/inpatient) (JJC Family Court/donations)
2. The Center For Evaluation and Counseling (group psycho-education) (JJC State Community Partnership)
3. Morris County Youth Shelter (2 beds and per diem) (Sussex County)
4. County Detention Alternatives Program (Home Detention/Home Supervision 365/24-7) (Sussex County)
- 5.



**Re-Entry Programs**

*Name/LOS/Funding Source*

1. Morris County Youth Shelter (2 beds and per diem) (Sussex County)
2. \_\_\_\_\_
3. \_\_\_\_\_
4. \_\_\_\_\_

Comments:

# DELINQUENCY PREVENTION DATA WORKSHEETS

## DEMOGRAPHICS

**Table 1. Total County Population by Gender, 2012, 2014 and 2015**

	2012		2014		2015		% Change 2012-2015
	Number	% of Total Population	Number	% of Total Population	Number	% of Total Population	
Males	73,235	49.7%	71,980	49.6%	71,327	49.6%	-2.6%
Females	74,207	50.3%	73,024	50.4%	72,346	50.4%	-2.5%
<b>TOTAL POPULATION</b>	<b>147,442</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>145,004</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>143,673</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>-2.6%</b>

*Source: Easy Access to Juvenile Populations: 1990-2015*

**Table 2. County Youth Population (ages 10-17) by Gender, 2012, 2014 and 2015**

	2012		2014		2015		% Change 2012-2015
	Number	% of Total Population	Number	% of Total Population	Number	% of Total Population	
Males (ages 10-17)	8,778	51.0%	8,278	50.6%	7,983	50.5%	-9.1%
Females (ages 10-17)	8,423	49.0%	8,096	49.4%	7,820	49.5%	-7.2%
<b>TOTAL YOUTH POPULATION (ages 10-17)</b>	<b>17,201</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>16,374</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>15,803</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>-8.1%</b>

*Source: Easy Access to Juvenile Populations: 1990-2015*

**Table 3. Total County Youth Population (ages 10-17) by Race, 2012 and 2015**

Race	2012		2015		% Change 2012-2015
	Number	% of Total Population	Number	% of Total Population	
White	16,243	94.4%	14,780	93.5%	-9.0%
Black	502	2.9%	559	3.5%	11.4%
Other*	456	2.7%	464	2.9%	1.8%
Total Youth Population	17,201	100.0%	15,803	100.0%	-8.1%

Source: Easy Access to Juvenile Populations: 1990-2015

\*See Required Data and Methodology Section

**Table 4. Total County Youth Population (ages 10-17) by Ethnicity, 2012 and 2015**

Ethnicity	2012		2015		% Change 2012-2015
	Number	% of Total Population	Number	% of Total Population	
Hispanic	1,514	8.8%	1,467	9.3%	-3.1%
Non -Hispanic	15,687	91.2%	14,336	90.7%	-8.6%
Total Youth Population	17,201	100.0%	15,803	100.0%	-8.1%

Source: Easy Access to Juvenile Populations: 1990-2015

## NATURE AND EXTENT OF DELINQUENCY

**Table 5. County Juvenile Arrests by Offense Category, 2012, 2014 and 2015**

Offense Categories	2012			2014			2015			% Change in Number of Arrests 2012-2015
	Number	% of All Juvenile Arrests	Rate per 1,000 youth	Number	% of All Juvenile Arrests	Rate per 1,000 youth	Number	% of All Juvenile Arrests	Rate per 1,000 youth	
Violent Offenses	26	7.4%	1.51	33	16.7%	2.0	41	18.1%	2.6	57.7%
Weapons Offenses	7	2.0%	0.4	6	3.0%	0.4	6	2.7%	0.4	-14.3%
Property Offenses	76	21.7%	4.4	48	24.2%	2.9	59	26.1%	3.7	-22.4%
Drug/Alcohol Offenses	126	35.9%	7.3	58	29.3%	3.5	45	19.9%	2.8	-64.3%
Special Needs Offenses	11	3.1%	0.6	15	7.6%	0.9	16	7.1%	1.0	45.5%
Public Order & Status Offenses	48	13.7%	2.8	14	7.1%	0.9	34	15.0%	2.2	-29.2%
All Other Offenses	57	16.2%	3.3	24	12.1%	1.5	25	11.1%	1.6	-56.1%
<b>GRAND TOTAL OF JUVENILE ARRESTS</b>	<b>351</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>20.4</b>	<b>198</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>12.1</b>	<b>226</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>14.3</b>	<b>-35.6%</b>

Source: Uniform Crime Report (New Jersey), 2012 and 2015

\*See Required Data and Methodology Section

**Table 6. Total County Youth Population compared to Juvenile Arrests by Race, 2012 and 2015**

Race	2012			2015			% Change 2012-2015	
	Youth Population	Juvenile Arrests	% of Youth Population Arrested	Youth Population	Juvenile Arrests	% of Youth Population Arrested	Youth Population	Juvenile Arrests
White	16,243	327	2.0%	14,780	145	1.0%	-9.0%	-55.7%
Black	502	21	4.2%	559	78	14.0%	11.4%	271.4%
Other*	456	3	0.7%	464	3	0.6%	1.8%	0.0%
Total	17,201	351	2.0%	15,803	226	1.4%	-8.1%	-35.6%

Source: Easy Access to Juvenile Populations: 1990-2015

\*See Required Data and Methodology Section

Source: Uniform Crime Report (New Jersey), 2012 and 2015

**Table 7. Total County Youth Population compared to Juvenile Arrests by Ethnicity, 2012 and 2015**

Ethnicity	2012			2015			% Change 2012-2015	
	Youth Population	Juvenile Arrests	% of Youth Population Arrested	Youth Population	Juvenile Arrests	% of Youth Population Arrested	Youth Population	Juvenile Arrests
Hispanic	1,514	21	1.4%	1,467	14	1.0%	-3.1%	-33.3%
Non-Hispanic	15,687	330	2.1%	14,336	212	1.5%	-8.6%	-35.8%
Total Youth Population	17,201	351	2.0%	15,803	226	1.4%	-8.1%	-35.6%

Source: Easy Access to Juvenile Populations: 1990-2015

Source: Uniform Crime Report (New Jersey), 2012 and 2015

**Table 8. Violence, Vandalism, Weapons, and Substance Abuse in County Schools, 2012-2013 & 2015-2016**

School Based Incidences	2012-2013		2015-2016		% Change in School Based Incidents
	Number	% of Total Incidences	Number	% of Total Incidences	
Incidents of Violence	122	56.0%	109	63.4%	-10.7%
Incidents of Vandalism	26	11.9%	14	8.1%	-46.2%
Incidents of Weapons	11	5.0%	11	6.4%	0.0%
Incidents of Substances	59	27.1%	38	22.1%	-35.6%
<b>TOTAL SCHOOL BASED INCIDENCES</b>	<b>218</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>172</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>-21.1%</b>

Source: New Jersey Department of Education, 2012-2013 & 2015-2016



## NATURE & EXTENT OF COMMUNITY FACTORS THAT PUT YOUTH AT RISK

**Table 9. Enrollment in and Dropouts from County Schools,  
Last 2 Years for Which Data are Available**

Academic Indicators	2012-2013	2014-2015	2015-2016	% Change Over Years
Total Enrollment	<del> </del>	21,398	20,848	-2.57%
Total Dropouts	65	54	<del> </del>	-16.92%

*Source: New Jersey Department of Education, 2012-2013, 2014-2015 and 2015-2016.*

**Table 10. Community Indicators of Children At Risk  
Last Years for Which Data Are Available**

Community Indicators	2009	2010	2012	2014	2015	% Change
Children Receiving TANF (Welfare)	<del> </del>	<del> </del>	346	292	222	-36%
Children receiving NJ SNAP (formerly food stamps)	<del> </del>	<del> </del>	2234	2004	1778	-20%
Child abuse/neglect substantiations	<del> </del>	100	184	187	<del> </del>	87%
Births to Teens (ages 10-19)	47	52	35	<del> </del>	<del> </del>	-26%

*Source: New Jersey Department of Human Services, 2009, 2010, 2012, 2014, and 2015.*

## DELINQUENCY PREVENTION ANALYSIS QUESTIONS

- When answering questions regarding trends, describe *whether* any change has occurred, the *direction* of any change (e.g., increase/up, decrease/down), and the *size* of any change (e.g., small, moderate, large).
- When answering questions regarding rank orders, draw comparisons between categories (e.g., using terms like least/smallest, most/largest).

### DEMOGRAPHICS

1. Using the data in Table 2 (County Youth Population, ages 10-17, Row 3), describe how the male, female, total youth population has changed between 2012 and 2015.

*The total youth population declined by 8.1% with a decline of 9.1% males and 7.2% females.*

2. Insert into the chart below the youth population by race and ethnicity beginning with the group that had the greatest number of youth in the year 2015.

Ranking of Youth Population by Race, 2015		
Rank	Group	Number
1	White	14,780
2	Black	559
3	Other	464

Ranking of Youth Population by Ethnicity, 2015		
Rank	Group	Number
1	Non-Hispanic	14,336
2	Hispanic	1,467

3. Insert into the chart below the youth population by race and ethnicity beginning with the group with the highest % change between 2012 and 2015.

Ranking of Total County Youth Population by Race, 2012 and 2015			
Rank	Group	% Change	Number
1	Black	11.4%	57
2	White	-9.0%	-1463
3	Other	1.8%	8

Ranking of Total County Youth Population by Ethnicity, 2012 and 2015			
Rank	Group	% Change	Number
1	Non-Hispanic	-8.6%	-1351
2	Hispanic	-3.1%	-47

4. Using the information in Question 1 and the ranking charts above, what does this information tell you about your county's overall youth population by gender, race and ethnicity in 2015? How has population changed since 2012?

*Sussex County remains predominately white. However, the decline in total youth is among the white, non-Hispanic population. There is a significant increase among the black population with an 11.4% increase. The other population has been steady between 2012 to 2015.*

## NATURE & EXTENT OF DELINQUENCY

### JUVENILE ARRESTS

5. Using Table 5 (County Juvenile Arrests by Offense Category, Row 8), describe the overall change in delinquency arrests between 2012 and 2015.

*The overall arrests have declined between 2012 and 2015 by 35.6%.*

6. Insert into the chart below juvenile arrests offense categories beginning with the category that has the greatest number of arrests in 2015.

Ranking of Offense Categories, 2015		
Rank	Offense Category	Number
1	Property Offenses	59
2	Drug/Alcohol Offenses	45
3	Violent Offenses	41
4	Public Order & Status Offenses	34
5	All Other Offenses	25
6	Special Needs Offenses	16
7	Weapons Offenses	6

7. Insert into the chart below juvenile arrests offense categories beginning with the highest % change between 2012 and 2015.

Ranking of Offense Categories between 2012 and 2015			
Rank	Offense Category	% Change	Number
1	Drug/Alcohol Offenses	-64.3%	-81
2	Violent Offenses	57.7%	15
3	All Other Offenses	-56.1%	-32
4	Special Needs Offenses	45.5%	5
5	Public Order & Status Offenses	-29.2%	-14
6	Property Offenses	-22.4%	-17
7	Weapons Offenses	-14.3%	-1

8. Using the information in Questions 5 and the ranking charts above, what does this information tell you about your county's overall juvenile arrests in 2015? How has juvenile arrests changed since 2012?

*Sussex County has had a significant decrease from 2012 to 2015 in Drug/Alcohol Offenses. It is concerning that there has been an increase in Violent Offenses though from 2012 to 2015. The data shows there has been a in all offenses represented except for Violent Offenses and Special Needs Offenses. The Planning Committee historically looks to address these areas of concerns and this planning cycle the committee will do the same.*

### **Disproportionate Minority Contact And Racial And Ethnic Disparities**

9. Looking at data worksheets Table 6 and 7 (Total County Youth Population compared to Juvenile Arrests by Race), describe the % of youth population arrested for 2015 (Column F) by Race and Ethnicity.

*Looking at the youth population by race in 2015, there was a 271.4% increase in black youth arrests, while there was a decline in white youth arrests by 55.7%. While there was an increase in the number of the black youth population, this percent increase is significant among this population. The white youth population has declined from 2012 to 2015, which brings the arrests down. However, looking at the non-Hispanic youth, the black population is factored in to this group and does not illustrate the significance until you separate the youth by race.*

10. Insert into the chart below Juvenile Arrests in 2015 by race and ethnicity, beginning with the group that had the greatest number of arrests.

Ranking of Juvenile Arrests by Race, 2015		
Rank	Group	Number
1	White	145
2	Black	78

3	Other	3
---	-------	---

Ranking of Juvenile Arrests by Ethnicity, 2015		
Rank	Group	Number
1	Non-Hispanic	212
2	Hispanic	14

11. Insert into the chart below Juvenile Arrests between 2012 and 2015 by Race and Ethnicity, beginning with the group that had the greatest % change.

Ranking of Juvenile Arrests by Race, 2012 and 2015			
Rank	Group	% Change	Number
1	Black	271.4%	424
2	White	-55.7%	-182
3	Other	0.0%	0

Ranking of Juvenile Arrests by Ethnicity, 2012 and 2015			
Rank	Group	% Change	Number
1	Non-Hispanic	-35.8%	-118
2	Hispanic	-33.3%	-7

12. Using the information in Questions 9 and ranking charts above, what does this information tell you about your county's overall juvenile arrest by race and ethnicity in 2015? How have juvenile arrests by race and ethnicity changed since 2012?

*As stated in question 9, looking at the non-Hispanic youth, the black population is factored in to this group and does not illustrate the significance until you separate the youth by race. Once separated, one can see the increase of black youth arrests since 2012 which may or may not be contributed to an increase in overall youth population, and conversely, there has been a decline in white youth arrests, which may or may not be contributed to the decline in this youth's overall population within the county.*

### **VIOLENCE, VANDALISM, WEAPONS, AND SUBSTANCE ABUSE IN COUNTY SCHOOLS**

➤ For Questions 13-15, use Table 8 (Violence, Vandalism, Weapons, and Substance Abuse in County Schools).

13. Look at the Total of School Based Incidences (Row 5) and describe the overall change in the total school based incidences over the academic periods, 2012-2013 and 2015-2016.

The total number of school incidents has declined by 21.1%, with the biggest decline among Incidents of Vandalism at a decline of 46.2%. The number and percentage of Incidents with weapons has remained the same at 11 between 2012 and 2015. It should be noted that even though there is a decrease in the number of incidents of violence, the number of incidents is still alarming.

14. Insert into the chart below school incidences beginning with the category that has the greatest number of incidences.

Ranking of School Based Incidences, 2015-2016		
Rank	Incidences	Number
1	Incidents of Violence	109
2	Incidents of Substances	38
3	Incidents of Vandalism	14
4	Incidents of Weapons	11

15. Insert into the chart below school incidences beginning with the highest % change between the academic periods 2012-2013 and 2015-2016.

Ranking of School Based Incidences between 2012-2013 and 2015-2016			
Rank	Incidents	% Change	Number
1	Incidents of Vandalism	-46.2%	-12
2	Incidents of Substances	-35.6%	-21
3	Incidents of Violence	-10.7%	-13
4	Incidents of Weapons	0	0

16. Using the information in Question 13, and ranking charts above, what does the information tell you about your county's overall school based incidents over the academic period 2015-2016. How has school based incidents changed since the academic period 2012-2013?

*Sussex County has had an overall decrease in school based incidents between 2012 and 2015; however the numbers reflect there are still an alarming number of incidents. When looking at the percentages of total incidences, they are comparable from 2012-2013 to 2015-2016, which one can surmise the numbers have held the steady over the years.*

## NATURE & EXTENT OF COMMUNITY FACTORS THAT PUT YOUTH AT RISK

## ENROLLMENT IN AND DROPOUTS FROM COUNTY SCHOOLS

- For Questions 17 use Table 9 (Enrollment in and Dropouts from County Schools).

17. Look at the % Change Over Years (Column E) and describe how enrollment in schools and dropouts has changed between academic periods 2014-2015 and 2015-2016.

*Total enrollment has dropped by 2.57%, and the dropout rate has decreased by .17%.*

## COMMUNITY INDICATORS OF CHILDREN AT RISK

- For Questions 18, use Table 10 (Community Indicators of Children At Risk).

18. Insert into the chart below the % Change Over Years (Column H), from largest to smallest.

Ranking of Community Indicators			
Rank	Community Indicator	% Change	Number
1	Child abuse/neglect substantiations	-68%	-401
2	Children receiving TANF (welfare)	-36%	-124
3	Birth to teens (ages 10-19)	-26%	-12
4	Children receiving NJ SNAP (formally food stamps)	-20%	-456

19. Using the information in the above chart, describe how the community indicators of children at risk changed over a period.

*In Sussex County the number of Child abuse/neglect substantiations decreased significantly from 588 in 2010 to 187 in 2014. There was also a significant decrease to Children receiving TANF services by 36%. However, Children receiving NJ SNAP services has only decreased by 20% but the number of Children needing this service has decreased significantly by 456 children.*

20. Using information from your county's Municipal Alliance Plan, describe the overall risk and protective factors for each domain. How was this information used in your planning process?

*The Municipal Alliance Plan no longer includes risk and protective factors in its plan. Utilizing the 2012 Middle School Survey from the Division of Mental Health and Addiction Services, the most significant trend is the increase in marijuana use from 2010 to 2012. The next trends which are concerning is the use of cigarettes, and prescription drug use within the last 30 day. There has also been a significant increase in school suspensions from 2010 to 2012.*

*The Planning Committee determined the focus should be on early intervention/prevention at an early age in areas of substance use, positive decision making and suspension intervention.*

## **IMPLICATIONS FOR DELINQUENCY PREVENTION PLAN**

Extent of Need (overall increases or decreases in population, arrests, incidents in school and community indicators)

21. Taken collectively, what do the increases and decreases in the answers to Question 1 (changes in youth population), Question 5 (changes in overall juvenile arrests) and Question 13 (Total of School Based Incidents), tell you about how your County's overall need for prevention programs/services have changed in recent years?

*The numbers in these areas have decreased from 2012 to 2015, which illustrates the County's programming is effective. In order to continue success, the County must continue prevention services. It should be noted, school based incidents may or may not be accurately reflective as the impact of the HIB law and the decrease in school staffing result in limited resource allocations to other areas of need.*

Nature of Need (specific changes in the nature of populations, arrests, incidents in school and community indicators)

22. Based on the answers to Question 12 (nature and change in the nature of delinquency arrests), Question 16 (nature and change in the nature of school based incidents), Question 19 (change in the nature of community indicators), and Question 20 (highest priority risk factors), which offense categories and which indicators of youth at risk seem reasonable to address through your County's delinquency prevention programs/services?

*The increase in violent offenses is very concerning, as well as substance use among our youth. These areas are the priority focus. Sussex County families continue to struggle in this economy illustrated through the 2015 US Census statistics. It is reasonable to address these two areas of focus through delinquency prevention programs and services.*

23. Looking at your answers to Questions 9, what does this information tell you collectively about the youth population and juvenile arrests in your county by race and ethnicity at this point of the juvenile justice continuum within your county?

*The number of arrests to the number of black youth population is disproportionate among the black population. Programs must be culturally focused.*

### **Other Data Regarding Extent and Nature of Need – Delinquency Prevention Programs**

24. Was additional data, not provided by the JJC, used in your county's planning process? (If other data was used submit a copy in Chapter 13.)

What does any other available data tell you about how your County's overall need for prevention programs has changed in recent years and which offense categories and which indicators of youth at risk seem reasonable to address through your County's prevention



programs/services? Are there additional data that relates to Disproportionate Minority Contact or Racial And Ethnic Disparities?

*The US Census bureau data, the 2017 Youth Survey, Station House Adjustment data, JDAI Innovations data, the Sussex County CLEAR data all support to areas of focus identified through the use of the JJC data given, substance abuse treatment, and violence and aggression among youth. Future programming needs to be culturally focused to address the disproportionate, racial, and ethnic disparities.*

## RECOMMENDATIONS

25. Looking at your answers to Questions 21, 22 and 24, state the need and/or service gap to be addressed. Cite the data that supports the need and/or service gap. List your recommendations for your County's juvenile prevention plan.

State need and/or service gap to be addressed	Cite the data that indicates the need and/or service gap exists	Recommended service/program activity to address the need and/or service gap
Substance Use	Station House Adjustment data, JDAI Innovations data, the Sussex County CLEAR data, the 2012 Sussex County Middle School Survey	Early Intervention, Out-patient services, intensive Out-patient services, In-patient services, Aftercare
Anger Management	The annual report for Violence, Vandalism, Weapons, and Substance Abuse in County Schools, Uniform Crime Report, 2017 Youth Survey	Anger Management/Conflict Resolution Program
Family Support/Engagement	2017 Youth Survey, 2015 PRIDE Data	Family Engagement Program
Positive/Healthy Decision Making, Skill Building	2017 Youth Survey, 2015 PRIDE Data	Positive and Healthy Decision Making Program, Skill Building Program

*Comments:*

26. Looking at your answers to Questions 23 and 24 what recommendations or strategies would your county make with regards to Delinquency Prevention policy and practice through the lens of race and ethnicity? What recommendations or strategies would your county consider to ensure similar outcomes for similarly situated youth?

*Comments:*

All services and programming must be culturally competent in service delivery. All staff facilitating these programs must receive cultural competency training as a requirement in the RFP proposal. However, it should be noted, the county's general overall population is not racially/ethnically diverse. When looking at the Disposition data, the racial/ethnic disparities are within one municipality that has a Residential Treatment Center which hosts youth from throughout the state.



OFFENSE		AGE AND SEX						TOTAL	RACE				ETHNIC -- ORIG		TOTAL	REF TO JUV CT
		0-9	10-12	13-14	15	16	17		WHITE	BLACK	INDIAN	ASIAN	HISP	NON HIS		
<b>1901 Andover Boro</b>																
Drug Abuse-Total	M	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	3
	F	0	0	0	0	1	2	3								
Poss/Use-Sub Total	M	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	3
	F	0	0	0	0	1	2	3								
Marijuana 187	M	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	3
	F	0	0	0	0	1	2	3								
Total For Mun: Andover Boro	M	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	3
	F	0	0	0	0	1	2	3								































OFFENSE		AGE AND SEX						TOTAL	RACE				ETHNIC - ORIG		TOTAL	REF TO JUV CT
		0-9	10-12	13-14	15	16	17		WHITE	BLACK	INDIAN	ASIAN	HISP	NON HIS		
<b>1918 Sparta Twsp</b>																
Aggravated Aslt	M	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	2
040	F	0	0	0	0	0	0	0								
<b>Sub Total</b>	<b>M</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	
	<b>F</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>								
Simple Assault	M	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	
080	F	0	0	0	0	0	1	1								
Arson	M	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	
090	F	0	0	0	0	0	0	0								
Weapons	M	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	
150	F	0	0	0	0	0	0	0								
Sex Offenses	M	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	
170	F	0	0	0	0	0	0	0								
<b>Drug Abuse-Total</b>	<b>M</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	
	<b>F</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>								
<b>Sale-Sub Total</b>	<b>M</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	
	<b>F</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>								
Marijuana	M	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	
182	F	0	0	1	0	0	0	1								
<b>Poss/Use-Sub Total</b>	<b>M</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	
	<b>F</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>								
Marijuana	M	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	
187	F	0	0	0	0	0	0	0								
Liquor Laws	M	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	
220	F	0	0	0	0	0	0	0								
All Other Off	M	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	
260	F	0	0	0	0	0	0	0								
<b>Total For Mun: Sparta Twsp</b>	<b>M</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>13</b>	
	<b>F</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>								



OFFENSE		AGE AND SEX							RACE					ETHNIC - ORIG		TOTAL	REF TO JUV CT	
		0-9	10-12	13-14	15	16	17	TOTAL	WHITE	BLACK	INDIAN	ASIAN	HISP	NON HIS				
<b>1920</b>	<b>Stillwater Twsp</b>																	
Liquor Laws	M	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	0		
220	F	0	0	0	0	0	2	2										
<b>Total For Mun:</b>	<b>M</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>		
<b>Stillwater Twsp</b>	<b>F</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>										



OFFENSE		AGE AND SEX						TOTAL	RACE				ETHNIC - ORIG		TOTAL	REF TO JUV CT
		0-9	10-12	13-14	15	16	17		WHITE	BLACK	INDIAN	ASIAN	HISP	NON HIS		
<b>1922</b>	<b>Vernon Twsp</b>															
Aggravated Aslt	M	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	2
040	F	0	0	0	0	0	0	0								
<b>Sub Total</b>	<b>M</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>
	<b>F</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>								
Simple Assault	M	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	2
080	F	0	0	0	0	0	0	0								
Stolen Property	M	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
130	F	0	1	0	0	0	0	1								
Malicious Mschf	M	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
140	F	0	0	0	0	0	0	0								
Sex Offenses	M	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
170	F	0	0	0	0	0	0	0								
<b>Drug Abuse-Total</b>	<b>M</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>
	<b>F</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>								
<b>Poss/Use-Sub</b>	<b>M</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>F</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>								
Opium	M	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
186	F	0	0	0	0	0	0	0								
Marijuana	M	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
187	F	0	0	0	0	0	1	1								
Drunken Driving	M	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
210	F	0	0	0	0	0	1	1								
Liquor Laws	M	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
220	F	0	0	0	0	1	0	1								
Disordrly Cndt	M	0	0	0	1	2	0	3	3	0	0	0	1	2	3	3
240	F	0	0	0	0	0	0	0								
All Other Off	M	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
260	F	0	0	0	0	0	0	0								
<b>Total For Mun:</b>	<b>M</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>Vernon Twsp</b>	<b>F</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>								

OFFENSE		AGE AND SEX						TOTAL	RACE				ETHNIC - ORIG		TOTAL	REF TO JUV CT
		0-9	10-12	13-14	15	16	17		WHITE	BLACK	INDIAN	ASIAN	HISP	NON HIS		
<b>1924</b>	<b>Wantage Twsp</b>															
Larceny - Theft	M	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	2	3	3
060	F	0	0	0	1	1	1	3								
M V Theft	M	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
070	F	0	0	0	0	0	1	1								
<b>Sub Total</b>	<b>M</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>
	<b>F</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>								
Simple Assault	M	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
080	F	0	0	0	0	0	1	1								
Malicious Mschf	M	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	2
140	F	0	0	1	0	0	1	2								
<b>Drug Abuse-Total</b>	<b>M</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>
	<b>F</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>								
<b>Poss/Use-Sub</b>	<b>M</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>F</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>								
Marijuana	M	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	3	0	0	0	1	2	3	3
187	F	0	0	1	0	0	1	2								
Non-Narcotic	M	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
189	F	0	0	0	0	0	0	0								
Drunken Driving	M	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
210	F	0	0	0	0	0	1	1								
Liquor Laws	M	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
220	F	0	0	0	0	0	1	1								
Disordrly Cndt	M	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	3	3	2
240	F	0	0	1	0	0	2	3								
<b>Total For Mun:</b>	<b>M</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>Wantage Twsp</b>	<b>F</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>14</b>								







**PREVENTION**

**DATA**

---

**Easy Access to Juvenile Populations: County Comparisons  
Table: Year by County in New Jersey**

Selecting:  
Year = 2012, 2015

counts	2012	2015 Total	
All Counties	8874893	8958013	17832906
Atlantic County	275763	274219	549982
Bergen County	920006	938506	1858512
Burlington County	451227	450226	901453
Camden County	512993	510923	1023916
Cape May County	96447	94727	191174
Cumberland County	157677	155854	313531
Essex County	787707	797434	1585141
Gloucester County	289719	291479	581198
Hudson County	656840	674836	1331676
Hunterdon County	126495	125488	251983
Mercer County	369171	371398	740569
Middlesex County	824638	840900	1665538
Monmouth County	629075	628715	1257790
Morris County	497395	499509	996904
Ocean County	580592	588721	1169313
Passaic County	505392	510916	1016308
Salem County	65656	64180	129836
Somerset County	328674	333654	662328
Sussex County	147048	143673	290721
Union County	544840	555786	1100626
Warren County	107538	106869	214407

Suggested Citation: Puzzanchera, C., Sladky, A. and Kang, W. (2016).  
Easy Access to Juvenile Populations: 1990-2015. Online.  
Available: <http://www.ojjdp.gov/ojstatbb/ezapop/>

www.ojjdp.gov/ojstatbb/ezapop/

Easy Access to Juvenile Populations: County Comparisons  
 Table: Age by County in New Jersey

Selecting:

Year = 2015

Age = 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17

counts	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17 Total	
All Counties	112005	113952	113370	112978	115788	118443	117539	118622	922697
Atlantic County	3390	3316	3284	3368	3443	3594	3487	3495	27377
Bergen County	11574	12056	12041	11751	12247	12648	12547	12545	97409
Burlington County	5660	5660	5749	5673	5833	6037	6022	6125	46759
Camden County	6575	6649	6496	6577	6670	6878	6915	6925	53685
Cape May County	856	944	947	936	987	1028	1002	1026	7726
Cumberland County	2058	2000	1934	1978	2019	2001	1956	1934	15880
Essex County	10397	10621	10546	10408	10368	10632	10440	10646	84058
Gloucester County	3727	3926	3868	3860	4037	4198	4128	4176	31920
Hudson County	6618	6643	6321	6409	6567	6650	6563	6648	52419
Hunterdon County	1547	1639	1731	1751	1891	2015	1971	1969	14514
Mercer County	4534	4528	4628	4555	4771	4763	4746	4835	37360
Middlesex County	10223	10417	10287	10251	10503	10455	10506	10754	83396
Monmouth County	8021	8352	8597	8553	8583	9011	9081	9067	69265
Morris County	6418	6683	6817	6870	6984	7225	7190	7122	55309
Ocean County	7762	7764	7489	7460	7477	7449	7320	7385	60106
Passaic County	6842	6789	6739	6674	6821	7039	6795	7030	54729
Salem County	769	818	766	803	844	863	922	876	6661
Somerset County	4542	4607	4587	4588	4828	4919	4940	4897	37908
Sussex County	1767	1854	1859	1935	2041	2059	2114	2174	15803
Union County	7465	7318	7322	7207	7389	7470	7441	7512	59124
Warren County	1260	1368	1362	1371	1485	1509	1453	1481	11289

Suggested Citation: Puzzanchera, C., Sladky, A. and Kang, W. (2016).  
 Easy Access to Juvenile Populations: 1990-2015. Online.  
 Available: <http://www.ojjdp.gov/ojstatbb/ezapop/>

**Easy Access to Juvenile Populations: County Comparisons**  
**Table: Sex by County in New Jersey**

Selecting:

Year = 2015

Age = 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17

counts	Male	Female	Total
All Counties	471217	451480	922697
Atlantic County	14091	13286	27377
Bergen County	49619	47790	97409
Burlington County	23664	23095	46759
Camden County	27539	26146	53685
Cape May County	3945	3781	7726
Cumberland County	8075	7805	15880
Essex County	42463	41595	84058
Gloucester County	16488	15432	31920
Hudson County	27016	25403	52419
Hunterdon County	7376	7138	14514
Mercer County	18907	18453	37360
Middlesex County	43013	40383	83396
Monmouth County	35220	34045	69265
Morris County	28244	27065	55309
Ocean County	30875	29231	60106
Passaic County	28085	26644	54729
Salem County	3448	3213	6661
Somerset County	19368	18540	37908
Sussex County	7983	7820	15803
Union County	30119	29005	59124
Warren County	5679	5610	11289

Suggested Citation: Puzzanchera, C., Sladky, A. and Kang, W. (2016).

Easy Access to Juvenile Populations: 1990-2015. Online.

Available: <http://www.ojjdp.gov/ojstatbb/ezapop/>

**Easy Access to Juvenile Populations: County Comparisons**  
**Table: Ethnicity by County in New Jersey**

Selecting:

Year = 2015

Age = 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17

counts	Non Hispanic	Hispanic	Total
All Counties	709849	212848	922697
Atlantic County	20410	6967	27377
Bergen County	76549	20860	97409
Burlington County	42089	4670	46759
Camden County	42335	11350	53685
Cape May County	6760	966	7726
Cumberland County	9491	6389	15880
Essex County	63468	20590	84058
Gloucester County	29286	2634	31920
Hudson County	23599	28820	52419
Hunterdon County	13487	1027	14514
Mercer County	29538	7822	37360
Middlesex County	62042	21354	83396
Monmouth County	60187	9078	69265
Morris County	47430	7879	55309
Ocean County	52370	7736	60106
Passaic County	28949	25780	54729
Salem County	5816	845	6661
Somerset County	31569	6339	37908
Sussex County	14336	1467	15803
Union County	40154	18970	59124
Warren County	9984	1305	11289

Suggested Citation: Puzzanchera, C., Sladky, A. and Kang, W. (2016).  
 Easy Access to Juvenile Populations: 1990-2015. Online.  
 Available: <http://www.ojjdp.gov/ojstatbb/ezapop/>

**Easy Access to Juvenile Populations: County Comparisons**

**Table: Race by County in New Jersey**

**Selecting:**

**Year = 2015**

**Age = 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17**

counts	White	Black	American Indian	Asian	Total
All Counties	662547	161506	7620	91024	922697
Atlantic County	18273	6079	281	2744	27377
Bergen County	73281	7674	546	15908	97409
Burlington County	33696	9990	247	2826	46759
Camden County	35163	14541	453	3528	53685
Cape May County	6904	640	29	153	7726
Cumberland County	10945	4245	352	338	15880
Essex County	40407	38295	802	4554	84058
Gloucester County	26126	4463	108	1223	31920
Hudson County	35262	10605	982	5570	52419
Hunterdon County	13368	404	45	697	14514
Mercer County	22539	9621	311	4889	37360
Middlesex County	48960	11774	804	21858	83396
Monmouth County	58005	6375	288	4597	69265
Morris County	46923	2279	190	5917	55309
Ocean County	55303	3007	271	1525	60106
Passaic County	41400	8969	1079	3281	54729
Salem County	5222	1287	45	107	6661
Somerset County	26032	4405	238	7233	37908
Sussex County	14780	559	50	414	15803
Union County	39946	15511	463	3204	59124
Warren County	10012	783	36	458	11289

**Suggested Citation: Puzzanchera, C., Sladky, A. and Kang, W. (2016).**

**Easy Access to Juvenile Populations: 1990-2015. Online.**

**Available: <http://www.ojjdp.gov/ojstatbb/ezapop/>**

**Appendix D: District Totals by County, 2015-16**

DISTRICT	EVVRS Incidents							TOTAL
	ENROLLMENT	VIOLENCE	VANDALISM	WEAPONS	SUBSTANCES	HIB		
<b>ATLANTIC</b>								
ABSECON CITY	862	4	0	0	0	4	8	
ATLANTIC CITY	7,130	95	13	9	52	14	181	
ATLANTIC CO SPECIAL SERV	375	9	0	0	0	1	10	
ATLANTIC CO VOCATIONAL	1,499	19	1	1	13	4	37	
BRIGANTINE CITY	660	4	0	0	0	5	9	
BUENA REGIONAL	1,878	31	6	3	5	18	61	
EGG HARBOR CITY	527	5	2	1	1	5	14	
EGG HARBOR TWP	7,394	55	9	5	20	14	101	
ESTELL MANOR CITY	173	0	0	0	0	0	0	
FOLSOM BORO	401	0	0	0	0	1	1	
GALLOWAY TWP	3,286	0	2	2	2	30	36	
GREATER EGG HARBOR REG	3,273	79	10	4	27	4	120	
HAMILTON TWP	3,076	27	5	5	3	43	82	
HAMMONTON TOWN	3,645	8	2	2	8	12	30	
LINWOOD CITY	832	0	0	0	0	1	1	
MAINLAND REGIONAL	1,316	3	4	0	18	12	36	
MARGATE CITY	399	1	0	0	0	0	1	
MULLICA TWP	743	5	0	1	0	6	12	
NORTHFIELD CITY	908	0	0	0	0	0	0	
PLEASANTVILLE CITY	3,608	7	4	6	36	46	98	
PORT REPUBLIC CITY	123	0	0	0	0	0	0	
SOMERS POINT CITY	997	16	0	1	1	10	27	
VENTNOR CITY	753	1	0	0	0	1	2	
WEYMOUTH TWP	169	0	0	1	0	0	1	
<b>Atlantic County Total</b>	<b>44,027</b>	<b>369</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>186</b>	<b>231</b>	<b>868</b>	
<b>BERGEN</b>								
ALLENDALE BORO	904	0	1	0	0	0	1	
ALPINE BORO	153	0	0	0	0	0	0	
BERGEN CO SPECIAL SERVICE	735	10	1	1	6	4	20	
BERGEN COUNTY VOCATIONAL	2,153	9	2	2	4	7	24	
BERGENFIELD BORO	3,506	7	2	1	7	36	53	
BOGOTA BORO	1,129	3	0	0	1	8	12	
CARLSTADT BORO	561	2	0	1	0	3	6	
CARLSTADT-EAST RUTHERFORD	461	3	2	0	3	9	17	
CLIFFSIDE PARK BORO	2,997	8	3	0	3	0	14	
CLOSTER BORO	1,119	1	0	0	0	8	9	
CRESSKILL BORO	1,789	1	0	1	0	1	2	
DEMAREST BORO	667	5	2	0	0	4	10	
DUMONT BORO	2,532	6	1	1	4	24	35	
EAST RUTHERFORD BORO	826	0	0	0	0	0	0	
EDGEWATER BORO	917	0	1	0	0	1	2	
ELMWOOD PARK	2,525	35	2	3	3	15	58	
EMERSON BORO	1,174	2	0	1	0	11	13	
ENGLEWOOD CITY	3,102	35	4	2	10	28	78	
ENGLEWOOD CLIFFS BORO	548	4	3	0	0	2	9	



**Appendix D: District Totals by County, 2015-16**

DISTRICT	EVRS Incidents							TOTAL
	ENROLLMENT	VIOLENCE	VANDALISM	WEAPONS	SUBSTANCES	HIB		
FAIR LAWN BORO	4,788	17	2	1	0	29	49	
FAIRVIEW BORO	1,333	18	5	1	0	1	25	
FORT LEE BORO	3,724	16	1	0	3	22	41	
FRANKLIN LAKES BORO	1,173	2	0	0	0	0	2	
GARFIELD CITY	4,778	20	0	1	1	40	62	
GLEN ROCK BORO	2,418	3	3	0	1	1	8	
HACKENSACK CITY	5,625	26	2	3	13	42	85	
HARRINGTON PARK BORO	633	1	0	0	0	4	5	
HASBROUCK HEIGHTS BORO	1,865	9	1	1	2	7	20	
HAWORTH BORO	419	0	1	0	0	0	1	
HILLSDALE BORO	1,186	2	1	1	0	0	3	
HO HO KUS BORO	618	0	0	0	0	1	1	
LEONIA BORO	1,846	5	3	2	11	1	22	
LITTLE FERRY BORO	975	5	0	0	0	0	5	
LODI BOROUGH	3,199	6	3	0	1	9	19	
LYNDHURST TWP	2,399	17	2	0	0	9	28	
MAHWAH TWP	2,980	11	16	0	14	7	48	
MAYWOOD BORO	967	8	0	0	0	0	8	
MIDLAND PARK BORO	971	1	0	1	4	15	20	
MONTVALE BORO	1,000	0	0	0	0	5	5	
MOONACHIE BORO	309	0	1	0	0	0	1	
NEW MILFORD BORO	1,991	3	3	1	1	3	11	
NORTH ARLINGTON BORO	1,768	9	1	1	4	16	31	
NORTHERN HIGHLANDS REG	1,334	3	0	0	6	8	17	
NORTHERN VALLEY REGIONAL	2,498	6	0	0	17	12	34	
NORTHVALE BORO	523	0	0	0	0	2	2	
NORWOOD BORO	579	0	0	0	0	0	0	
OAKLAND BORO	1,475	5	0	0	0	2	7	
OLD TAPPAN BORO	704	6	0	0	0	0	6	
ORADELL BORO	756	0	0	0	0	1	1	
PALISADES PARK	1,659	5	3	0	1	7	14	
PARAMUS BORO	3,883	6	7	3	7	41	64	
PARK RIDGE BORO	1,213	1	1	0	2	1	5	
PASCACK VALLEY REGIONAL	2,061	1	3	0	6	18	28	
RAMAPO-INDIAN HILL REG	2,275	12	1	0	12	7	32	
RAMSEY BORO	2,832	2	0	1	10	10	23	
RIDGEFIELD BORO	1,699	11	0	0	8	6	25	
RIDGEFIELD PARK TWP	2,380	15	0	0	14	0	29	
RIDGEWOOD VILLAGE	5,640	6	4	1	6	6	23	
RIVER DELL REGIONAL	1,642	0	1	0	0	3	4	
RIVER EDGE BORO	1,171	0	0	0	0	0	0	
RIVER VALE TWP	1,183	0	1	1	0	1	3	
ROCHELLE PARK TWP	475	0	0	0	0	0	0	
RUTHERFORD BORO	2,461	7	3	0	4	13	26	
SADDLE BROOK TWP	1,711	6	1	1	6	6	20	
SADDLE RIVER BORO	178	0	0	0	0	0	0	

**Appendix D: District Totals by County, 2015-16**

DISTRICT	EVRS Incidents						
	ENROLLMENT	VIOLENCE	VANDALISM	WEAPONS	SUBSTANCES	HIB	TOTAL
SOUTH BERGEN JOINTURE COM	343	0	0	0	0	2	2
SOUTH HACKENSACK TWP	244	0	0	0	0	1	1
TEANECK TWP	3,647	12	1	6	21	19	55
TENAFLY BORO	3,605	5	1	0	2	10	18
UPPER SADDLE RIVER BORO	1,229	6	1	0	0	1	8
WALDWICK BORO	1,558	4	3	0	1	5	13
WALLINGTON BORO	1,294	5	1	1	1	6	14
WESTWOOD REGIONAL	2,743	5	4	0	10	25	44
WOODCLIFF LAKE BORO	766	0	0	0	0	0	0
WOOD-RIDGE BORO	1,189	9	2	2	4	4	19
WYCKOFF TWP	2,073	3	0	0	0	2	5
<b>Bergen County Total</b>	<b>133,773</b>	<b>451</b>	<b>107</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>234</b>	<b>592</b>	<b>1,405</b>
<b>BURLINGTON</b>							
BASS RIVER TWP	110	0	0	0	0	0	0
BEVERLY CITY	290	4	0	2	0	8	12
BORDENTOWN REGIONAL	2,551	14	12	0	12	2	40
BURLINGTON CITY	1,717	22	4	2	13	6	46
BURLINGTON CO SPEC SERV	568	19	0	2	18	0	37
BURLINGTON CO VOCATIONAL	2,063	28	2	2	10	9	51
BURLINGTON TWP	3,926	26	2	5	2	24	58
CHESTERFIELD TWP	758	5	1	0	0	1	7
CINNAMINSON TWP	2,441	9	6	2	2	16	35
DELANCO TWP	395	2	0	0	0	5	7
DELTRAN TWP	3,020	7	6	3	3	21	40
EASTAMPTON TWP	591	3	0	0	0	7	10
EDGEWATER PARK TWP	855	8	0	2	0	0	9
EVESHAM TWP	4,453	14	1	1	1	20	36
FLORENCE TWP	1,578	21	3	3	4	4	35
HAINESPORT TWP	613	11	0	0	0	11	22
LENAPE REGIONAL	6,756	38	10	2	27	4	77
LUMBERTON TWP	1,376	20	0	3	0	16	38
MANSFIELD TWP	623	0	1	0	0	1	2
MAPLE SHADE TWP	2,180	12	1	3	7	21	44
MEDFORD LAKES BORO	540	0	0	0	0	5	5
MEDFORD TWP	2,718	5	2	0	0	7	13
MOORESTOWN TWP	3,881	8	1	0	0	40	47
MOUNT HOLLY TWP	988	25	1	5	0	10	38
MOUNT LAUREL TWP	4,206	5	0	1	0	11	17
NEW HANOVER TWP	192	5	0	0	0	3	8
NORTH HANOVER TWP	1,194	9	1	3	0	6	17
NORTHERN BURLINGTON REG	2,047	30	2	2	18	4	56
PALMYRA BORO	936	5	2	0	3	5	15
PEMBERTON TWP	5,028	93	24	8	33	28	180
RANCOCAS VALLEY REGIONAL	2,061	11	9	2	9	1	31
RIVERSIDE TWP	1,395	14	3	1	2	0	20
RIVERTON	288	3	2	0	0	1	6

**Appendix D: District Totals by County, 2015-16**

DISTRICT	EVRS Incidents						
	ENROLLMENT	VIOLENCE	VANDALISM	WEAPONS	SUBSTANCES	HIB	TOTAL
SHAMONG TWP	771	2	0	0	0	1	3
SOUTHAMPTON TWP	699	12	3	0	0	5	20
SPRINGFIELD TWP	222	0	0	1	0	0	1
TABERNACLE TWP	747	2	0	1	0	5	8
WASHINGTON TWP	37	0	0	0	0	0	0
WESTAMPTON	979	3	0	0	1	1	5
WILLINGBORO TWP	3,660	136	10	5	3	6	157
WOODLAND TWP	151	1	0	0	0	2	3
<b>Burlington County Total</b>	<b>69,604</b>	<b>632</b>	<b>109</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>168</b>	<b>317</b>	<b>1,256</b>
<b>CAMDEN</b>							
AUDUBON BORO	1,537	8	0	0	3	6	17
BARRINGTON BORO	618	6	1	1	0	3	10
BELLMAWR BORO	1,192	4	0	0	0	9	11
BERLIN BORO	851	0	0	0	0	1	1
BERLIN TWP	647	1	0	1	0	3	5
BLACK HORSE PIKE REGIONAL	3,708	32	4	7	34	35	109
BROOKLAWN BORO	319	3	0	1	0	5	8
CAMDEN CITY	9,294	24	6	13	1	36	72
CAMDEN COUNTY VOCATIONAL	2,085	35	5	4	9	9	61
Camden Prep, Inc.	285	2	0	0	0	0	2
CHERRY HILL TWP	11,134	50	24	9	32	87	198
CLEMENTON BORO	435	0	0	1	0	3	4
COLLINGSWOOD BORO	1,945	11	2	0	3	6	22
EASTERN CAMDEN COUNTY REG	2,038	13	2	0	15	4	34
GIBBSBORO BORO	252	0	0	0	0	0	0
GLOUCESTER CITY	2,087	5	1	1	3	10	19
GLOUCESTER TWP	6,362	31	8	3	2	34	77
HADDON HEIGHTS BORO	1,297	5	1	2	9	7	24
HADDON TWP	2,073	3	17	0	1	4	25
HADDONFIELD BORO	2,660	0	0	0	0	0	0
KIPP: Cooper Norcross	498	1	0	0	0	3	4
LAUREL SPRINGS BORO	200	0	0	0	0	0	0
LAWNSIDE BORO	289	12	1	0	0	1	14
LINDENWOLD BORO	2,743	37	8	2	6	15	63
MAGNOLIA BORO	429	12	0	0	0	0	12
Mastery Schools of Camden, Inc.	1,406	11	4	6	1	6	27
MERCHANTVILLE BORO	373	0	0	0	0	0	0
MOUNT EPHRAIM BORO	437	0	0	0	0	1	1
OAKLYN BORO	383	3	0	0	0	4	7
PENNSAUKEN TWP	5,055	40	4	18	6	5	60
PINE HILL BORO	1,866	33	2	2	4	39	79
RUNNEMEDE BORO	865	14	1	1	0	3	18
SOMERDALE BORO	520	8	0	0	0	0	8
STERLING HIGH SCHOOL DIST	929	11	1	1	7	3	23
STRATFORD BORO	850	0	0	0	0	5	5
VOORHEES TWP	2,960	6	1	0	0	8	14

**Appendix D: District Totals by County, 2015-16**

DISTRICT	EWRS Incidents							TOTAL
	ENROLLMENT	VIOLENCE	VANDALISM	WEAPONS	SUBSTANCES	HIB		
WATERFORD TWP	835	3	1	0	0	5	9	
WINSLOW TWP	4,884	35	5	4	18	35	97	
WOODLYNNE BORO	377	0	0	1	0	0	1	
<b>Camden County Total</b>	<b>76,718</b>	<b>459</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>154</b>	<b>395</b>	<b>1,141</b>	
<b>CAPE MAY</b>								
AVALON BORO	49	0	0	0	0	0	0	
CAPE MAY CITY	186	0	0	0	0	3	3	
CAPE MAY CO SPECIAL SERV	229	7	2	2	4	5	19	
CAPE MAY CO VOCATIONAL	660	9	5	2	5	1	22	
DENNIS TWP	541	0	0	0	0	1	1	
LOWER CAPE MAY REGIONAL	1,373	28	1	1	24	8	59	
LOWER TWP	1,732	5	0	3	0	6	13	
MIDDLE TWP	2,506	47	2	4	5	3	61	
NORTH WILDWOOD CITY	271	0	0	0	0	0	0	
OCEAN CITY	2,142	12	1	0	4	9	26	
STONE HARBOR BORO	68	0	0	0	0	0	0	
UPPER TWP	1,410	0	1	0	0	3	4	
WEST CAPE MAY BORO	84	0	0	0	0	0	0	
WILDWOOD CITY	857	9	3	2	3	4	18	
WILDWOOD CREST BORO	254	0	0	0	0	1	1	
WOODBINE BORO	221	4	0	0	0	2	6	
<b>Cape May County Total</b>	<b>12,583</b>	<b>121</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>233</b>	
<b>CUMBERLAND</b>								
BRIDGETON CITY	5,747	205	31	13	4	28	274	
COMMERCIAL TWP	584	4	0	5	2	3	12	
CUMBERLAND CO VOCATIONAL	272	0	0	0	0	0	0	
CUMBERLAND REGIONAL	1,255	52	5	0	13	4	73	
DEERFIELD TWP	325	0	0	0	0	4	4	
DOWNE TWP	180	0	0	0	0	3	3	
FAIRFIELD TWP	640	13	0	1	3	5	22	
GREENWICH TWP	60	0	0	0	0	0	0	
HOPEWELL TWP	493	6	4	0	0	4	13	
LAWRENCE TWP	487	0	0	1	0	4	5	
MAURICE RIVER TWP	418	4	1	1	0	2	8	
MILLVILLE CITY	5,646	110	11	14	25	23	176	
STOW CREEK TWP	112	0	0	0	0	0	0	
UPPER DEERFIELD TWP	921	0	2	0	0	5	7	
VINELAND CITY	9,800	138	19	13	29	46	240	
<b>Cumberland County Total</b>	<b>26,939</b>	<b>532</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>131</b>	<b>837</b>	
<b>ESSEX</b>								
BELLEVILLE TOWN	4,544	37	2	4	8	34	85	
BLOOMFIELD TWP	6,337	31	2	2	2	19	52	
CALDWELL-WEST CALDWELL	2,610	1	2	0	2	7	12	
CEDAR GROVE TWP	1,606	7	2	0	0	3	12	
CITY OF ORANGE TWP	5,113	30	3	4	15	10	62	
EAST ORANGE	9,219	140	18	22	40	22	227	

**Appendix D: District Totals by County, 2015-16**

DISTRICT	EVRS Incidents						
	ENROLLMENT	VIOLENCE	VANDALISM	WEAPONS	SUBSTANCES	HIB	TOTAL
ESSEX CO ED SERV COMM	83	4	1	0	1	0	6
ESSEX CO VOC-TECH	2,225	6	2	6	9	7	29
ESSEX FELLS BORO	205	0	0	0	0	0	0
FAIRFIELD TWP	645	0	0	0	0	4	4
GLEN RIDGE BORO	1,897	0	0	0	0	12	12
IRVINGTON TOWNSHIP	6,688	4	1	5	3	3	14
LIVINGSTON TWP	5,902	16	7	1	9	10	43
MILLBURN TWP	4,903	8	2	0	2	5	17
MONTCLAIR TOWN	6,663	39	6	3	6	11	62
NEWARK CITY	36,035	194	58	35	37	72	382
NORTH CALDWELL BORO	673	0	0	0	0	0	0
NUTLEY TOWN	4,026	12	0	3	2	19	35
ROSELAND BORO	441	0	0	0	0	5	5
SOUTH ORANGE-MAPLEWOOD	6,872	82	20	11	29	11	141
VERONA BORO	2,145	9	3	1	3	15	30
WEST ESSEX REGIONAL	1,698	6	3	1	9	8	26
WEST ORANGE TOWN	6,682	47	12	2	33	37	127
<b>Essex County Total</b>	<b>117,209</b>	<b>673</b>	<b>144</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>210</b>	<b>314</b>	<b>1,383</b>
<b>GLOUCESTER</b>							
CLAYTON BORO	1,449	31	0	2	2	3	38
CLEARVIEW REGIONAL	2,418	27	6	2	8	37	79
DELSEA REGIONAL H.S. DIST.	1,614	17	3	1	9	19	49
DEPTFORD TWP	4,348	3	0	1	0	13	16
EAST GREENWICH TWP	1,276	0	0	0	0	4	4
ELK TWP	334	5	1	0	0	0	6
FRANKLIN TWP	1,387	5	1	4	0	18	27
GATEWAY REGIONAL	945	20	1	3	5	11	40
GLASSBORO	2,134	3	2	4	0	18	27
GLOUCESTER CO SPEC SERV	680	1	0	0	1	10	12
GLOUCESTER CO VOCATIONAL	1,332	5	0	3	0	0	8
GREENWICH TWP	465	0	0	0	0	3	3
HARRISON TWP	1,456	2	0	0	0	0	2
KINGSWAY REGIONAL	2,562	44	3	0	8	8	62
LOGAN TWP	853	0	0	1	0	11	12
MANTUA TWP	1,246	1	0	0	0	0	1
MONROE TWP	6,112	41	7	7	20	30	99
NATIONAL PARK BORO	271	0	1	0	0	3	4
PAULSBORO BORO	1,164	14	3	0	2	17	36
PITMAN BORO	1,418	7	1	0	1	10	19
SOUTH HARRISON TWP	385	3	0	0	0	0	3
SWEDESBORO-WOOLWICH	1,714	19	1	0	0	8	28
WASHINGTON TWP	7,323	35	6	2	16	22	81
WENONAH BORO	192	0	0	0	0	0	0
WEST DEPTFORD TWP	2,961	38	2	1	1	21	63
WESTVILLE BORO	355	2	0	0	0	2	4
WOODBURY CITY	1,514	32	3	3	0	4	42

**Appendix D: District Totals by County, 2015-16**

DISTRICT	EVRS Incidents						
	ENROLLMENT	VIOLENCE	VANDALISM	WEAPONS	SUBSTANCES	HIB	TOTAL
WOODBURY HEIGHTS BORO	224	0	0	0	0	1	1
<b>Gloucester County Total</b>	<b>48,130</b>	<b>355</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>273</b>	<b>766</b>
<b>HUDSON</b>							
BAYONNE CITY	9,368	45	5	5	16	10	80
EAST NEWARK BORO	268	0	0	0	0	0	0
GUTTENBERG TOWN	1,003	8	2	3	0	13	24
HARRISON TOWN	2,128	7	1	1	5	6	20
HOBOKEN CITY	1,943	21	0	0	3	9	33
HUDSON COUNTY VOCATIONAL	2,357	3	1	0	2	3	9
JERSEY CITY	27,488	49	8	18	71	66	201
KEARNY TOWN	5,910	42	9	8	21	26	104
NORTH BERGEN TWP	7,866	23	7	1	23	16	70
SECAUCUS TOWN	2,119	7	0	0	5	19	31
UNION CITY	12,077	70	11	8	2	7	92
WEEHAWKEN TWP	1,380	0	0	0	0	17	17
WEST NEW YORK TOWN	7,759	26	5	9	27	26	87
<b>Hudson County Total</b>	<b>81,666</b>	<b>301</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>175</b>	<b>218</b>	<b>768</b>
<b>HUNTERDON</b>							
ALEXANDRIA TWP	485	0	0	0	0	2	2
BETHLEHEM TWP	383	0	0	0	0	1	1
BLOOMSBURY BORO	120	0	0	0	0	2	2
CALIFON BORO	108	0	0	0	0	1	1
CLINTON TOWN	455	1	0	0	0	2	3
CLINTON TWP	1,387	6	1	1	0	4	12
DELAWARE TWP	402	3	1	1	0	1	6
DELAWARE VALLEY REGIONAL	838	9	1	0	4	3	17
EAST AMWELL TWP	364	0	0	0	0	0	0
FLEMINGTON-RARITAN REG	3,151	4	1	0	0	1	6
FRANKLIN TWP	293	8	0	0	0	0	8
FRENCHTOWN BORO	140	0	1	0	0	1	2
HAMPTON BORO	122	0	0	1	0	0	1
HIGH BRIDGE BORO	375	1	0	0	0	3	4
HOLLAND TWP	556	0	0	0	0	1	1
HUNTERDON CENTRAL REG	2,944	13	8	2	15	17	54
HUNTERDON CO ED SER COMM	58	24	2	0	2	1	29
HUNTERDON CO VOCATIONAL	276	3	1	0	0	1	5
KINGWOOD TWP	343	1	0	0	0	1	2
LEBANON BORO	100	0	0	0	0	0	0
LEBANON TWP	659	0	0	0	0	0	0
MILFORD BORO	95	0	0	0	0	0	0
N HUNT/VOORHEES REGIONAL	2,713	4	5	0	10	20	39
READINGTON TWP	1,674	11	1	0	0	2	14
SOUTH HUNTERDON REGIONAL	943	2	0	1	4	2	9
TEWKSBURY TWP	599	0	1	0	1	1	3
UNION TWP	449	3	0	0	0	1	4
<b>Hunterdon County Total</b>	<b>20,030</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>225</b>

**Appendix D: District Totals by County, 2015-16**

DISTRICT	EVRS Incidents							TOTAL
	ENROLLMENT	VIOLENCE	VANDALISM	WEAPONS	SUBSTANCES	HIB		
<b>MERCER</b>								
EAST WINDSOR REGIONAL	5,140	33	11	2	7	33	84	
EWING TWP	3,548	31	1	5	12	16	65	
HAMILTON TWP	11,530	89	19	13	48	99	263	
HOPEWELL VALLEY REGIONAL	3,649	2	2	2	7	10	23	
Katzenbach	93	0	0	0	0	6	6	
LAWRENCE TWP	3,923	31	7	2	4	34	76	
MERCER CO SPECIAL SERVICE	645	15	0	0	0	0	15	
MERCER COUNTY VOCATIONAL	569	9	0	1	3	2	15	
PRINCETON REGIONAL	3,542	19	0	7	1	3	29	
ROBBINSVILLE TWP	2,987	12	1	2	4	8	25	
TRENTON CITY	11,035	129	8	12	12	5	161	
W WINDSOR-PLAINSBORO REG	9,608	30	10	2	8	20	68	
<b>Mercer County Total</b>	<b>56,265</b>	<b>400</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>106</b>	<b>236</b>	<b>830</b>	
<b>MIDDLESEX</b>								
CARTERET BORO	3,712	59	12	2	6	12	89	
CRANBURY TWP	492	0	1	0	0	0	1	
DUNELLEN BORO	1,113	9	1	0	0	2	12	
EAST BRUNSWICK TWP	8,084	33	7	3	27	62	128	
EDISON TWP	15,020	24	3	4	9	20	59	
HIGHLAND PARK BORO	1,612	22	6	4	4	7	42	
JAMESBURG BORO	662	0	1	3	0	0	4	
METUCHEN BORO	2,231	9	0	3	4	15	30	
MIDDLESEX BORO	2,058	13	5	5	4	1	28	
MIDDLESEX CO REG SER COMM	704	1	0	1	1	0	2	
MIDDLESEX CO VOCATIONAL	2,090	5	0	1	7	17	30	
MILLTOWN BORO	715	4	1	0	0	12	17	
MONROE TWP	6,456	10	5	0	4	26	44	
NEW BRUNSWICK CITY	8,975	93	9	6	18	88	211	
NORTH BRUNSWICK TWP	6,063	43	9	7	10	23	87	
OLD BRIDGE TWP	8,919	55	11	4	23	37	128	
PERTH AMBOY CITY	10,548	143	15	25	16	39	230	
PISCATAWAY TWP	7,240	19	2	4	14	20	58	
SAYREVILLE BORO	6,068	32	4	6	15	13	66	
SOUTH AMBOY CITY	1,067	32	0	3	2	5	40	
SOUTH BRUNSWICK TWP	8,711	28	4	5	16	11	64	
SOUTH PLAINFIELD BORO	3,528	13	5	3	10	11	41	
SOUTH RIVER BORO	2,213	8	4	0	2	7	21	
SPOTSWOOD BORO	1,770	8	2	0	9	0	19	
WOODBRIIDGE TWP	13,654	26	5	7	46	137	219	
<b>Middlesex County Total</b>	<b>123,700</b>	<b>689</b>	<b>112</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>247</b>	<b>565</b>	<b>1,670</b>	
<b>MONMOUTH</b>								
ASBURY PARK CITY	1,919	40	11	10	7	5	72	
ATLANTIC HIGHLANDS BORO	327	0	0	0	0	0	0	
AVON BORO	146	0	0	0	0	0	0	
BAYSHORE JOINTURE COMM	52	0	0	0	0	0	0	

**Appendix D: District Totals by County, 2015-16**

DISTRICT	EVRS Incidents						
	ENROLLMENT	VIOLENCE	VANDALISM	WEAPONS	SUBSTANCES	HIB	TOTAL
BELMAR BORO	556	1	0	0	0	5	6
BRADLEY BEACH BORO	295	2	0	0	0	0	2
BRIELLE BORO	551	0	0	0	0	0	0
COLTS NECK TWP	955	1	0	0	0	8	9
DEAL BORO	165	0	0	0	0	1	1
EATONTOWN BORO	1,050	1	0	2	0	5	7
FAIR HAVEN BORO	1,012	0	0	0	0	4	4
FARMINGDALE BORO	168	0	0	0	0	0	0
FREEHOLD BORO	1,702	18	4	2	0	20	44
FREEHOLD REGIONAL	10,976	51	5	2	48	26	130
FREEHOLD TWP	3,824	1	0	1	1	14	17
HAZLET TWP	3,022	12	9	0	6	1	28
HENRY HUDSON REGIONAL	295	4	0	2	1	6	13
HIGHLANDS BORO	192	1	0	1	0	1	2
HOLMDEL TWP	2,965	5	1	2	4	11	23
HOWELL TWP	5,970	16	3	1	5	14	39
KEANSBURG BORO	1,512	35	4	0	12	1	52
KEYPORT BORO	1,073	11	0	1	2	12	26
LITTLE SILVER BORO	845	1	0	1	0	0	1
LONG BRANCH CITY	5,706	24	2	3	15	28	70
MANALAPAN-ENGLISHTOWN REG	5,000	1	0	0	0	20	21
MANASQUAN BORO	1,576	4	1	0	6	4	15
MARLBORO TWP	5,006	1	0	0	0	10	11
MATAWAN-ABERDEEN REGIONAL	3,794	36	2	6	4	15	62
MIDDLETOWN TWP	9,628	32	3	2	26	21	81
MILLSTONE TWP	1,199	2	0	0	0	6	8
MONMOUTH BEACH BORO	251	1	0	0	0	0	1
MONMOUTH CO VOCATIONAL	2,250	7	0	2	4	6	18
MONMOUTH REGIONAL	979	17	11	1	2	2	33
Monmouth-Ocean Ed Serv Comm	Not Available	5	1	3	0	0	7
NEPTUNE CITY	363	27	3	2	1	4	33
NEPTUNE TWP	4,249	81	9	11	12	2	106
OCEAN TWP	3,660	6	2	2	9	6	24
OCEANPORT BORO	614	5	3	0	0	0	8
RED BANK BORO	1,255	10	0	1	0	5	16
RED BANK REGIONAL	1,192	11	0	0	19	6	36
ROOSEVELT BORO	81	0	0	0	0	0	0
RUMSON BORO	971	1	1	0	0	2	4
RUMSON-FAIR HAVEN REG	984	1	0	0	4	6	11
SEA GIRT BORO	153	0	0	0	0	0	0
SHORE REGIONAL	609	4	0	0	12	6	21
SHREWSBURY BORO	512	1	0	1	0	1	3
SPRING LAKE BORO	209	0	0	0	0	1	1
SPRING LAKE HEIGHTS BORO	346	0	0	0	0	0	0
TINTON FALLS	1,509	9	3	2	0	6	17
UNION BEACH	624	5	1	0	0	0	6



**Appendix D: District Totals by County, 2015-16**

DISTRICT	EVRS Incidents						
	ENROLLMENT	VIOLENCE	VANDALISM	WEAPONS	SUBSTANCES	HIB	TOTAL
UPPER FREEHOLD REGIONAL	2,312	13	3	0	6	16	38
WALL TWP	3,599	11	0	0	7	17	34
WEST LONG BRANCH BORO	580	2	0	2	0	6	10
<b>Monmouth County Total</b>	<b>98,779</b>	<b>517</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>213</b>	<b>330</b>	<b>1,171</b>
<b>MORRIS</b>							
BOONTON TOWN	1,359	2	1	1	11	5	18
BOONTON TWP	452	1	0	0	0	0	1
BUTLER BORO	1,149	13	2	0	4	10	29
CHESTER TWP	1,132	0	0	0	0	1	1
DENVILLE TWP	1,630	5	1	1	0	5	11
DOVER TOWN	3,214	7	0	3	4	32	44
EAST HANOVER TWP	970	12	1	2	0	3	16
EDUC SERV COMM MORRIS CO	62	0	0	0	0	0	0
FLORHAM PARK BORO	960	1	0	2	0	4	6
HANOVER PARK REGIONAL	1,555	9	2	0	16	18	45
HANOVER TWP	1,459	15	3	1	0	13	31
HARDING TOWNSHIP	303	0	0	0	0	1	1
JEFFERSON TWP	3,150	21	5	8	9	21	62
KINNELON BORO	1,952	4	2	2	3	15	25
LINCOLN PARK BORO	935	0	3	0	0	14	17
LONG HILL TWP	821	2	0	0	0	1	3
MADISON BORO	2,567	10	0	1	6	13	29
MENDHAM BORO	566	0	0	0	0	2	2
MENDHAM TWP	708	0	0	0	0	0	0
MINE HILL TWP	359	0	0	0	0	0	0
MONTVILLE TWP	3,774	16	5	1	6	16	44
MORRIS COUNTY VOCATIONAL	1,006	2	3	0	2	3	10
MORRIS HILLS REGIONAL	2,777	2	0	2	16	8	28
MORRIS PLAINS BORO	604	0	0	0	0	0	0
MORRIS SCHOOL DISTRICT	5,229	38	6	4	38	40	120
MOUNT ARLINGTON BORO	333	0	0	0	0	3	3
MOUNT OLIVE TWP	4,568	10	2	0	2	21	35
MOUNTAIN LAKES BORO	1,523	3	7	0	1	5	16
NETCONG BORO	289	0	0	0	0	3	3
PARSIPPANY-TROY HILLS TWP	7,013	16	15	5	16	25	75
PEQUANNOCK TWP	2,163	14	5	2	4	21	46
RANDOLPH TWP	4,788	5	5	0	0	13	23
RIVERDALE BORO	342	4	1	2	0	2	9
ROCKAWAY BORO	583	0	0	0	0	10	10
ROCKAWAY TWP	2,399	1	0	0	0	3	4
ROXBURY TWP	3,669	10	1	2	15	24	51
SCH DIST OF THE CHATHAMS	4,154	8	2	1	8	30	48
WASHINGTON TWP	2,206	0	0	0	0	8	8
WEST MORRIS REGIONAL	2,604	4	1	0	7	10	22
WHARTON BORO	789	1	0	0	0	9	10
<b>Morris County Total</b>	<b>76,112</b>	<b>236</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>168</b>	<b>412</b>	<b>906</b>

**Appendix D: District Totals by County, 2015-16**

DISTRICT	EVRS Incidents							TOTAL
	ENROLLMENT	VIOLENCE	VANDALISM	WEAPONS	SUBSTANCES	HIB		
<b>OCEAN</b>								
BARNEGAT TWP	3,148	19	3	5	13	26	61	
BAY HEAD BORO	149	0	0	0	0	0	0	
BEACH HAVEN BORO	63	0	0	0	0	0	0	
BERKELEY TWP	2,105	1	0	0	0	2	3	
BRICK TWP	8,905	78	3	4	10	21	112	
CENTRAL REGIONAL	1,971	7	2	1	3	23	35	
EAGLESWOOD TWP	151	0	0	0	0	1	1	
ISLAND HEIGHTS BORO	118	0	0	0	0	0	0	
JACKSON TWP	8,658	33	12	2	45	42	134	
LACEY TWP	4,120	4	3	0	5	12	24	
LAKEHURST BORO	363	11	0	0	0	0	11	
LAKESWOOD TWP	6,032	42	3	5	13	34	93	
LAVALLETTE BORO	143	0	1	1	0	2	2	
LITTLE EGG HARBOR TWP	1,572	20	1	5	0	9	31	
LONG BEACH ISLAND	233	2	0	0	0	0	2	
MANCHESTER TWP	2,968	28	3	3	5	15	54	
OCEAN COUNTY VOCATIONAL	1,314	2	0	0	7	3	12	
OCEAN GATE BORO	140	1	0	0	0	1	2	
OCEAN TWP	513	0	0	0	0	8	8	
PINELANDS REGIONAL	1,553	19	8	1	6	10	44	
PLUMSTED TWP	1,445	16	5	3	3	18	43	
POINT PLEASANT BEACH BORO	758	1	1	0	4	5	11	
POINT PLEASANT BORO	2,741	1	4	0	1	7	13	
SEASIDE HEIGHTS BORO	221	0	0	0	0	1	1	
SOUTHERN REGIONAL	2,877	13	3	1	18	14	49	
STAFFORD TWP	2,196	7	0	1	0	3	11	
TOMS RIVER REGIONAL	15,732	57	11	11	43	125	246	
TUCKERTON BORO	316	0	0	0	0	0	0	
<b>Ocean County Total</b>	<b>70,502</b>	<b>362</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>176</b>	<b>382</b>	<b>1,003</b>	
<b>PASSAIC</b>								
BLOOMINGDALE BORO	590	0	0	0	0	2	2	
CLIFTON CITY	10,865	123	5	14	28	115	285	
HALEDON BORO	1,058	27	4	3	0	1	33	
HAWTHORNE BORO	2,323	23	1	0	1	7	32	
LAKELAND REGIONAL	953	8	0	0	13	4	25	
LITTLE FALLS TWP	874	5	1	0	0	7	13	
NORTH HALEDON BORO	690	1	0	0	0	8	8	
PASSAIC CITY	14,190	50	4	4	48	105	208	
PASSAIC CO ED SERV COMM	38	0	0	0	0	0	0	
PASSAIC CO MANCHESTER REG	865	17	2	0	1	0	20	
PASSAIC COUNTY VOCATIONAL	3,359	14	8	0	18	12	52	
PASSAIC VALLEY REGIONAL	1,298	8	3	1	2	3	17	
PATERSON CITY	25,038	75	17	10	153	185	430	
POMPTON LAKES BORO	1,664	0	0	0	1	5	6	
PROSPECT PARK BORO	914	0	0	0	0	12	12	

**Appendix D: District Totals by County, 2015-16**

DISTRICT	EVRS Incidents							TOTAL
	ENROLLMENT	VIOLENCE	VANDALISM	WEAPONS	SUBSTANCES	HIB		
RINGWOOD BORO	1,199	6	0	0	4	14	24	
TOTOWA BORO	1,081	0	0	0	0	2	2	
WANAQUE BORO	943	9	0	0	0	0	9	
WAYNE TWP	8,021	25	3	2	16	38	82	
WEST MILFORD TWP	3,491	13	4	1	8	4	27	
WOODLAND PARK	1,068	20	4	0	0	11	35	
<b>Passaic County Total</b>	<b>80,522</b>	<b>424</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>293</b>	<b>535</b>	<b>1,322</b>	
<b>SALEM</b>								
ALLOWAY TWP	383	0	0	1	0	1	2	
ELSINBORO TWP	128	0	0	0	0	0	0	
LOWER ALLOWAYS CREEK	161	0	1	0	0	0	1	
MANNINGTON TWP	185	0	0	0	0	3	3	
OLDMANS TWP	289	2	0	0	0	1	3	
PENNS GRV-CARNEY'S PT REG	2,177	3	0	0	4	2	9	
PENNSVILLE	1,712	6	1	0	0	12	19	
PITTSGROVE TWP	1,695	17	3	2	6	10	37	
QUINTON TWP	348	2	0	0	1	0	3	
SALEM CITY	1,173	14	0	3	0	0	17	
SALEM CO SPECIAL SERVICE	239	7	1	0	7	0	15	
SALEM COUNTY VOCATIONAL	824	6	0	0	3	3	12	
UPPER PITTSGROVE TWP	347	0	1	0	0	0	1	
WOODSTOWN-PILES GROVE REG	1,511	24	6	0	3	6	38	
<b>Salem County Total</b>	<b>11,171</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>160</b>	
<b>SOMERSET</b>								
BEDMINSTER TWP	518	0	0	0	0	1	1	
BERNARDS TWP	5,639	4	3	2	14	18	38	
BOUND BROOK BORO	1,823	26	3	6	6	41	79	
BRANCHBURG TWP	1,469	0	2	0	0	0	2	
BRIDGEWATER-RARITAN REG	8,453	33	12	11	33	23	107	
FRANKLIN TWP	7,325	39	18	14	28	30	122	
GREEN BROOK TWP	909	5	0	0	0	1	6	
HILLSBOROUGH TWP	7,189	13	1	2	17	12	45	
MANVILLE BORO	1,423	19	3	1	1	11	33	
MONTGOMERY TWP	4,763	2	4	4	0	19	27	
NORTH PLAINFIELD BORO	3,202	25	6	4	13	5	53	
SOMERSET CO ED SERV COMM	127	32	4	3	1	0	39	
SOMERSET CO VOCATIONAL	529	4	3	0	0	2	9	
SOMERSET HILLS REGIONAL	2,012	4	1	0	4	4	12	
SOMERVILLE BORO	2,393	24	1	2	18	10	55	
SOUTH BOUND BROOK	450	1	1	0	0	8	10	
WARREN TWP	1,779	2	0	0	0	8	10	
WATCHUNG BORO	682	0	0	0	0	2	2	
WATCHUNG HILLS REGIONAL	2,145	1	0	0	15	0	16	
<b>Somerset County Total</b>	<b>52,827</b>	<b>234</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>150</b>	<b>195</b>	<b>666</b>	
<b>SUSSEX</b>								
ANDOVER REG	507	2	3	2	0	0	6	

**Appendix D: District Totals by County, 2015-16**

DISTRICT	EVRS Incidents							TOTAL
	ENROLLMENT	VIOLENCE	VANDALISM	WEAPONS	SUBSTANCES	HIB		
BYRAM TWP	874	4	1	0	0	14	19	
FRANKFORD TWP	525	2	0	0	0	4	6	
FRANKLIN BORO	474	0	0	0	0	0	0	
FREDON TWP	232	0	0	0	0	0	0	
GREEN TWP	461	0	0	0	0	0	0	
HAMBURG BORO	252	0	0	0	0	0	0	
HAMPTON TWP	291	0	0	0	0	2	2	
HARDYSTON TWP	736	0	0	0	0	2	2	
HIGH POINT REGIONAL	979	14	0	1	8	3	26	
HOPATCONG	1,588	14	0	0	2	12	27	
KITTATINNY REGIONAL	1,057	1	0	0	2	6	9	
LAFAYETTE TWP	242	1	0	1	0	0	1	
LENAPE VALLEY REGIONAL	808	9	1	0	2	0	12	
MONTAGUE TWP	215	0	0	0	0	2	2	
NEWTON TOWN	1,550	27	1	0	7	11	43	
OGDENSBURG BORO	253	0	0	0	0	2	2	
SANDYSTON-WALPACK TWP	146	0	0	0	0	0	0	
SPARTA TWP	3,275	1	2	1	9	3	14	
STANHOPE BORO	311	1	0	0	0	8	9	
STILLWATER TWP	323	1	0	0	0	2	3	
SUSSEX CO ED SERV COMM	36	2	1	2	0	1	5	
SUSSEX COUNTY VOCATIONAL	775	5	0	1	3	3	12	
SUSSEX-WANTAGE REGIONAL	1,086	6	0	0	0	3	9	
VERNON TWP	3,180	9	4	1	1	9	23	
WALLKILL VALLEY REGIONAL	673	10	1	2	4	0	17	
<b>Sussex County Total</b>	<b>20,848</b>	<b>109</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>249</b>	
<b>UNION</b>								
BERKELEY HEIGHTS TWP	2,667	18	2	5	0	34	55	
CLARK TWP	2,282	2	1	1	4	10	18	
CRANFORD TWP	3,881	2	8	0	0	1	10	
ELIZABETH CITY	25,929	76	21	35	79	71	273	
GARWOOD BORO	364	1	0	0	0	0	1	
HILLSIDE TWP	3,113	35	5	3	10	13	63	
KENILWORTH BORO	1,412	18	6	0	1	7	32	
LINDEN CITY	5,970	49	5	10	22	22	106	
MORRIS-UNION JOINTURE COM	271	0	6	1	0	0	6	
MOUNTAINSIDE BORO	732	1	0	0	0	1	2	
NEW PROVIDENCE BORO	2,386	3	3	0	0	10	16	
PLAINFIELD CITY	7,735	3	1	0	1	43	46	
RAHWAY CITY	3,748	13	4	3	7	14	39	
ROSELLE BORO	2,691	24	3	3	2	12	43	
ROSELLE PARK BORO	2,001	15	0	0	0	19	34	
SCOTCH PLAINS-FANWOOD REG	5,480	20	5	0	4	13	42	
SPRINGFIELD TWP	2,270	13	1	1	0	12	27	
SUMMIT CITY	4,092	29	8	0	9	13	59	
UNION CO ED SERV COMM	333	3	6	3	11	2	25	

**Appendix D: District Totals by County, 2015-16**

DISTRICT	EVRs incidents						
	ENROLLMENT	VIOLENCE	VANDALISM	WEAPONS	SUBSTANCES	HIB	TOTAL
UNION COUNTY VOCATIONAL	1,684	2	0	0	3	1	6
UNION TWP	7,257	59	7	7	15	16	103
WESTFIELD TOWN	6,265	16	1	4	5	11	35
WINFIELD TWP	154	0	0	0	0	1	1
Union County Total	92,712	402	93	76	173	326	1,042
<b>WARREN</b>							
ALLAMUCHY TWP	421	1	0	0	0	0	1
ALPHA BORO	209	2	0	0	0	0	2
BELVIDERE TOWN	747	6	0	0	3	6	15
BLAIRSTOWN TWP	506	3	0	0	0	3	6
FRANKLIN TWP	232	0	0	0	0	3	3
FRELINGHUYSEN TWP	157	0	0	0	0	0	0
GREAT MEADOWS REGIONAL	718	6	0	0	0	20	26
GREENWICH TWP	751	5	0	0	0	3	8
HACKETTSTOWN	1,922	4	1	0	5	12	22
HARMONY TWP	252	0	0	0	0	1	1
HOPE TWP	156	0	0	0	0	2	2
KNOWLTON TWP	201	0	0	0	0	0	0
LOPATCONG TWP	853	0	2	2	0	18	22
MANSFIELD TWP	660	0	0	0	0	2	2
NORTH WARREN REGIONAL	890	14	0	0	4	14	32
OXFORD TWP	308	4	0	0	0	3	7
PHILLIPSBURG TOWN	3,763	10	2	2	7	17	36
POHATCONG TWP	304	1	1	0	0	1	3
WARREN CO SPECIAL SERVICE	29	0	0	0	0	1	1
WARREN COUNTY VOCATIONAL	478	5	2	3	2	14	24
WARREN HILLS REGIONAL	1,882	21	3	1	0	17	42
WASHINGTON BORO	551	0	0	0	0	1	1
WASHINGTON TWP	477	1	0	0	0	0	1
WHITE TWP	286	0	0	0	0	3	3
Warren County Total	16,753	83	11	8	21	141	260
<b>CHARTER SCHOOLS</b>							
Academy Charter High School	179	12	0	3	3	1	18
Academy for Urban Leadership	393	0	0	0	0	0	0
Atlantic City Community	150	8	0	0	0	0	8
Beloved Community	720	2	0	0	0	4	4
Benjamin Banneker Prep	144	1	0	0	0	0	1
Bergen Arts and Sciences	969	5	0	1	0	3	9
Bridgeton Public	95	0	0	0	0	0	0
Burch of Excellence	353	0	0	0	0	0	0
Camden Academy Charter HS	497	7	1	0	0	2	10
Camden Community	679	0	0	0	0	0	0
Camdens Pride	243	0	0	0	0	0	0
Camden's Promise	493	4	0	3	0	17	23
Central Jersey College Prep	420	5	0	0	0	6	11
chARTer-TECH HIGH SCHOOL	339	18	2	2	2	4	28

**Appendix D: District Totals by County, 2015-16**

DISTRICT	EVRS Incidents						
	ENROLLMENT	VIOLENCE	VANDALISM	WEAPONS	SUBSTANCES	HIB	TOTAL
Classical Academy	118	0	0	0	0	2	2
College Achieve Central	311	0	0	0	0	0	0
Community of Paterso	895	40	0	0	1	0	41
Compass Academy	175	20	2	1	0	2	23
Discovery	98	0	0	0	0	1	1
Dr Lena Edwards Academic	388	0	0	0	0	0	0
East Orange Community	490	0	0	0	0	0	0
Elysian of Hoboken	289	0	0	0	0	0	0
Empowerment Academy	190	0	0	0	0	0	0
Englewood on the Palisades	210	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environment Community	184	8	0	0	0	0	8
Foundation Academy	908	21	4	4	0	6	34
Freedom Prep	848	0	0	0	0	5	5
Gray	331	0	0	0	0	0	0
Great Futures	227	40	1	2	0	6	48
Great Oaks	489	9	0	0	3	0	12
Greater Brunswick	386	2	0	0	0	3	5
Hatikvah International	336	1	0	0	0	2	3
Hoboken	298	10	0	0	0	0	10
HOLA Hoboken Dual Lang	326	8	0	0	0	5	13
Hope Academy	207	13	2	1	0	0	14
Hope Community	180	0	0	0	0	0	0
International Academy of Atlantic City	273	0	0	0	0	0	0
International Academy of Trenton	450	9	3	0	0	8	20
International	89	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jersey City Comm.	570	3	0	2	0	0	4
Jersey City Global	319	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jersey City Golden Door	553	4	1	0	0	2	7
John P Holland	192	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kingdom of Leadership	197	1	0	0	0	2	2
Knowledge A to Z	321	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lady Liberty Academy	448	70	4	2	1	0	75
LEAP Academy University	1,436	5	2	2	0	7	16
Learning Community	584	7	1	0	0	1	9
Link Community	270	19	3	1	0	1	23
M E T S	475	35	6	1	3	1	44
Maria L. Varisco-Rogers	502	0	0	0	0	0	0
Marion P. Thomas	1,406	3	0	2	3	3	11
Merit Prep of Newark	368	1	0	0	1	0	2
Millville Public	249	1	0	0	0	2	2
New Horizons Comm.	436	105	8	0	0	0	113
Newark Educators Community	302	17	0	1	0	0	17
Newark Legacy	642	0	0	0	0	0	0
Newark Prep	448	20	6	3	3	2	32
North Star Academy	3,989	24	0	2	1	1	28
Pace of Hamilton	238	0	0	0	0	0	0

**Appendix D: District Totals by County, 2015-16**

DISTRICT	EVRS Incidents						
	ENROLLMENT	VIOLENCE	VANDALISM	WEAPONS	SUBSTANCES	HIB	TOTAL
Passaic Arts and Science	730	2	0	2	0	2	6
Paterson Arts and Science	480	4	1	0	0	2	6
Paterson for Sci/Tech	1,044	29	2	2	0	1	34
Paul Robeson Humanities	375	5	0	0	0	7	7
Paulo Freire	269	3	3	0	3	0	9
Peoples Preparatory	377	44	3	1	8	12	68
Phillip's Academy	375	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pride Academy	288	9	0	0	0	0	9
Princeton	347	0	1	0	0	0	1
Queen City Academy	322	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ridge and Valley	108	0	0	0	0	0	0
Riverbank of Excellence	143	0	0	0	0	0	0
Robert Treat Academy	651	0	0	0	0	1	1
Roseville Community	349	0	0	0	0	2	2
Soaring Heights	237	4	0	1	0	0	5
Sussex County Technology	224	0	0	0	0	0	0
TEAM Academy	3,247	6	0	0	3	3	12
Teaneck Community	306	10	1	0	0	1	12
Barack Obama Green	227	7	2	0	3	6	18
The Ethical Community	343	0	0	0	0	3	3
The Red Bank	200	0	0	0	0	1	1
Thomas Edison EnergySmart	342	0	0	0	0	0	0
Trenton Stem-to-Civics	202	4	2	0	0	0	6
Union County TEAMS	381	4	0	2	0	0	6
Unity	219	1	0	1	0	5	6
University Academy	436	17	0	0	6	0	23
University Heights	658	25	5	6	0	4	31
Village	359	6	1	0	0	12	16
Vineland Public	336	0	0	0	0	2	2
<b>Charter School Total</b>	<b>41,890</b>	<b>738</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>163</b>	<b>1,020</b>
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>1,372,755</b>	<b>8,261</b>	<b>1,423</b>	<b>1,000</b>	<b>3,010</b>	<b>5,995</b>	<b>19,181</b>

**Appendix D: District Totals by County, 2012-13**

COUNTY	DISTRICT	ENROLLMENT	VIOLENCE	VANDALISM	WEAPONS	SUBSTANCES	HIB	TOTAL
<b>ATLANTIC</b>								
	ABSECON CITY	866	4	0	2	1	0	7
	ATLANTIC CITY	7,043	84	15	4	31	14	148
	ATLANTIC CO SPECIAL SERV	417	2	0	0	0	2	4
	ATLANTIC CO VOCATIONAL	996	10	8	1	8	3	29
	BRIGANTINE CITY	757	4	1	2	0	8	15
	BUENA REGIONAL	2,182	17	3	2	10	25	53
	EGG HARBOR CITY	503	4	0	2	1	21	28
	EGG HARBOR TWP	7,712	66	12	8	28	30	143
	ESTELL MANOR CITY	187	1	1	0	0	0	2
	FOLSOM BORO	419	2	1	0	0	0	3
	GALLOWAY TWP	3,396	6	0	1	0	57	63
	GREATER EGG HARBOR REG	3,633	50	9	1	9	4	73
	HAMILTON TWP	3,075	20	6	4	3	26	56
	HAMMONTON TOWN	3,601	16	0	3	9	6	33
	LINWOOD CITY	885	0	0	0	0	1	1
	MAINLAND REGIONAL	1,402	17	8	0	23	18	63
	MARGATE CITY	473	1	0	0	0	0	1
	MULLICA TWP	740	5	0	0	0	5	10
	NORTHFIELD CITY	1,012	0	1	0	0	3	4
	PLEASANTVILLE CITY	3,878	12	4	6	18	30	70
	PORT REPUBLIC CITY	113	0	0	0	0	0	0
	SOMERS POINT CITY	1,085	8	0	4	0	10	20
	VENTNOR CITY	872	0	0	0	0	0	0
	WEYMOUTH TWP	199	3	1	0	0	1	5
	<b>County Total</b>	<b>45,444</b>	<b>332</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>141</b>	<b>264</b>	<b>831</b>
<b>BERGEN</b>								
	ALLENDALE BORO	903	0	0	0	0	8	8
	ALPINE BORO	145	0	0	0	0	0	0
	BERGEN CO SPECIAL SERVICE	724	21	5	1	0	13	39
	BERGEN COUNTY VOCATIONAL	2,120	8	8	2	7	20	45
	BERGENFIELD BORO	3,511	7	0	3	2	0	12
	BOGOTA BORO	1,124	5	2	1	3	6	17
	CARLSTADT BORO	596	1	1	1	0	5	8
	CARLSTADT-EAST RUTHERFORD	498	8	1	1	1	0	10
	CLIFFSIDE PARK BORO	2,846	6	2	1	4	2	14
	CLOSTER BORO	1,120	9	0	0	0	7	16
	CRESSKILL BORO	1,707	0	0	0	2	4	6
	DEMAREST BORO	668	6	0	0	0	5	10
	DUMONT BORO	2,650	10	3	1	18	38	68
	EAST RUTHERFORD BORO	765	1	0	0	0	10	10
	EDGEWATER BORO	695	1	0	0	0	0	1
	ELMWOOD PARK	2,487	20	1	3	4	7	35
	EMERSON BORO	1,205	2	1	1	0	1	5
	ENGLEWOOD CITY	3,003	15	11	2	3	43	72
	ENGLEWOOD CLIFFS BORO	491	0	0	0	0	0	0
	FAIR LAWN BORO	4,572	25	7	0	7	17	55
	FAIRVIEW BORO	1,214	4	3	1	0	10	18
	FORT LEE BORO	3,723	21	0	5	2	31	57
	FRANKLIN LAKES BORO	1,266	2	1	0	0	2	5
	GARFIELD CITY	4,609	40	8	4	5	43	97



**Appendix D: District Totals by County, 2012-13**

COUNTY	DISTRICT	ENROLLMENT	VIOLENCE	VANDALISM	WEAPONS	SUBSTANCES	HIB	TOTAL
	GLEN ROCK BORO	2,354	3	0	1	1	1	6
	HACKENSACK CITY	5,396	58	3	3	22	49	129
	HARRINGTON PARK BORO	634	3	0	0	0	4	7
	HASBROUCK HEIGHTS BORO	1,892	5	0	1	1	17	24
	HAWORTH BORO	427	5	0	0	0	0	5
	HILLSDALE BORO	1,332	8	5	0	0	1	14
	HO HO KUS BORO	645	0	0	0	0	3	3
	LEONIA BORO	1,830	4	6	5	13	0	26
	LITTLE FERRY BORO	993	0	0	0	0	0	0
	LODI BORO	3,307	7	0	1	1	8	15
	LYNDHURST TWP	2,288	3	0	0	3	13	19
	MAHWAH TWP	3,222	15	74	2	28	22	141
	MAYWOOD BORO	957	9	4	0	0	7	19
	MIDLAND PARK BORO	1,061	2	0	0	0	3	5
	MONTVALE BORO	1,069	0	0	0	0	9	9
	MOONACHIE BORO	285	7	1	0	0	10	18
	NEW MILFORD BORO	2,051	14	2	0	2	6	24
	NORTH ARLINGTON BORO	1,694	10	0	1	3	6	20
	NORTHERN HIGHLANDS REG	1,327	2	2	0	13	8	25
	NORTHERN VALLEY REGIONAL	2,557	0	1	0	23	12	36
	NORTHALE BORO	531	2	0	0	0	10	12
	NORWOOD BORO	588	0	0	0	0	4	4
	OAKLAND BORO	1,620	4	2	0	0	0	6
	OLD TAPPAN BORO	751	0	0	0	0	1	1
	ORADELL BORO	757	0	0	0	0	0	0
	PALISADES PARK	1,583	6	0	0	0	4	10
	PARAMUS BORO	3,948	11	3	2	2	26	42
	PARK RIDGE BORO	1,292	1	4	0	6	28	39
	PASCACK VALLEY REGIONAL	2,037	4	2	1	29	7	43
	RAMAPO-INDIAN HILL REG	2,310	10	2	0	13	4	29
	RAMSEY BORO	2,907	4	2	1	9	12	28
	RIDGEFIELD BORO	1,759	9	1	0	0	22	30
	RIDGEFIELD PARK TWP	2,318	2	0	0	2	5	9
	RIDGEWOOD VILLAGE	5,758	3	1	2	11	16	33
	RIVER DELL REGIONAL	1,651	4	0	0	0	12	15
	RIVER EDGE BORO	1,167	0	0	0	0	7	7
	RIVER VALE TWP	1,291	4	0	1	0	1	6
	ROCHELLE PARK TWP	442	0	0	0	0	15	15
	RUTHERFORD BORO	2,493	9	2	1	5	5	22
	SADDLE BROOK TWP	1,675	4	1	0	0	5	10
	SADDLE RIVER BORO	206	0	0	0	0	1	1
	SOUTH BERGEN JOINTURE COM	303	0	0	0	0	1	1
	SOUTH HACKENSACK TWP	255	0	0	0	0	1	1
	TEANECK TWP	3,730	11	2	3	26	36	78
	TENAFLY BORO	3,602	3	4	0	5	12	24
	UPPER SADDLE RIVER BORO	1,339	3	0	0	0	1	4
	WALDWICK BORO	1,611	0	2	0	0	7	9
	WALLINGTON BORO	1,194	6	1	0	5	8	20
	WESTWOOD REGIONAL	2,668	5	1	2	5	27	39
	WOOD-RIDGE BORO	1,141	5	1	0	1	8	15
	WOODCLIFF LAKE BORO	665	1	0	0	0	1	2

**Appendix D: District Totals by County, 2012-13**

COUNTY	DISTRICT	ENROLLMENT	VIOLENCE	VANDALISM	WEAPONS	SUBSTANCES	HIB	TOTAL
	WYCKOFF TWP	2,226	5	1	1	0	4	9
	<b>County Total</b>	<b>133,774</b>	<b>483</b>	<b>184</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>287</b>	<b>742</b>	<b>1,717</b>
<b>BURLINGTON</b>								
	BASS RIVER TWP	128	0	0	0	0	0	0
	BEVERLY CITY	307	32	0	0	0	0	32
	BORDENTOWN REGIONAL	2,495	17	6	2	6	33	60
	BURLINGTON CITY	1,781	34	8	6	13	13	74
	BURLINGTON CO SPEC SERV	560	24	8	6	27	5	65
	BURLINGTON CO VOCATIONAL	2,100	48	8	6	20	16	95
	BURLINGTON TWP	4,035	23	8	2	8	30	71
	CHESTERFIELD TWP	640	5	2	0	0	3	10
	CINNAMINSON TWP	2,328	32	3	0	8	14	57
	DELANCO TWP	386	6	0	0	0	8	13
	DELTRAN TWP	2,860	16	4	3	3	24	48
	EASTAMPTON TWP	631	11	1	3	1	8	22
	EDGEWATER PARK TWP	878	14	1	1	0	0	15
	EVESHAM TWP	4,667	3	5	1	0	26	34
	FLORENCE TWP	1,593	37	0	1	4	5	44
	HAINESPORT TWP	695	27	1	0	0	20	46
	LENAPE REGIONAL	7,064	47	20	2	32	7	107
	LUMBERTON TWP	1,532	12	0	1	0	15	27
	MANSFIELD TWP	700	5	1	0	0	0	6
	MAPLE SHADE TWP	2,080	9	1	0	3	16	29
	MEDFORD LAKES BORO	536	0	1	0	0	3	4
	MEDFORD TWP	2,926	0	0	0	0	12	12
	MOORESTOWN TWP	3,998	5	2	5	7	0	17
	MOUNT HOLLY TWP	1,021	8	0	5	0	28	41
	MOUNT LAUREL TWP	4,125	3	0	2	0	23	28
	NEW HANOVER TWP	190	2	0	0	0	2	4
	NORTH HANOVER TWP	1,271	3	0	1	0	10	13
	NORTHERN BURLINGTON REG	1,987	27	4	2	2	5	40
	PALMYRA BORO	965	23	4	2	1	18	48
	PEMBERTON TWP	4,994	46	9	2	10	23	88
	RANOCAS VALLEY REGIONAL	2,014	15	11	2	21	6	54
	RIVERSIDE TWP	1,417	13	0	0	8	10	31
	RIVERTON	268	1	0	1	0	0	1
	SHAMONG TWP	897	4	0	2	0	1	7
	SOUTHAMPTON TWP	768	3	0	0	0	0	3
	SPRINGFIELD TWP	264	0	0	0	0	6	6
	TABERNACLE TWP	788	1	0	1	0	0	1
	WASHINGTON TWP	37	0	0	0	0	0	0
	WESTAMPTON	980	5	2	2	1	7	17
	WILLINGBORO TWP	3,952	108	1	6	10	2	127
	WOODLAND TWP	160	0	0	0	0	3	3
	<b>County Total</b>	<b>71,018</b>	<b>669</b>	<b>111</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>185</b>	<b>402</b>	<b>1,400</b>
<b>CAMDEN</b>								
	AUDUBON BORO	1,489	21	5	0	8	5	39
	BARRINGTON BORO	626	6	2	1	0	8	15
	BELLMAWR BORO	1,036	2	1	0	5	10	18
	BERLIN BORO	890	1	0	0	1	1	3
	BERLIN TWP	656	9	0	0	0	6	15

**Appendix D: District Totals by County, 2012-13**

COUNTY	DISTRICT	ENROLLMENT	VIOLENCE	VANDALISM	WEAPONS	SUBSTANCES	HIB	TOTAL
	BLACK HORSE PIKE REGIONAL	3,998	52	12	1	30	67	162
	BROOKLAWN BORO	361	3	0	0	0	0	3
	CAMDEN CITY	12,608	163	21	18	24	109	325
	CAMDEN COUNTY VOCATIONAL	2,158	55	3	6	12	10	86
	CHERRY HILL TWP	11,203	35	19	8	17	23	99
	CLEMENTON BORO	467	8	0	1	0	0	8
	COLLINGSWOOD BORO	1,862	1	2	2	8	13	26
	EASTERN CAMDEN COUNTY REG	2,080	19	7	0	12	0	37
	GIBBSBORO BORO	266	0	0	0	0	1	1
	GLOUCESTER CITY	2,027	7	2	3	8	1	21
	GLOUCESTER TWP	6,929	14	1	7	5	68	91
	HADDON HEIGHTS BORO	1,326	5	0	0	16	2	23
	HADDON TWP	2,094	6	4	2	4	27	38
	HADDONFIELD BORO	2,482	2	0	0	0	24	26
	LAUREL SPRINGS BORO	185	0	0	0	0	11	11
	LAWNSIDE BORO	276	16	0	0	0	14	30
	LINDENWOLD BORO	2,411	18	5	4	6	8	39
	MAGNOLIA BORO	419	10	0	0	0	1	11
	MERCHANTVILLE BORO	374	1	1	0	0	0	1
	MOUNT EPHRAIM BORO	453	2	0	0	0	6	8
	OAKLYN BORO	439	6	0	0	0	18	24
	PENNSAUKEN TWP	5,237	34	6	6	10	9	59
	PINE HILL BORO	1,874	37	4	5	18	38	99
	RUNNEMEDE BORO	851	1	1	0	1	2	4
	SOMERDALE BORO	509	9	5	0	0	2	16
	STERLING HIGH SCHOOL DIST	906	10	2	0	6	9	26
	STRATFORD BORO	743	0	0	0	0	7	7
	VOORHEES TWP	3,064	0	0	0	0	0	0
	WATERFORD TWP	908	25	0	1	0	17	41
	WINSLOW TWP	4,661	26	4	3	9	60	101
	WOODLYNNE BORO	435	17	0	2	0	1	19
	<b>County Total</b>	<b>78,303</b>	<b>621</b>	<b>107</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>200</b>	<b>578</b>	<b>1,532</b>
<b>CAPE MAY</b>								
	AVALON BORO	61	0	0	0	0	0	0
	CAPE MAY CITY	142	0	0	0	0	0	0
	CAPE MAY CO SPECIAL SERV	256	10	2	0	9	6	27
	CAPE MAY CO VOCATIONAL	644	3	1	1	6	0	11
	DENNIS TWP	592	1	0	0	0	0	1
	LOWER CAPE MAY REGIONAL	1,476	23	3	3	28	5	56
	LOWER TWP	1,806	1	1	0	0	3	5
	MIDDLE TWP	2,650	17	3	3	12	7	41
	NORTH WILDWOOD CITY	318	2	0	1	0	2	5
	OCEAN CITY	2,085	23	2	1	4	14	44
	STONE HARBOR BORO	78	0	0	0	0	0	0
	UPPER TWP	1,408	2	0	1	0	7	10
	WEST CAPE MAY BORO	66	0	1	0	0	0	1
	WILDWOOD CITY	852	24	1	1	7	7	40
	WILDWOOD CREST BORO	246	0	0	0	2	1	3
	WOODBINE BORO	239	0	0	0	0	5	5
	<b>County Total</b>	<b>12,917</b>	<b>106</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>249</b>

**Appendix D: District Totals by County, 2012-13**

COUNTY	DISTRICT	ENROLLMENT	VIOLENCE	VANDALISM	WEAPONS	SUBSTANCES	HIB	TOTAL
<b>CUMBERLAND</b>								
	BRIDGETON CITY	5,703	12	4	4	3	44	66
	COMMERCIAL TWP	607	28	0	2	0	45	47
	CUMBERLAND CO VOCATIONAL	371	9	2	0	0	8	19
	CUMBERLAND REGIONAL	1,252	21	4	2	18	8	53
	DEERFIELD TWP	355	0	1	0	0	21	22
	DOWNE TWP	189	0	0	0	0	3	3
	FAIRFIELD TWP	599	7	0	0	2	5	14
	GREENWICH TWP	76	0	0	0	0	0	0
	HOPEWELL TWP	516	19	0	0	0	15	34
	LAWRENCE TWP	504	0	0	1	0	0	1
	MAURICE RIVER TWP	399	5	0	1	0	8	14
	MILLVILLE CITY	5,882	66	7	17	15	28	125
	STOW CREEK TWP	112	0	0	0	0	0	0
	UPPER DEERFIELD TWP	883	0	0	1	2	11	13
	VINELAND CITY	9,656	163	25	14	59	53	309
	<b>County Total</b>	<b>27,103</b>	<b>330</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>249</b>	<b>720</b>
<b>ESSEX</b>								
	BELLEVILLE TOWN	4,680	15	4	3	5	15	39
	BLOOMFIELD TWP	6,133	41	1	5	19	18	80
	CALDWELL-WEST CALDWELL	2,619	2	3	1	1	3	10
	CEDAR GROVE TWP	1,625	2	0	0	0	4	6
	CITY OF ORANGE TWP	4,694	14	2	7	2	23	43
	EAST ORANGE	9,658	162	24	17	16	6	223
	ESSEX CO ED SERV COMM	105	7	3	2	0	2	9
	ESSEX CO VOC-TECH	2,161	14	2	0	1	4	21
	ESSEX FELS BORO	183	0	0	0	0	0	0
	FAIRFIELD TWP	692	0	0	0	0	0	0
	GLEN RIDGE BORO	1,967	4	1	0	1	12	15
	IRVINGTON TOWNSHIP	6,502	25	5	2	4	28	60
	LIVINGSTON TWP	5,784	17	5	7	5	12	39
	MILLBURN TWP	4,931	11	3	3	11	14	41
	MONTCLAIR TOWN	6,674	39	12	7	6	0	60
	NEWARK CITY	34,695	197	81	39	30	172	494
	NORTH CALDWELL BORO	682	0	0	0	0	0	0
	NUTLEY TOWN	4,029	9	4	6	5	39	61
	ROSELAND BORO	455	7	0	0	0	1	8
	SOUTH ORANGE-MAPLEWOOD	6,588	73	14	7	13	35	137
	VERONA BORO	2,177	6	0	3	4	0	12
	WEST ESSEX REGIONAL	1,625	8	2	0	12	11	33
	WEST ORANGE TOWN	6,784	37	12	8	47	38	136
	<b>County Total</b>	<b>115,441</b>	<b>690</b>	<b>178</b>	<b>117</b>	<b>182</b>	<b>437</b>	<b>1,527</b>
<b>GLOUCESTER</b>								
	CLAYTON BORO	1,367	2	1	0	2	1	6
	CLEARVIEW REGIONAL	2,456	29	1	0	14	23	67
	DELSEA REGIONAL H.S DIST.	1,764	19	5	2	8	1	35
	DEPTFORD TWP	4,346	12	0	1	5	76	93
	EAST GREENWICH TWP	1,195	0	0	0	0	0	0
	ELK TWP	371	1	0	0	0	0	1
	FRANKLIN TWP	1,369	3	1	4	0	1	8
	GATEWAY REGIONAL	894	11	1	1	8	4	24

**Appendix D: District Totals by County, 2012-13**

COUNTY	DISTRICT	ENROLLMENT	VIOLENCE	VANDALISM	WEAPONS	SUBSTANCES	HIB	TOTAL
	GLASSBORO	2,210	20	1	2	2	30	53
	GLOUCESTER CO SPEC SERV	594	8	0	0	0	27	32
	GLOUCESTER CO VOCATIONAL	1,275	12	1	4	3	7	27
	GREENWICH TWP	510	0	0	0	0	9	9
	HARRISON TWP	1,437	2	0	0	0	5	7
	KINGSWAY REGIONAL	2,347	28	2	3	8	10	48
	LOGAN TWP	573	11	1	0	0	9	21
	MANTUA TWP	1,327	0	1	0	0	2	3
	MONROE TWP	6,099	58	17	9	34	20	135
	NATIONAL PARK BORO	256	3	0	0	0	1	4
	PAULSBORO BORO	1,174	1	2	0	0	0	3
	PITMAN BORO	1,458	17	2	4	8	18	46
	SOUTH HARRISON TWP	347	1	0	0	0	3	4
	SWEDESBORO-WOOLWICH	1,732	1	3	3	0	8	12
	WASHINGTON TWP	7,935	41	14	9	24	18	102
	WENONAH BORO	247	0	0	0	0	0	0
	WEST DEPTFORD TWP	3,004	28	1	0	6	24	59
	WESTVILLE BORO	347	0	2	0	0	5	7
	WOODBURY CITY	1,503	17	7	5	3	18	47
	WOODBURY HEIGHTS BORO	221	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<b>County Total</b>	<b>48,367</b>	<b>325</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>125</b>	<b>320</b>	<b>853</b>
<b>HUDSON</b>								
	BAYONNE CITY	9,321	29	5	3	1	2	38
	EAST NEWARK BORO	239	1	0	0	0	0	1
	GUTTENBERG TOWN	1,004	8	0	1	0	22	30
	HARRISON TOWN	2,076	5	1	1	7	22	32
	HOBOKEN CITY	1,706	36	9	5	8	12	69
	HUDSON COUNTY VOCATIONAL	2,328	2	2	1	2	0	7
	JERSEY CITY	27,028	75	13	18	85	92	270
	KEARNY TOWN	5,973	34	3	2	2	11	52
	NORTH BERGEN TWP	8,075	29	14	4	18	23	88
	SECAUCUS TOWN	2,199	4	2	2	9	15	32
	UNION CITY	11,107	61	9	10	4	19	98
	WEEHAWKEN TWP	1,261	0	0	0	0	10	10
	WEST NEW YORK TOWN	7,854	2	16	6	14	5	40
	<b>County Total</b>	<b>80,170</b>	<b>286</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>150</b>	<b>233</b>	<b>767</b>
<b>HUNTERDON</b>								
	ALEXANDRIA TWP	477	3	0	0	0	1	4
	BETHLEHEM TWP	457	3	0	0	0	4	5
	BLOOMSBURY BORO	141	0	1	0	0	3	4
	CALIFON BORO	143	1	0	0	0	0	1
	CLINTON TWP	1,595	5	0	1	0	4	10
	CLINTON-GLEN GARDNER	481	1	0	2	0	1	3
	DELAWARE TWP	410	3	0	0	0	0	3
	DELAWARE VALLEY REGIONAL	928	6	4	1	2	11	22
	EAST AMWELL TWP	425	0	0	0	0	2	2
	FLEMINGTON-RARITAN REG	3,504	7	2	3	0	6	17
	FRANKLIN TWP	285	3	1	0	1	0	5
	FRENCHTOWN BORO	144	0	0	0	0	0	0
	HAMPTON BORO	108	0	0	0	0	0	0
	HIGH BRIDGE BORO	362	2	3	0	0	0	5

**Appendix D: District Totals by County, 2012-13**

COUNTY	DISTRICT	ENROLLMENT	VIOLENCE	VANDALISM	WEAPONS	SUBSTANCES	HIB	TOTAL
	HOLLAND TWP	617	2	1	0	0	11	14
	HUNTERDON CENTRAL REG	2,946	15	4	0	29	14	62
	HUNTERDON CO ED SER COMM	83	34	3	0	8	2	44
	HUNTERDON CO VOCATIONAL	190	0	0	0	0	2	2
	KINGWOOD TWP	409	0	0	0	0	1	1
	LAMBERTVILLE CITY	235	0	0	0	0	0	0
	LEBANON BORO	76	0	0	0	0	0	0
	LEBANON TWP	716	0	1	0	0	4	5
	MILFORD BORO	117	0	0	0	0	0	0
	N HUNT/VOORHEES REGIONAL	2,830	12	2	4	25	39	79
	READINGTON TWP	1,848	16	0	1	0	4	21
	SOUTH HUNTERDON REGIONAL	402	2	5	0	5	3	15
	STOCKTON BORO	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
	TEWKSBURY TWP	695	0	0	0	0	0	0
	UNION TWP	469	0	0	0	0	3	3
	WEST AMWELL TWP	232	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<b>County Total</b>	<b>21,324</b>	<b>115</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>115</b>	<b>328</b>
<b>MERCER</b>								
	EAST WINDSOR REGIONAL	5,045	39	7	4	37	17	103
	EWING TWP	3,657	60	4	7	13	26	109
	HAMILTON TWP	12,114	22	9	6	55	89	179
	HOPEWELL VALLEY REGIONAL	3,723	2	4	0	9	25	40
	KATZENBACH		2	1	0	0	9	12
	LAWRENCE TWP	4,036	36	1	2	11	25	75
	MERCER CO SPECIAL SERVICE	643	30	0	1	0	7	37
	MERCER COUNTY VOCATIONAL	441	10	3	1	3	8	24
	PRINCETON PUBLIC SCHOOLS	3,405	13	5	3	16	35	72
	ROBBINSVILLE	2,861	7	2	0	3	2	14
	TRENTON CITY	12,131	153	21	41	9	42	253
	W WINDSOR-PLAINSBORO REG	9,755	24	13	2	14	27	77
	<b>County Total</b>	<b>57,808</b>	<b>398</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>170</b>	<b>312</b>	<b>995</b>
<b>MIDDLESEX</b>								
	CARTERET BORO	3,805	60	8	2	1	15	86
	CRANBURY TWP	543	6	0	0	0	1	6
	DUNELLEN BORO	1,142	15	0	2	2	3	21
	EAST BRUNSWICK TWP	8,219	36	13	4	18	43	112
	EDISON TWP	14,308	74	8	7	9	56	144
	HIGHLAND PARK BORO	1,539	36	27	1	7	24	94
	JAMESBURG BORO	664	7	1	1	0	8	15
	METUCHEN BORO	2,117	17	2	0	6	1	26
	MIDDLESEX BORO	2,141	2	0	1	5	2	10
	MIDDLESEX CO VOCATIONAL	1,887	10	0	0	1	20	31
	MIDDLESEX REG SER COMM	690	20	6	6	0	8	35
	MILLTOWN BORO	641	1	0	0	0	8	8
	MONROE TWP	6,043	10	3	0	9	34	56
	NEW BRUNSWICK CITY	7,893	61	8	13	15	35	127
	NORTH BRUNSWICK TWP	6,096	18	2	7	5	53	79
	OLD BRIDGE TWP	9,104	39	18	3	48	22	130
	PERTH AMBOY CITY	10,278	57	14	6	12	41	128
	PISCATAWAY TWP	7,362	25	5	7	9	50	96
	SAYREVILLE BORO	5,964	37	7	3	8	30	84

**Appendix D: District Totals by County, 2012-13**

COUNTY	DISTRICT	ENROLLMENT	VIOLENCE	VANDALISM	WEAPONS	SUBSTANCES	HIB	TOTAL
	SOUTH AMBOY CITY	1,140	7	1	1	3	7	19
	SOUTH BRUNSWICK TWP	8,869	30	10	1	32	21	93
	SOUTH PLAINFIELD BORO	3,565	7	8	0	8	23	46
	SOUTH RIVER BORO	2,337	29	7	0	5	9	50
	SPOTSWOOD BORO	1,797	8	3	1	7	15	31
	WOODBRIIDGE TWP	13,277	32	3	8	40	96	177
	<b>County Total</b>	<b>121,415</b>	<b>644</b>	<b>154</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>250</b>	<b>625</b>	<b>1,704</b>
<b>MONMOUTH</b>								
	ASBURY PARK CITY	1,926	64	3	6	7	97	177
	ATLANTIC HIGHLANDS BORO	326	0	0	0	0	6	6
	AVON BORO	164	0	0	0	0	0	0
	BAYSHORE JOINTURE COMM	46	0	0	0	0	0	0
	BELMAR BORO	568	5	0	0	0	18	23
	BRADLEY BEACH BORO	285	4	0	0	0	0	4
	BRIELLE BORO	599	0	0	0	0	2	2
	COLTS NECK TWP	1,097	0	2	0	0	5	7
	DEAL BORO	149	0	0	0	0	7	7
	EATONTOWN BORO	1,070	2	0	2	0	7	11
	FAIR HAVEN BORO	1,041	0	1	1	0	13	14
	FARMINGDALE BORO	150	2	0	0	0	3	4
	FREEHOLD BORO	1,481	2	3	0	0	6	11
	FREEHOLD REGIONAL	11,447	86	9	7	109	21	229
	FREEHOLD TWP	4,135	3	0	0	0	22	24
	HAZLET TWP	3,169	15	11	1	5	0	32
	HENRY HUDSON REGIONAL	357	5	0	0	1	6	12
	HIGHLANDS BORO	181	0	0	0	0	3	3
	HOLMDEL TWP	3,085	7	3	0	6	9	25
	HOWELL TWP	6,365	26	3	4	0	27	58
	KEANSBURG BORO	1,672	25	8	2	29	4	67
	KEYPORT BORO	1,082	12	1	1	2	20	36
	LITTLE SILVER BORO	833	3	1	1	0	3	7
	LONG BRANCH CITY	5,397	0	0	2	0	103	104
	MANALAPAN-ENGLISHTOWN REG	5,107	1	1	0	0	66	68
	MANASQUAN BORO	1,601	2	1	0	8	8	19
	MARLBORO TWP	5,367	6	1	1	0	7	14
	MATAWAN-ABERDEEN REGIONAL	3,768	19	9	3	9	25	59
	MIDDLETOWN TWP	9,835	62	7	4	22	23	118
	MILLSTONE TWP	922	2	1	0	0	9	12
	MONMOUTH BEACH BORO	299	0	0	0	0	2	2
	MONMOUTH CO VOCATIONAL	2,209	1	2	0	6	4	13
	MONMOUTH REGIONAL	996	19	5	1	17	8	50
	MONMOUTH-OCEAN ED SER COM	24	13	4	2	8	0	26
	NEPTUNE CITY	403	14	5	0	3	1	23
	NEPTUNE TWP	4,414	22	3	6	24	7	60
	OCEAN TWP	3,797	21	3	3	5	28	59
	OCEANPORT BORO	630	1	0	0	0	2	3
	RED BANK BORO	1,134	12	1	0	0	16	29
	RED BANK REGIONAL	1,181	12	1	2	16	13	42
	ROOSEVELT BORO	74	2	1	1	0	0	2
	RUMSON BORO	983	0	0	0	0	3	3
	RUMSON-FAIR HAVEN REG	897	4	1	0	16	8	29

**Appendix D: District Totals by County, 2012-13**

COUNTY	DISTRICT	ENROLLMENT	VIOLENCE	VANDALISM	WEAPONS	SUBSTANCES	HIB	TOTAL
	SEA GIRT BORO	171	0	0	0	0	0	0
	SHORE REGIONAL	646	5	0	0	7	1	13
	SHREWSBURY BORO	481	0	0	0	0	5	5
	SPRING LAKE BORO	261	0	0	0	0	0	0
	SPRING LAKE HEIGHTS BORO	366	2	0	0	0	1	3
	TINTON FALLS	1,532	4	1	2	0	14	20
	UNION BEACH	730	7	0	0	0	4	11
	UPPER FREEHOLD REGIONAL	2,327	5	3	1	8	4	21
	WALL TWP	3,786	10	4	0	11	35	60
	WEST LONG BRANCH BORO	600	1	0	0	0	2	3
	<b>County Total</b>	<b>101,161</b>	<b>508</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>319</b>	<b>678</b>	<b>1,630</b>
<b>MORRIS</b>								
	BOONTON TOWN	1,275	1	0	0	0	14	15
	BOONTON TWP	483	1	0	0	0	0	1
	BUTLER BORO	1,153	16	4	2	10	19	50
	CHESTER TWP	1,240	1	0	0	0	0	1
	DENVILLE TWP	1,744	14	3	0	0	11	25
	DOVER TOWN	3,031	2	0	1	1	19	23
	EAST HANOVER TWP	1,060	2	0	1	0	9	12
	EDUC SERV COMM MORRIS CO	55	0	0	0	0	0	0
	FLORHAM PARK BORO	1,010	0	1	0	0	1	2
	HANOVER PARK REGIONAL	1,575	10	0	0	5	15	30
	HANOVER TWP	1,472	6	2	0	0	13	21
	HARDING TOWNSHIP	317	1	2	0	0	0	3
	JEFFERSON TWP	3,386	17	7	1	8	30	62
	KINNELON BORO	2,085	6	3	2	1	8	18
	LINCOLN PARK BORO	918	3	0	0	4	11	18
	LONG HILL TWP	860	1	2	0	0	4	7
	MADISON BORO	2,397	6	9	1	7	7	29
	MENDHAM BORO	640	1	0	0	0	0	1
	MENDHAM TWP	786	1	0	0	0	0	1
	MINE HILL TWP	414	0	0	0	0	2	2
	MONTVILLE TWP	4,023	11	12	2	9	31	63
	MORRIS COUNTY VOCATIONAL	858	0	8	1	2	5	16
	MORRIS HILLS REGIONAL	2,770	8	2	1	12	13	35
	MORRIS PLAINS BORO	614	0	0	0	0	0	0
	MORRIS SCHOOL DISTRICT	5,024	47	7	5	34	76	160
	MOUNT ARLINGTON BORO	360	0	0	0	0	3	3
	MOUNT OLIVE TWP	4,586	20	2	3	5	40	69
	MOUNTAIN LAKES BORO	1,583	3	14	0	1	0	18
	NETCONG BORO	315	4	0	0	0	7	8
	PARSIPPANY-TROY HILLS TWP	7,147	25	12	0	17	65	119
	PEQUANNOCK TWP	2,237	17	3	1	3	17	40
	RANDOLPH TWP	5,061	13	2	0	12	36	63
	RIVERDALE BORO	336	2	0	1	0	1	3
	ROCKAWAY BORO	662	11	1	2	1	15	28
	ROCKAWAY TWP	2,426	0	0	0	0	4	4
	ROXBURY TWP	3,890	21	0	0	24	15	60
	SCH DIST OF THE CHATHAMS	4,110	9	1	4	7	18	37
	WASHINGTON TWP	2,470	3	3	4	0	13	21
	WEST MORRIS REGIONAL	2,773	6	1	0	10	3	20



**Appendix D: District Totals by County, 2012-13**

COUNTY	DISTRICT	ENROLLMENT	VIOLENCE	VANDALISM	WEAPONS	SUBSTANCES	HIB	TOTAL
	WHARTON BORO	786	0	0	0	0	15	15
	<b>County Total</b>	<b>77,928</b>	<b>289</b>	<b>101</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>173</b>	<b>540</b>	<b>1,103</b>
<b>OCEAN</b>								
	BARNEGAT TWP	3,188	34	4	1	9	10	57
	BAY HEAD BORO	143	0	0	0	0	0	0
	BEACH HAVEN BORO	64	0	0	0	0	0	0
	BERKELEY TWP	2,054	1	0	0	0	1	2
	BRICK TWP	9,586	70	5	9	45	62	189
	CENTRAL REGIONAL	1,946	5	1	0	9	22	37
	EAGLESWOOD TWP	134	0	0	0	0	1	1
	ISLAND HEIGHTS BORO	120	2	0	0	0	0	2
	JACKSON TWP	9,134	20	15	3	15	36	85
	LACEY TWP	4,510	4	3	0	3	43	51
	LAKEHURST BORO	425	7	2	0	0	3	12
	LAKEWOOD TWP	5,186	145	21	12	15	61	239
	LAVALLETTE BORO	162	0	0	0	0	0	0
	LITTLE EGG HARBOR TWP	1,762	9	1	1	1	19	30
	LONG BEACH ISLAND	247	0	0	0	0	0	0
	MANCHESTER TWP	3,077	26	2	0	0	19	47
	OCEAN COUNTY VOCATIONAL	1,294	8	0	0	3	2	13
	OCEAN GATE BORO	161	3	0	0	0	1	4
	OCEAN TWP	542	0	0	0	0	0	0
	PINELANDS REGIONAL	1,622	20	11	3	8	10	51
	PLUMSTED TWP	1,612	8	1	0	5	21	35
	POINT PLEASANT BEACH BORO	827	0	0	0	1	20	21
	POINT PLEASANT BORO	2,920	7	4	3	6	25	44
	SEASIDE HEIGHTS BORO	230	0	0	0	0	0	0
	SOUTHERN REGIONAL	2,984	7	2	1	11	8	29
	STAFFORD TWP	2,224	2	0	0	0	2	4
	TOMS RIVER REGIONAL	16,575	72	11	13	61	46	201
	TUCKERTON BORO	341	0	0	0	0	1	1
	<b>County Total</b>	<b>73,068</b>	<b>450</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>192</b>	<b>413</b>	<b>1,155</b>
<b>PASSAIC</b>								
	BLOOMINGDALE BORO	617	1	4	1	0	7	13
	CLIFTON CITY	10,949	23	6	10	33	59	127
	HALEDON BORO	998	7	2	1	0	6	16
	HAWTHORNE BORO	2,323	8	0	3	19	28	57
	LAKELAND REGIONAL	1,076	6	0	0	14	4	24
	LITTLE FALLS TWP	711	3	0	0	0	0	3
	NORTH HALEDON BORO	727	8	0	0	0	9	17
	PASSAIC CITY	13,602	55	12	15	59	101	241
	PASSAIC CO ED SERV COMM	82	0	0	0	0	0	0
	PASSAIC CO MANCHESTER REG	902	25	0	0	9	6	40
	PASSAIC COUNTY VOCATIONAL	3,242	40	10	3	6	11	68
	PASSAIC VALLEY REGIONAL	1,388	10	14	0	7	6	37
	PATERSON CITY	24,572	80	30	28	112	1	239
	POMPTON LAKES BORO	1,697	1	0	0	0	14	15
	PROSPECT PARK BORO	901	7	2	1	0	58	66
	RINGWOOD BORO	1,238	20	2	0	0	30	52
	TOTOWA BORO	1,015	3	1	0	2	8	14
	WANAQUE BORO	948	6	0	3	0	3	12

**Appendix D: District Totals by County, 2012-13**

COUNTY	DISTRICT	ENROLLMENT	VIOLENCE	VANDALISM	WEAPONS	SUBSTANCES	HIB	TOTAL
	WAYNE TWP	8,381	31	7	5	26	14	78
	WEST MILFORD TWP	3,692	26	6	1	13	14	59
	WOODLAND PARK	1,125	1	0	0	0	14	15
	<b>County Total</b>	<b>80,185</b>	<b>361</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>300</b>	<b>393</b>	<b>1,193</b>
<b>SALEM</b>								
	ALLOWAY TWP	406	0	0	0	0	8	8
	ELSINBORO TWP	121	0	0	0	0	1	1
	LOWER ALLOWAYS CREEK	203	2	0	0	0	0	2
	MANNINGTON TWP	183	0	0	0	0	0	0
	OLDMANS TWP	228	4	0	0	0	0	4
	PENNS GRV-CARNEYS PT REG	2,282	16	0	2	1	18	37
	PENNSVILLE	1,817	13	0	0	5	9	26
	PITTSGROVE TWP	1,766	22	7	2	2	14	47
	QUINTON TWP	379	6	0	2	0	2	10
	SALEM CITY	1,204	12	1	1	5	1	20
	SALEM CO SPECIAL SERVICE	85	9	3	1	0	0	13
	SALEM COUNTY VOCATIONAL	762	5	1	0	4	1	11
	UPPER PITTSGROVE TWP	395	1	0	0	0	2	3
	WOODSTOWN-PIESGROVE REG	1,597	25	5	2	1	21	53
	<b>County Total</b>	<b>11,427</b>	<b>115</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>235</b>
<b>SOMERSET</b>								
	BEDMINSTER TWP	586	0	0	0	0	17	17
	BERNARDS TWP	5,679	6	6	1	10	28	51
	BOUND BROOK BORO	1,605	7	2	1	5	7	21
	BRANCHBURG TWP	1,693	0	1	1	0	10	12
	BRIDGEWATER-RARITAN REG	8,646	21	11	0	7	37	76
	FRANKLIN TWP	7,653	22	15	8	20	32	96
	GREEN BROOK TWP	927	4	0	0	0	3	7
	HILLSBOROUGH TWP	7,195	15	3	3	16	9	42
	MANVILLE BORO	1,293	8	0	2	4	8	20
	MONTGOMERY TWP	4,882	16	2	1	11	28	57
	NORTH PLAINFIELD BORO	3,246	44	8	6	10	20	86
	SOMERSET CO ED SERV COMM	145	47	4	2	7	19	69
	SOMERSET CO VOCATIONAL	559	4	2	1	1	1	9
	SOMERSET HILLS REGIONAL	2,017	4	3	2	2	16	26
	SOMERVILLE BORO	2,483	38	3	5	8	13	64
	SOUTH BOUND BROOK	445	8	1	0	1	8	18
	WARREN TWP	2,015	3	5	0	0	0	8
	WATCHUNG BORO	713	6	1	1	0	1	7
	WATCHUNG HILLS REGIONAL	2,141	0	3	0	6	1	10
	<b>County Total</b>	<b>53,921</b>	<b>253</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>108</b>	<b>258</b>	<b>696</b>
<b>SUSSEX</b>								
	ANDOVER REG	566	2	4	0	0	3	9
	BYRAM TWP	960	3	1	0	0	10	13
	FRANKFORD TWP	540	0	0	0	0	5	5
	FRANKLIN BORO	490	1	1	0	0	0	2
	FREDON TWP	323	1	0	0	0	2	2
	GREEN TWP	485	0	0	0	0	6	6
	HAMBURG BORO	275	0	0	0	0	2	2
	HAMPTON TWP	346	1	0	1	0	2	4

**Appendix D: District Totals by County, 2012-13**

COUNTY	DISTRICT	ENROLLMENT	VIOLENCE	VANDALISM	WEAPONS	SUBSTANCES	HIB	TOTAL
	HARDYSTON TWP	758	3	1	3	0	8	12
	HIGH POINT REGIONAL	1,005	25	3	0	8	5	41
	HOPATCONG	1,902	3	1	0	3	11	18
	KITTATINNY REGIONAL	1,098	6	0	0	4	18	28
	LAFAYETTE TWP	253	0	0	0	0	3	3
	LENAPE VALLEY REGIONAL	775	11	2	0	3	4	20
	MONTAGUE TWP	264	0	0	0	0	0	0
	NEWTON TOWN	1,356	14	1	1	15	8	38
	OGDENSBURG BORO	306	3	0	0	0	4	7
	SANDYSTON-WALPACK TWP	149	0	0	0	0	1	1
	SPARTA TWP	3,362	0	0	1	14	18	32
	STANHOPE BORO	356	0	0	0	0	0	0
	STILLWATER TWP	352	0	1	0	0	3	4
	SUSSEX CO ED SERV COMM	33	1	0	0	0	0	1
	SUSSEX COUNTY VOCATIONAL	718	1	0	2	2	3	8
	SUSSEX-WANTAGE REGIONAL	1,310	16	1	1	2	9	29
	VERNON TWP	3,626	25	3	2	4	11	43
	WALKKILL VALLEY REGIONAL	692	6	7	0	4	0	17
	<b>County Total</b>	<b>22,298</b>	<b>122</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>136</b>	<b>345</b>
<b>UNION</b>								
	BERKELEY HEIGHTS TWP	2,733	5	4	5	2	35	48
	CLARK TWP	2,281	9	6	0	0	3	17
	CRANFORD TWP	3,878	2	2	0	1	23	27
	ELIZABETH CITY	23,988	70	38	32	82	148	353
	GARWOOD BORO	389	3	0	0	0	1	4
	HILLSIDE TWP	2,995	35	7	4	8	4	57
	KENILWORTH BORO	1,357	14	2	1	1	11	28
	LINDEN CITY	5,960	48	9	9	21	37	117
	MORRIS-UNION JOINTURE COM	296	0	1	0	0	0	1
	MOUNTAINSIDE BORO	777	2	1	1	0	0	3
	NEW PROVIDENCE BORO	2,265	5	0	0	0	17	22
	PLAINFIELD CITY	6,390	5	2	5	3	58	73
	RAHWAY CITY	3,686	5	6	6	3	30	50
	ROSELLE BORO	2,652	28	4	4	14	15	61
	ROSELLE PARK BORO	1,945	16	1	1	4	20	41
	SCOTCH PLAINS-FANWOOD REG	5,478	19	13	4	5	22	61
	SPRINGFIELD TWP	2,225	10	1	1	1	12	24
	SUMMIT CITY	4,110	17	20	1	8	14	60
	UNION CO ED SERV COMM	298	1	1	7	5	4	18
	UNION COUNTY VOCATIONAL	1,576	2	0	0	0	6	8
	UNION TWP	7,497	32	1	3	10	53	99
	WESTFIELD TOWN	6,311	12	5	1	4	9	30
	WINFIELD TWP	158	1	0	0	0	5	6
	<b>County Total</b>	<b>89,242</b>	<b>341</b>	<b>124</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>172</b>	<b>527</b>	<b>1,208</b>
<b>WARREN</b>								
	ALLAMUCHY TWP	437	1	0	0	0	1	2
	ALPHA BORO	257	0	0	0	0	1	1
	BELVIDERE TOWN	772	5	0	1	0	0	6
	BLAIRSTOWN TWP	554	0	0	2	0	2	4
	FRANKLIN TWP	270	0	0	0	0	11	11

**Appendix D: District Totals by County, 2012-13**


COUNTY	DISTRICT	ENROLLMENT	VIOLENCE	VANDALISM	WEAPONS	SUBSTANCES	HIB	TOTAL
	FRELINGHUYSEN TWP	152	0	0	0	0	0	0
	GREAT MEADOWS REGIONAL	849	3	0	0	0	18	20
	GREENWICH TWP	855	6	1	0	1	0	8
	HACKETTSTOWN	1,842	5	1	4	12	8	30
	HARMONY TWP	271	0	0	0	0	1	1
	HOPE TWP	190	1	0	0	0	1	2
	KNOWLTON TWP	244	0	0	0	0	4	4
	LOPATCONG TWP	870	0	0	1	0	9	10
	MANSFIELD TWP	691	0	0	0	0	1	1
	NORTH WARREN REGIONAL	993	13	2	6	10	11	39
	OXFORD TWP	304	1	0	1	0	2	3
	PHILLIPSBURG TOWN	3,667	9	2	3	35	48	95
	POHATCONG TWP	349	1	1	0	0	5	7
	WARREN CO SPECIAL SERVICE	33	3	0	0	0	0	3
	WARREN COUNTY VOCATIONAL	466	6	0	0	2	11	19
	WARREN HILLS REGIONAL	1,862	19	4	2	4	31	58
	WASHINGTON BORO	536	0	0	0	0	1	1
	WASHINGTON TWP	571	1	0	1	0	0	1
	WHITE TWP	333	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<b>County Total</b>	<b>17,368</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>166</b>	<b>326</b>
<b>CHARTER SCHOOLS</b>								
	100 Legacy Academy CS	255	1	3	0	1	3	8
	Academy Charter High School	211	6	1	1	2	1	11
	Academy for Urban Leadership CS	298	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Adelaide L. Sandford CS	331	2	0	0	0	3	5
	Beloved Community Charter School	355	7	0	0	0	0	7
	Benjamin Banneker Prep CS	78	1	0	0	0	0	1
	Bergen Arts and Sciences CS	575	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Burch Charter School of Excellence	347	11	1	0	0	0	12
	Camden Academy Charter HS	422	3	0	0	2	0	5
	Camden's Pride Charter Schhol	240	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Camden's Promise CS	439	0	0	0	0	1	1
	Central Jersey Arts CS	333	6	0	1	0	0	7
	Central Jersey College Prep CS	313	0	0	0	0	9	9
	City Invincible CS	534	1	0	0	0	10	11
	Classical Academy CS of Clifton	118	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Community Charter School of Paterso	584	76	0	2	0	0	78
	D.U.E. Season CS	542	12	0	0	1	12	25
	Discovery CS	60	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Dr Lena Edwards Academic CS	384	0	0	0	0	0	0
	East Orange Community CS	502	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Elysian CS of Hoboken	288	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Englewood on the Pallsades CS	180	0	1	0	0	0	1
	Environment Community CS	215	1	0	0	0	2	2
	Foundation Academy CS	365	19	1	2	3	0	25
	Freedom Academy CS	118	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Galloway Community CS	197	0	0	1	1	5	7
	Gray CS	165	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Great Oaks Charter School	206	5	0	1	0	5	11
	Greater Brunswick CS	353	2	0	0	1	0	3
	Greater Newark CS	176	2	0	1	0	0	3

**Appendix D: District Totals by County, 2012-13**

COUNTY	DISTRICT	ENROLLMENT	VIOLENCE	VANDALISM	WEAPONS	SUBSTANCES	HIB	TOTAL
	HOLA Hoboken Dual Lang CS	208	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Hatikvah International CS	110	4	2	0	0	7	10
	Hoboken CS	279	2	1	0	2	3	8
	Hope Academy CS	207	8	0	1	0	10	17
	Institute of Excellence Charter Sch	463	1	0	1	0	5	6
	International CS of Trenton	89	1	0	0	0	0	1
	Jersey City Comm. CS	572	1	0	3	0	0	3
	Jersey City Golden Door	486	5	0	1	0	3	7
	John P Holland Charter School	193	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Kingdom CS of Leadership	150	2	0	0	0	5	7
	Knowledge A to Z Charter School	193	0	0	0	0	1	1
	LEAP Academy University CS	1,204	12	4	0	1	7	23
	Lady Liberty Academy CS	445	2	0	0	0	8	8
	Learning Community CS	541	5	0	0	0	9	14
	Liberty Academy CS	257	9	0	1	1	3	14
	M E T S Charter School	396	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Maria L. Varisco-Rogers CS	493	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Marion P. Thomas CS	687	0	0	0	0	7	7
	Merit Prep CS of Newark	81	2	0	0	0	0	2
	Milville Public Charter School	135	0	0	0	0	0	0
	New Horizons Comm. CS	446	28	0	0	0	0	28
	Newark Educators Charter School	278	6	1	2	0	6	12
	Newark Legacy CS	242	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Newark Prep	179	0	0	0	0	0	0
	North Star Acad. CS of Newark	2,222	1	0	2	1	0	3
	Oceanside CS	237	0	0	1	0	0	1
	Pace CS of Hamilton	116	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Passaic Arts and Science CS	356	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Paterson CS for Sci/Tech	1,039	15	2	0	4	11	32
	Paul Robeson Humanities CS	298	4	2	1	0	17	21
	Paulo Freire CS for Liberty Ed	61	0	0	0	0	0	0
	People's Preparatory Charter School	190	2	1	1	2	0	5
	Pride Academy Charter School	265	13	0	0	0	11	20
	Princeton CS	339	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Queen City Academy CS	252	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Renaissance Regional Leadership CS	148	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Ridge and Valley CS	119	1	0	0	0	1	2
	Riverbank Charter School of Excelle	142	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Robert Treat Academy CS	571	0	0	0	0	6	6
	Roseville Community CS	190	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Soaring Heights CS	221	1	0	0	0	0	1
	Sussex County CS for Technology	225	3	0	0	0	0	3
	TEAM Academy Charter School	1,786	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Teaneck Community CS	305	4	0	1	0	0	4
	The Barack Obama Green Charter Higl	163	6	0	0	0	9	15
	The Ethical Community Charter Schoo	240	0	0	0	0	2	2
	The Red Bank CS	180	0	0	0	0	1	1
	Thomas Edison EnergySmart CS	210	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Union County TEAMS CS	314	3	0	1	1	2	7
	Unity CS	183	1	0	0	0	0	1
	University Academy CS	419	19	0	0	2	2	23

**Appendix D: District Totals by County, 2012-13**

COUNTY	DISTRICT	ENROLLMENT	VIOLENCE	VANDALISM	WEAPONS	SUBSTANCES	HIB	TOTAL
	University Heights CS	335	11	4	3	0	3	20
	Village CS	357	16	1	0	0	3	19
	Vineland Public Charter School	223	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Visions Academy CS	339	38	2	0	0	22	62
	chARTer~TECH HIGH SCHOOL	314	2	1	1	1	3	8
	<b>Charter School Total</b>	<b>29,877</b>	<b>383</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>218</b>	<b>656</b>
	<b>State Total</b>	<b>1,369,554</b>	<b>7,895</b>	<b>1,751</b>	<b>1,047</b>	<b>3,358</b>	<b>7,740</b>	<b>21,170</b>



Governor Chris Christie • Lt. Governor Kim Guadagno  
 NJ Home | Services A to Z | Departments/Agencies | FAQs


DOEA to Z: A B C D E F G H I J K L M N O P Q R S T U V W X Y Z #

Home Educators Families Programs Data News Room

DOE Data » DOE Data Home

2015-2016 Enrollment District Reported Data

County	White		Black		Hispanic		Native American		Asian		Hawaiian Native		Two or More Races		Total	Free Lunch	Reduced Lunch
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female			
ATLANTIC	9,542.0	8,977.0	4,215.0	3,993.0	6,292.0	6,031.0	27.0	25.0	1,870.0	1,758.0	71.0	64.0	594.0	568.0	44,027.0	21,482.0	2,826.0
BERGEN	36,441.0	34,019.0	3,975.0	3,812.0	16,055.0	15,172.0	92.0	78.0	10,906.0	10,358.0	298.0	286.0	1,176.0	1,105.0	133,773.0	22,032.0	5,488.0
BURLINGTON	22,400.0	20,639.0	7,029.0	6,840.0	3,252.0	3,307.0	65.0	69.0	2,093.0	2,063.0	80.0	78.0	836.0	853.0	69,804.0	14,561.0	3,601.0
CAMDEN	18,634.0	17,256.0	9,134.0	8,541.0	8,282.0	7,721.0	50.0	46.0	2,611.0	2,515.0	62.0	59.0	926.0	881.0	76,718.0	27,434.0	4,212.0
CAPE MAY	4,871.0	4,679.0	545.0	518.0	872.0	777.0	9.0	7.0	77.0	90.0	13.0	7.0	67.0	51.0	12,583.0	4,212.0	730.0
CUMBERLAND	4,223.0	3,961.0	2,974.0	2,931.0	5,932.0	5,877.0	69.0	59.0	152.0	172.0	9.0	5.0	286.0	288.5	26,938.5	12,409.0	1,707.0
ESSEX	17,071.0	16,039.5	23,092.0	21,566.0	16,145.5	15,129.5	75.0	76.0	3,203.0	3,005.0	168.0	180.0	722.0	736.0	117,208.5	52,905.0	5,279.5
GLOUCESTER	17,911.0	16,776.0	3,529.5	3,189.5	1,950.5	1,787.0	35.0	26.0	846.0	757.0	27.0	31.0	634.0	630.0	48,129.5	10,938.5	2,367.0
HUDSON	7,293.5	6,873.5	5,259.5	4,963.0	25,067.5	23,651.0	64.0	47.0	3,891.0	3,714.0	136.0	129.0	302.0	275.0	81,666.0	51,965.5	6,233.5
HUNTERDON	8,604.0	8,144.5	268.5	214.0	860.5	705.5	8.0	10.0	461.0	469.0	15.0	6.0	131.0	133.0	20,030.0	1,430.5	318.0
MERCER	10,565.5	9,581.5	6,204.5	5,819.5	6,462.5	5,953.0	19.0	24.0	5,297.0	5,026.0	19.0	19.0	689.0	585.0	56,264.5	17,901.0	2,810.0
MIDDLESEX	20,496.0	18,814.5	6,802.5	6,412.5	18,599.5	17,576.5	67.0	71.0	17,099.0	16,023.0	89.0	80.0	795.0	774.0	123,699.5	35,177.5	6,092.0
MONMOUTH	34,404.5	32,900.0	4,309.5	4,054.0	7,776.5	7,322.0	47.0	39.0	3,087.0	3,022.0	96.0	76.0	837.0	808.0	98,778.5	20,913.0	3,426.0
MORRIS	26,490.5	24,935.5	1,253.0	1,251.0	6,076.5	5,828.5	42.0	33.0	4,501.5	4,354.0	96.0	63.0	627.0	560.0	76,111.5	8,558.5	1,932.0
OCEAN	26,741.5	24,804.5	1,864.0	1,700.0	6,341.5	6,065.5	36.0	40.0	792.0	860.0	78.0	68.0	526.0	593.0	70,502.0	20,273.0	3,799.0
PASSAIC	12,599.5	11,581.0	4,104.0	3,778.0	22,399.5	21,358.0	57.0	56.0	1,972.5	1,865.0	62.0	66.0	322.0	301.0	80,521.5	43,732.5	3,068.0
SALEM	3,595.5	3,368.5	1,149.5	1,107.5	760.5	708.0	11.0	13.0	48.0	50.0	2.0	2.0	173.0	182.0	11,170.5	3,782.5	590.0
SOMERSET	13,428.5	12,641.5	2,685.0	2,465.0	5,166.0	4,854.0	25.0	28.0	5,395.0	5,125.0	99.0	70.0	439.0	406.0	52,827.0	8,839.0	1,925.0
SUSSEX	9,178.5	8,791.5	286.0	232.0	852.0	793.0	12.0	12.0	240.0	215.0	22.0	19.0	109.0	86.0	20,848.0	2,646.0	918.0
UNION	16,371.0	15,369.0	10,031.5	9,799.0	18,084.5	17,058.0	37.0	37.0	2,406.0	2,231.0	104.0	102.0	565.0	517.0	92,712.0	37,788.0	5,807.5
WARREN	6,525.0	6,177.0	540.0	561.0	1,077.0	1,033.0	7.0	9.0	239.0	254.0	12.0	13.0	151.0	155.0	16,753.0	3,737.0	797.0
CHARTERS	1,678.0	1,619.0	10,946.0	12,385.0	6,106.5	6,861.0	28.0	24.0	1,008.0	1,031.0	32.0	28.0	172.0	198.0	42,116.5	26,444.5	3,735.0
State Total	329,064.5	307,948.0	110,197.0	106,132.0	184,411.5	175,568.5	882.0	829.0	68,195.0	64,957.0	1,582.0	1,451.0	11,079.0	10,685.5	1,372,982.0	449,182.0	67,661.5




Contact Us | Privacy Notice | Legal Statement & Disclaimers | Accessibility Statement

NJDOE: Educators | Families | Programs | Data | Newsroom | DOE A to Z

Statewide: NJ Home | Services A to Z | Departments/Agencies | FAQs

Copyright © State of New Jersey, 1996 - 2014

NJ Department of Education, PO Box 500, Trenton, NJ 08625-0500, (877)900-6960



Governor Chris Christie • Lt. Governor Kim Guadagno  
 NJ Home | Services A to Z | Departments/Agencies | FAQs

NJ DOE  Search... Search

DOEA to Z: A B C D E F G H I J K L M N O P Q R S T U V W X Y Z #

Home Educators Families Programs Data News Room

DOE Data » DOE Data Home

2014-2015 Enrollment

County	White		Black		Hispanic		Native American		Asian		Hawaiian Native		Two or More Races		Total	Free Lunch	Reduced Lunch
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female			
ATLANTIC	9,811.0	9,175.0	4,345.0	4,045.0	6,299.0	5,954.0	22.0	26.0	1,967.0	1,816.0	82.0	66.0	540.0	517.0	44,665.0	20,594.0	3,260.0
BERGEN	37,217.0	34,837.0	4,082.0	3,864.0	15,478.0	14,650.0	99.0	84.0	10,804.0	10,216.0	324.0	318.0	1,078.0	958.0	134,009.0	22,110.0	6,096.0
BURLINGTON	22,943.5	21,156.0	7,189.0	7,002.0	3,170.0	3,129.0	74.0	65.0	2,014.0	2,024.0	74.0	85.0	693.0	711.0	70,329.5	14,226.0	3,670.0
CAMDEN	19,099.0	17,676.0	9,179.0	8,715.0	8,024.0	7,426.0	43.0	39.0	2,561.0	2,500.0	60.0	67.0	834.0	748.0	76,971.0	30,298.0	4,900.0
CAPE MAY	5,010.0	4,731.0	541.0	514.0	815.0	743.0	11.0	7.0	83.0	97.0	12.0	8.0	60.0	60.0	12,692.0	4,095.0	778.0
CUMBERLAND	4,350.5	4,172.5	3,109.0	3,012.0	5,737.0	5,635.0	80.0	62.0	163.0	159.0	11.0	6.0	232.0	246.0	26,975.0	12,217.0	1,991.0
ESSEX	17,070.5	16,202.0	22,624.0	21,417.0	14,579.0	13,813.5	76.0	75.0	3,154.0	2,962.0	138.0	147.0	587.0	606.0	113,451.0	51,444.5	5,782.5
GLOUCESTER	18,060.0	16,970.5	3,594.0	3,216.5	1,809.5	1,678.0	32.0	28.0	849.0	784.0	32.0	23.0	562.0	554.0	48,192.5	10,990.0	2,513.0
HUDSON	7,320.0	6,885.0	5,555.0	5,253.0	25,138.0	23,643.0	60.0	50.0	3,829.0	3,673.0	135.0	129.0	250.0	242.0	82,162.0	53,838.0	6,704.0
HUNTERDON	8,934.0	8,398.5	288.5	211.5	810.0	653.5	13.0	10.0	451.0	495.0	12.0	7.0	113.0	118.0	20,515.0	1,488.0	348.0
MERCER	11,005.0	9,977.5	6,348.0	6,044.0	6,205.5	5,747.5	20.0	24.0	5,082.0	4,859.0	13.0	13.0	636.0	574.0	56,548.5	17,691.5	2,919.0
MIDDLESEX	21,161.5	19,483.5	6,993.5	6,399.5	10,106.5	17,049.0	42.0	65.0	16,498.0	15,497.0	92.0	75.0	698.0	668.0	122,828.5	36,416.5	7,660.0
MONMOUTH	35,254.0	33,695.0	4,421.0	4,286.5	7,264.5	6,899.0	55.0	55.0	3,043.0	2,982.0	97.0	86.0	695.0	699.5	99,532.5	20,291.5	3,789.5
MORRIS	27,167.5	25,549.5	1,257.0	1,245.0	5,899.5	5,600.5	40.0	35.0	4,327.5	4,161.0	92.0	72.0	507.0	474.0	76,427.5	8,607.5	2,160.5
OCEAN	27,490.0	25,493.5	1,925.5	1,770.0	6,023.0	5,681.0	48.0	37.0	799.0	843.0	71.0	71.0	468.0	542.0	71,262.0	20,163.5	3,902.0
PASSAIC	12,853.5	11,815.5	4,130.0	3,692.5	21,818.0	20,675.5	64.0	54.0	1,874.5	1,737.0	71.0	71.0	329.0	286.0	79,671.5	38,355.5	3,080.5
SALEM	3,674.0	3,416.0	1,190.0	1,119.5	721.5	680.0	8.0	12.0	46.0	48.0	2.0	2.0	172.0	154.0	11,245.0	4,101.0	650.0
SOMERSET	13,784.0	13,136.0	2,758.0	2,545.0	5,250.5	4,909.0	27.0	24.0	5,199.0	4,954.0	94.0	62.0	383.0	342.0	53,467.5	8,570.0	2,017.0
SUSSEX	9,527.5	9,206.5	277.0	245.0	769.0	737.0	12.0	13.0	254.0	193.0	14.0	13.0	69.0	68.0	21,398.0	2,614.0	1,001.0
UNION	16,726.5	15,588.5	10,295.0	10,042.5	17,533.5	16,503.0	28.0	32.0	2,313.0	2,199.0	113.0	103.0	433.0	429.0	82,339.0	36,476.0	6,764.5
WARREN	5,741.0	6,395.0	500.0	517.5	1,036.0	988.0	13.0	12.0	250.0	251.0	13.0	13.0	143.0	155.0	17,027.5	3,564.0	822.0
CHARTERS	1,671.0	1,610.0	10,025.0	11,195.5	5,142.5	5,872.0	23.0	15.0	849.0	895.0	28.0	26.0	149.0	168.5	37,669.5	23,469.0	3,770.0
State Total	336,871.0	315,570.0	110,626.5	106,552.5	177,629.5	168,666.5	890.0	824.0	66,410.0	63,345.0	1,580.0	1,463.0	9,631.0	9,320.0	1,369,379.0	441,620.5	74,578.5



Contact Us | Privacy Notice | Legal Statement & Disclaimers | Accessibility Statement

NJDOE: Educators | Families | Programs | Data | Newsroom | DOE A to Z

Statewide: NJ Home | Services A to Z | Departments/Agencies | FAQs

Copyright © State of New Jersey, 1996 - 2014

NJ Department of Education, PO Box 500, Trenton, NJ 08625-0500, (877)800-6960





STATE OF NEW JERSEY  
DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Governor Chris Christie • Lt. Governor Kim Guadagno  
NJ Home | Services A to Z | Departments/Agencies | FAQs

NJ DOE  Search  Search

DOEA to Z: A B C D E F G H I J K L M N O P Q R S T U V W X Y Z #

Home Educators Families Programs Data News Room

DOE Data [» DOE Data Home](#)

2014-2015 Dropouts

County	White Males	White Females	Black Males	Black Females	Hispanic Males	Hispanic Females	Native American Males	Native American Females	Asian Males	Asian Females	Hawaiian Native Males	Hawaiian Native Females	Two or More Races Males	Two or More Races Females	Total
ATLANTIC	37	16	47	46	51	48	0	1	15	9	0	1	4	0	27
BERGEN	40	30	17	6	43	30	0	0	15	8	0	0	1	0	19
BURLINGTON	75	50	55	39	17	13	1	2	2	3	0	0	0	1	25
CAMDEN	69	53	124	99	116	105	0	0	13	6	0	1	3	4	59
CAPE MAY	37	15	3	5	5	5	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	7
CUMBERLAND	40	40	49	28	86	55	1	0	2	0	0	0	2	1	30
ESSEX	36	21	532	431	209	153	0	2	12	7	0	0	4	3	1,411
GLOUCESTER	79	49	30	18	13	9	1	0	0	2	1	1	5	2	21
HUDSON	48	18	43	35	131	105	0	1	27	29	2	0	5	4	44
HUNTERDON	14	16	0	0	8	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4
MERCER	25	16	129	123	108	68	0	0	11	3	0	0	3	2	48
MIDDLESEX	54	34	40	28	187	127	0	0	23	16	0	0	5	0	51
MONMOUTH	61	46	31	37	30	35	2	0	5	3	0	0	0	0	25
MORRIS	34	29	5	4	26	17	1	0	4	7	0	1	0	0	12
OCEAN	155	96	20	9	43	38	1	1	4	2	0	0	3	1	37
PASSAIC	54	34	108	82	280	210	1	0	11	9	2	1	3	0	80
SALEM	17	9	11	11	6	10	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	6
SOMERSET	15	13	17	11	35	15	0	0	1	2	1	1	0	0	11
SUSSEX	27	19	3	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
UNION	44	20	119	91	258	178	1	0	4	3	0	0	0	1	71
WARREN	17	11	5	3	6	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	4
CHARTERS	2	2	14	10	5	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	3
State Total	980	637	1,402	1,118	1,676	1,228	10	7	153	109	6	6	43	20	7,391



Contact Us | Privacy Notice | Legal Statement & Disclaimers | Accessibility Statement

NJDOE: Educators | Families | Programs | Data | Newsroom | DOE A to Z

Statewide: NJ Home | Services A to Z | Departments/Agencies | FAQs

Copyright © State of New Jersey, 1998 - 2014

NJ Department of Education, PO Box 500, Trenton, NJ 08625-0500, (877)900-6980



STATE OF NEW JERSEY  
DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Governor Chris Christie • Lt. Governor Kim Guadagno  
NJ Home | Services A to Z | Departments/Agencies | FAQs

NJ DOE  Search ... Search

DOEA to Z: A B C D E F G H I J K L M N O P Q R S T U V W X Y Z #

Home Educators Families Programs Data News Room

DOE Data [»DOE Data Home](#)

2012-2013 Dropouts

County	White Males	White Females	Black Males	Black Females	Hispanic Males	Hispanic Females	Native American Males	Native American Females	Asian Males	Asian Females	Hawaiian Native Males	Hawaiian Native Females	Two or More Races Males	Two or More Races Females	Total
ATLANTIC	38	32	47	26	49	42	1	0	8	8	0	0	0	0	251
BERGEN	49	14	19	9	34	24	3	3	8	5	0	0	0	0	168
BURLINGTON	82	64	63	66	25	8	0	0	3	3	2	1	1	1	319
CAMDEN	68	65	151	115	135	106	0	0	13	5	0	0	3	7	668
CAPE MAY	30	12	4	3	8	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	62
CUMBERLAND	58	36	63	27	98	61	5	0	6	1	0	0	1	2	358
ESSEX	29	30	499	414	178	132	0	0	5	3	1	0	4	0	1,295
GLOUCESTER	66	42	22	16	12	7	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	3	171
HUDSON	26	13	39	33	137	84	1	0	9	8	1	0	0	0	351
HUNTERDON	22	17	2	1	3	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	50
MERCER	27	16	158	143	81	76	0	0	5	4	1	0	2	0	513
MIDDLESEX	61	32	51	36	149	94	0	0	16	11	0	1	1	1	453
MONMOUTH	75	40	45	30	42	33	0	0	2	4	0	0	3	1	275
MORRIS	35	15	1	0	14	18	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	87
OCEAN	157	99	25	16	49	44	0	0	1	2	0	0	1	4	398
PASSAIC	63	35	121	80	282	210	3	2	6	6	2	0	0	0	810
SALEM	22	16	25	13	9	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	94
SOMERSET	28	18	18	10	33	13	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	123
SUSSEX	39	21	1	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	65
UNION	45	31	115	79	150	120	0	0	10	5	0	0	0	0	555
WARREN	34	16	2	3	9	7	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	74
CHARTERS	2	3	6	5	2	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	21
State Total	1,056	667	1,477	1,125	1,502	1,098	13	5	97	71	7	2	21	20	7,161

# KIDS COUNT data center

A PROJECT OF THE ANNIE E. CASEY FOUNDATION

## CHILDREN RECEIVING TANF (WELFARE)

change indicator

### Children Receiving TANF (Welfare)

Year(s): 3 selected | Data Type: Number

Data Provided by: Advocates for Children of New Jersey

Location	Data Type	2012	2014	2015
Atlantic	Number	3,746	3,783	3,454
Bergen	Number	1,954	1,474	1,319
Burlington	Number	2,070	1,960	1,886
Camden	Number	8,012	6,170	5,287
Cape May	Number	784	668	519
Cumberland	Number	2,388	2,599	2,213
Essex	Number	13,919	11,949	9,668
Gloucester	Number	2,096	1,817	1,323
Hudson	Number	7,693	6,555	5,090
Hunterdon	Number	209	158	100
Mercer	Number	4,010	3,543	2,907
Middlesex	Number	2,831	2,612	2,124
Monmouth	Number	1,793	1,317	935
Morris	Number	522	453	358
Ocean	Number	2,696	2,230	1,826
Passaic	Number	8,052	6,234	5,773
Salem	Number	893	812	533
Somerset	Number	885	870	671
Sussex	Number	346	292	222
Union	Number	3,241	2,868	2,348
Warren	Number	552	584	343

**DEFINITIONS & SOURCES**

**COLLAPSE**

Definitions: Children receiving Temporary Aid to Needy Families - TANF (welfare).

Data Source: New Jersey Department of Human Services, Division of Family Development

Footnotes: Data are as of June of each year.



THE ANNIE E. CASEY FOUNDATION

©2017 The Annie E. Casey Foundation.

# KIDS COUNT data center

A PROJECT OF THE ANNIE E. CASEY FOUNDATION

## CHILDREN RECEIVING NJ SNAP (FORMERLY FOOD STAMPS)

change indicator

### Children Receiving NJ SNAP (Formerly Food Stamps)

Year(s): 3 selected | Data Type: Number

Data Provided by: Advocates for Children of New Jersey

Location	Data Type	2012	2014	2015
Atlantic	Number	18,360	19,858	20,193
Bergen	Number	14,385	13,798	15,192
Burlington	Number	12,455	11,560	12,036
Camden	Number	33,444	32,085	32,494
Cape May	Number	4,318	3,394	3,919
Cumberland	Number	15,282	15,682	15,360
Essex	Number	61,225	64,464	62,064
Gloucester	Number	10,589	10,515	9,873
Hudson	Number	49,507	52,193	50,631
Hunterdon	Number	1,214	1,294	1,231
Mercer	Number	15,715	15,754	15,547
Middlesex	Number	24,075	26,725	27,364
Monmouth	Number	15,240	16,944	17,013
Morris	Number	6,070	5,513	6,236
Ocean	Number	26,093	34,309	34,860
Passaic	Number	49,777	52,662	51,738
Salem	Number	4,153	4,417	4,255
Somerset	Number	5,920	6,407	6,366
Sussex	Number	2,234	2,004	1,778
Union	Number	22,622	24,111	24,516
Warren	Number	3,321	3,355	3,261

**DEFINITIONS & SOURCES**

**COLLAPSE**

Definitions: Children living in families that receive NJ SNAP benefits (formerly food stamps).

Data Source: New Jersey Department of Human Services, Division of Family Development

Footnotes: Data are as of June for each year.



THE ANNIE E. CASEY FOUNDATION

©2017 The Annie E. Casey Foundation.

# KIDS COUNT data center

A PROJECT OF THE ANNIE E. CASEY FOUNDATION

## BIRTHS TO GIRLS AGES 10-19

change indicator

### Births To Girls Ages 10-19

Year(s): 3 selected | Data Type: Number

Data Provided by: Advocates for Children of New Jersey

Location	Data Type	2009	2010	2012
Atlantic	Number	327	307	265
Bergen	Number	163	166	152
Burlington	Number	245	219	169
Camden	Number	675	621	496
Cape May	Number	92	78	65
Cumberland	Number	343	305	223
Essex	Number	1,007	802	711
Gloucester	Number	168	170	131
Hudson	Number	617	568	456
Hunterdon	Number	14	19	16
Mercer	Number	301	309	235
Middlesex	Number	437	360	379
Monmouth	Number	264	236	178
Morris	Number	88	90	68
Ocean	Number	295	241	233
Passaic	Number	623	630	506
Salem	Number	76	92	50
Somerset	Number	139	104	77
Sussex	Number	47	52	35
Union	Number	426	408	313
Unknown	Number	0	0	NA
Warren	Number	51	35	36

**DEFINITIONS & SOURCES**

COLLAPSE

Definitions: Number and percentage of births to girls ages 10-19

Data Source: New Jersey Department of Health and Senior Services, Center for Health Statistics

**Footnotes:**

UNKNOWN - New Jersey births where no county has been identified.



©2017 The Annie E. Casey Foundation.

# KIDS COUNT data center

A PROJECT OF THE ANNIE E. CASEY FOUNDATION

## CHILD ABUSE/NEGLECT SUBSTANTIATIONS change indicator

### Child Abuse/Neglect Substantiations

Year(s): 3 selected | Data Type: Number

Data Provided by: Advocates for Children of New Jersey

Location	Data Type	2010	2012	2014
Atlantic	Number	381	375	620
Bergen	Number	631	672	626
Burlington	Number	345	507	811
Camden	Number	1,205	1,210	1,259
Cape May	Number	192	182	166
Cumberland	Number	341	309	588
Essex	Number	1,080	1,100	1,305
Gloucester	Number	405	471	608
Hudson	Number	946	678	907
Hunterdon	Number	134	112	155
Mercer	Number	390	365	571
Middlesex	Number	527	498	586
Monmouth	Number	438	651	581
Morris	Number	389	257	329
Ocean	Number	409	354	509
Out of state	Number	12	NA	NA
Passaic	Number	102	496	739
Selem	Number	293	141	120
Somerset	Number	100	116	229
Sussex	Number	588	184	187
Union	Number	117	452	473
Warren	Number	409	102	173

#### DEFINITIONS & SOURCES

COLLAPSE

**Definitions:** Number and percentage of child abuse/neglect investigations that are substantiated. Based on the number of children found to be victims of child abuse/neglect.

**Data Source:** New Jersey Department of Children and Families, Child Protection and Permanency

**Footnotes:** Due to a change in the way in which CP&P conducts investigations of child abuse/neglect in 2005, 2005 data is not comparable to prior or future years.

Beginning in 2013, the NJ Department of Children and Families added two possible findings of child abuse/neglect investigations: established and not established. Data from 2013 onward includes substantiated and established findings.



©2017 The Annie E. Casey Foundation.

# DIVERSION DATA WORKSHEETS

## NATURE & EXTENT OF DIVERTED CASES

### LAW ENFORCEMENT

**Table 1. Police Disposition of Juveniles Taken into Custody by Dispositions Type, 2012, 2014 and 2015**

Disposition Type	2012		2014		2015		% Change in Number of Dispositions 2012-2015
	Number	% of Total Disposition	Number	% of Total Disposition	Number	% of Total Disposition	
Cases Handled Within Department & Released	107	30.5%	36	18.2%	43	19.0%	-59.8%
Referred to Juvenile Court or Probation Department	231	65.8%	161	81.3%	180	79.6%	-22.1%
Referred to Welfare Agency	2	0.6%	0	0.0%	1	0.4%	-50.0%
Referred to Other Police Agency	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%
Referred to Criminal or Adult Court	11	3.1%	1	0.5%	2	0.9%	-81.8%
<b>TOTAL POLICE DISPOSITION OF JUVENILES</b>	<b>351</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>198</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>226</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>-35.6%</b>

Source: Uniform Crime Report (New Jersey), 2012, 2014 and 2015

## FAMILY CRISIS INTERVENTION UNIT (FCIU)

**Table 2. FCIU Caseload by Category, 2012, 2014 and 2015**

Categories	2012		2014		2015		% Change in Number of Cases 2012-2015
	Number	% of Total Caseload	Number	% of Total Caseload	Number	% of Total Caseload	
Serious threat to the well-being/physical safety of juvenile	36	17.0%	92	37.9%	56	22.1%	55.6%
Serious conflict between parent/guardian and juvenile	85	40.1%	82	33.7%	88	34.8%	3.5%
Unauthorized absence by a juvenile for more than 24 hours	2	0.9%	0	0.0%	1	0.4%	-50.0%
Truancy	10	4.7%	12	4.9%	9	3.6%	-10.0%
Disorderly/Petty Disorderly Persons offense diverted to FCIU	0	0.0%	2	0.8%	0	0.0%	0.0%
Other	79	37.3%	55	22.6%	99	39.1%	25.3%
<b>TOTAL CASELOAD</b>	<b>212</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>243</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>253</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>19.3%</b>

Source: Administrative Office of the Courts, 2012, 2014 and 2015.



**Table 3. FCIU Petitions Filed by Petition Type, 2012, 2014 and 2015**

Petition Types	2012		2014		2015		% Change in Number of Petitions Filed 2012-2015
	Number	% of Total Petitions Filed	Number	% of Total Petitions Filed	Number	% of Total Petitions Filed	
Juveniles/Family Crisis	0	#DIV/0!	2	40.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%
Out-of-Home	0	#DIV/0!	3	60.0%	1	100.0%	100.0%
<b>TOTAL PETITIONS FILED</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

Source: Administrative Office of the Courts, 2012, 2014 and 2015.

**Table 4a. FCIU Referrals by Referral Type, 2012, 2014 and 2015\***

Referrals Types	2012		2014		2015		% Change in Number of Petitions Filed 2012-2015
	Number	% of Total Referrals Filed	Number	% of Total Referrals Filed	Number	% of Total Referrals Filed	
Referrals made to DYFS	4	1.1%	4	1.3%	2	0.6%	-50.0%
Referrals made to Substance Abuse Program	6	1.7%	1	0.3%	0	0.0%	-100.0%
Referrals made to Other Outside Agencies	339	97.1%	294	98.3%	343	99.4%	1.2%
<b>TOTAL REFERRALS</b>	<b>349</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>299</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>345</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>-1.1%</b>

*Source: Administrative Office of the Courts, Family Automated Tracking System 2012 and 2015.*

---

*\*multiple referrals for one case can be reported*

**Table 4b. Total Referrals (New Filings) to Juvenile Court by Race/Ethnicity, 2012 and 2015**

Race/Ethnicity	2012		2015		% Change 2012-2015
	Number	% of Total Referrals	Number	% of Total Referrals	
White	194	85.5%	90	70.9%	-53.6%
Black	14	6.2%	25	19.7%	78.6%
Hispanic	15	6.6%	9	7.1%	-40.0%
Other*	4	1.8%	3	2.4%	-25.0%
Total Referrals	227	100.0%	127	100.0%	-44.1%

Source: Administrative Office of the Courts, Family Automated Tracking System 2012 and 2015.

\*See required Data and Methodology

**Table 4c. Total Referrals (New Filings) to Juvenile Court compared to Juvenile Arrests by Race/Ethnicity, 2012 and 2015**

Race/Ethnicity	2012			2015			% Change 2012-2015	
	Juvenile Arrests**	Referrals to Court	% of Arrests Referred to Court	Juvenile Arrests**	Referrals to Court	% of Arrests Referred to Court	Juvenile Arrests**	Referrals to Court
White	327	194	59.3%	131	90	68.7%	-59.9%	-53.6%
Black	21	14	66.7%	78	25	32.1%	271.4%	78.6%
Hispanic	21	15	71.4%	14	9	64.3%	-33.3%	-40.0%
Other*	3	4	133.3%	3	3	100.0%	0.0%	-25.0%
Total	351	227	64.7%	226	127	56.2%	-35.6%	-44.1%

Source: Administrative Office of the Courts, Family Automated Tracking System 2012 and 2015.

\*\*\* See required Data and Methodology

**Table 5a. Total Juvenile Cases Diverted by Race/Ethnicity, 2012 and 2015**

Race/Ethnicity	2012		2015		% Change 2012-2015
	Number	% of Total Cases Diverted	Number	% of Total Cases Diverted	
White	133	86.9%	67	82.7%	-49.6%
Black	7	4.6%	3	3.7%	-57.1%
Hispanic	9	5.9%	9	11.1%	0.0%
Other*	4	2.6%	2	2.5%	-50.0%
Total Cases	153	100.0%	81	100.0%	-47.1%

Source: Administrative Office of the Courts, Family Automated Tracking System 2012 and 2015.

\*See required Data and Methodology

**Table 5b. Total Juvenile Cases Diverted compared to Juvenile Arrests by Race/Ethnicity, 2012 and 2015**

Race/Ethnicity	2012			2015			% Change 2012-2015	
	Juvenile Arrests**	Cases Diverted	% of Arrests Diverted	Juvenile Arrests**	Cases Diverted	% of Arrests Diverted	Juvenile Arrests**	Cases Diverted
White	327	133	40.7%	121	67	55.4%	-63.0%	-49.6%
Black	21	7	33.3%	27	3	11.1%	28.6%	-57.1%
Hispanic	21	9	42.9%	4	9	225.0%	-81.0%	0.0%
Other*	3	4	133.3%	4	2	50.0%	33.3%	-50.0%
Total	351	153	43.6%	226	81	35.8%	-35.6%	-47.1%

Source: Administrative Office of the Courts, Family Automated Tracking System 2012 and 2015.

\*\* See required Data and Methodology

## **DIVERSION ANALYSIS QUESTIONS**

- When answering questions regarding trends, describe *whether* any change has occurred, the *direction* of any change (e.g., increase/up, decrease/down), and the *size* of any change (e.g., small, moderate, large).
- When answering questions regarding rank orders, draw comparisons between categories (e.g., using terms like least/smallest, most/largest).

### **NATURE & EXTENT OF DIVERTED CASES**

#### **LAW ENFORCEMENT STATION HOUSE ADJUSTMENTS**

- For Questions 1-2, use Table 1 (Police Disposition of Juveniles Taken into Custody by Disposition Type).

1. Look at the Total Police Disposition of Juveniles (Row 6) and describe the overall change in police disposition of juveniles between 2012 and 2015.

*Dispositions declined by 35.6% between 2012 and 2015.*

2. Look at Cases Handled within Department and Released (Row 1) and describe the overall change in police diversion of juveniles between 2012 and 2015.

*Cases handled within department and released decreased by 59.8%, as well an overall decrease in all police diversions.*

#### **FAMILY CRISIS INTERVENTION UNITS**

- For Questions 3-7, use Table 2 (FCIU Caseload by Category, 2012 and 2015).

3. Look at the FCIU Total Caseload (Row 7) and describe the overall change in the FCIU caseload between 2012 and 2015.

*The total FCIU caseload has increased by 41 cases, or 19.3% from 2012 to 2015.*

4. Insert into the chart below the FCIU caseloads beginning with the category that has the greatest number of cases.

Ranking of FCIU Caseload Categories for 2015		
Rank	Category	Number
1	other	99
2	Serious conflict between parent/guardian and juvenile	88
3	Serious threat to the well-being/physical safety of juvenile	56
4	Truancy	9
5	Unauthorized absence by a juvenile for more than 24 hrs	1
6	Disorderly/Petty Disorderly Persons offense diverted to FCIU	0

5. Insert into the chart below the % Change in Number of Cases column (Column G), between 2012 and 2015, from largest to smallest.

Ranking of FCIU Caseload Categories between 2012 and 2015			
Rank	Category	% Change	Number
1	Serious threat to the well-being/physical safety of juvenile	55.6%	20
2	Unauthorized absence by a juvenile for more than 24 hours	-50%	-1
3	Other	25.3%	20
4	Truancy	-10%	-1
5	Serious conflict between parent/guardian and juvenile	3.5%	3
6	DP/PDP offenses diverted to FCIU	0%	0

6. Using the information in the ranking charts above, what does this information tell you about your county's overall FCIU caseload in 2015? How has FCIU caseloads changed since 2012?

*The number of cases diverted to FCIU has had no significant change between 2012 to 2015. There was a significant increase of 44 cases from 2014 to 2015 in the Other category, however, we are unaware as to what defines Other but we notice it was within a years time. With the exception of serious threat to the wellbeing/physical safety of juvenile, the caseloads by category are consistent from year to year. The serious threat caseload continues to be consistent with all the collected data for this planning cycle.*

➤ **For Question 7, use Table 3 (FCIU Petitions Filed by Petition Type).**

7. Look at the Total Petitions Filed (Row 3), and describe the overall change in FCIU filings between 2012 and 2015.

There were no petitions filed in 2012, with an increase to five filed in 2014, but then dropped to one in 2015.

➤ For Questions 8-11, use Table 4 (FCIU Referrals by Referral Type).

8. Look at the Total Referrals (Row 4) and describe the overall change in FCIU referrals between 2012 and 2015.

*Referrals have decreased to DCP&P and to substance abuse programs but has increased to outside agencies. This figure also includes referrals made to the Children's System of Care which wraparound services are utilized and may include secondary referrals to DCP&P or a substance abuse treatment program/agency.*

9. Insert into the chart below the referral types beginning with the category that has the greatest number of cases.

Ranking of FCIU Referral Types for 2012-2015		
Rank	Referral Type	Number
1	Referrals made to other outside agencies	343
2	Referrals made to DCP&P	2
3	Referrals made to substance abuse programs	0

10. Insert into the chart below the FCIU referral types between 2012 and 2015, from largest to smallest.

Ranking of FCIU Referral Types between 2012 and 2015			
Rank	Referral Type	% Change	Number
1	Referrals made to substance abuse program	-100%	-6
2	Referrals made to DCP&P	-50%	-2
3	Referrals made to outside agencies	1.2%	4
4			
5			
6			

11. Using the information in the ranking chart above, what does this information tell you about your county's overall FCIU Referrals to Juvenile Court between 2012 and 2015? How has FCIU Referral change since 2012?

*FCIU is making more referrals to other outside agencies, indicating they are utilizing available resources and the wraparound approach to services. DCP&P and Substance use treatment may be a part of those wraparound services and are not reflective in the above chart.*

**JUVENILE COURT REFERRALS (NEW FILINGS)**

12. Using the data in Table 5, describe the overall change in referral to juvenile court by race and ethnicity between 2012 and 2015.

*There was a decrease of 100 referrals, or 44.1% decrease in overall cases referred between 2012 and 2015. The most significant decrease was the white race, however this group represents the majority of Sussex County's race population.*

13. Insert into the chart below the referrals to juvenile court by race/ethnicity beginning with the group that has the greatest number of referrals.

<b>Ranking of Referrals to Juvenile Court by Race/Ethnicity, 2015</b>		
<b>Rank</b>	<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>	<b>Number</b>
1	White	90
2	Black	25
3	Hispanic	9
4	Other	3

14. Insert into the chart below the % change in Referrals to Juvenile Court between 2012 and 2015 by Race/Ethnicity, beginning with the group that had the greatest % change.

<b>Ranking of Referrals to Juvenile Court by Race/Ethnicity, 2012 and 2015</b>		
<b>Rank</b>	<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>	<b>% Change</b>
1	Black	78.6%
2	White	-53.6%
3	Hispanic	-40%
4	Other	-25%

15. Using the information in the ranking charts above, what does this information tell you about referrals to juvenile court by race and ethnicity between 2012 and 2015? How have referrals to juvenile court changed since 2012?

*The changes in the charts above illustrate a significant increase in new referrals to court by the black population, consistent with the arrest data and the explanation as to the local group home incident explained throughout this plan. All other race/ethnicity groups have decreased, with white decreasing by 104 youth, Hispanic decreasing by 6 youth and other decreasing by one youth.*



### **Disproportionate Minority Contact And Racial And Ethnic Disparities**

16. Using the data in Table 6 (Total Referrals to Juvenile Court compared to Juvenile Arrests by Race/Ethnicity), compare and describe the number of Juvenile Arrests to the number of Referrals to Juvenile Court by Race/Ethnicity between 2012 and 2015.

*Overall there was a decline in referrals compared to arrests from 2012 to 2015, however there was a 78.6% increase in Black youth referrals compared to arrests, illustrating racial/ethnic disparities.*

### **FAMILY COURT DIVERSIONS**

- **For Question 17, use data from Table 7 (Total Juveniles Diverted from Family Court).**

17. Using the data in Table 7 (Cell E5) describes the overall change in Family Court Diversions between 2012 and 2015.

*There was a 47.1% decline in diversions between 2012 and 2015.*

18. Using the data in Table 7, describe the overall change in Juvenile Cases diverted by race and ethnicity between 2012 and 2015.

*There was an overall decline both in numbers and percentages in diversions, with the exception of the Hispanic youth, which remained the same from 2012 to 2015.*

19. Insert into the chart below the number of cases diverted by Race/Ethnicity in 2015, beginning with the group that had the greatest number of cases diverted.

<b>Ranking of Juvenile Cases Diverted by Race/Ethnicity, 2015</b>		
<b>Rank</b>	<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>	<b>Number</b>
1	White	67
2	Black	3
3	Hispanic	9
4	Other	2

20. Insert into the chart below the % change in Juvenile Cases Diverted between 2012 and 2015 by Race/Ethnicity, beginning with the group that had the greatest % change.

### **Ranking of Juvenile Cases Diverted by Race/Ethnicity, 2015**

Rank	Race/Ethnicity	% Change
1	White	82.7%
2	Hispanic	11.1%
3	Black	3.7%
4	Other	2.5%

21. Using the information in the ranking charts above, what does this information tell you about juvenile case diverted by race and ethnicity between 2012 and 2015? How has Juvenile Cases Diverted changed since 2012?

*The percentage change for each race/ethnicity among the white, black, and other youth population is proportionate with the number of youth in each race/ethnicity category. The Hispanic population remained the same as 2012.*

### **Disproportionate Minority Contact And Racial And Ethnic Disparities**

22. Using the data in Table 8 (Total Juvenile Cases Diverted compared to Juvenile Arrests by Race/Ethnicity), compare and describe the number of Juvenile Arrests to the number of Juvenile Cases Diverted by Race/Ethnicity between 2012 and 2015.

*Overall, cases diverted decreased by 47.1%, with the largest decreases being in the Black and Other youth. The number of cases of white youth being diverted decreased by 49.6%, while the number of cases of Black decreased by 57.1% and Other decreased by 50.0%. The racial/ethnic makeup mimics the makeup of Sussex County.*

## IMPLICATIONS FOR DIVERSION PLAN

### Extent of Need – Law Enforcement Station House Adjustments

23. Taken collectively, what do the answers to Question 1 (changes in overall police disposition) and Question 2 (police diversion of juveniles) tell you about your County's overall need for station house adjustment programs?

*As explained in prior plans, fewer stationhouse adjustments are being made, which may be because of staffing constraints among police departments or due to an increase in curbside adjustments. It is difficult to determine the number of curbside adjustments as the police are not required to document those adjustments. In spite of the low numbers, there is a need for a stationhouse adjustment program as a prevention and diversion alternative.*

### Other Data Regarding Extent and Nature of Need - Law Enforcement Station House Adjustments

24. Was additional data, not provided by the JJC, used in your county's planning process? (If other data was used submit a copy in Chapter 13.)

What does any other available data tell you about how your County's overall need for station house adjustment programs and which offense categories seem reasonable to address through your station house adjustment programs? Are there additional data that relates Disproportionate Minority Contact or Racial And Ethnic Disparities?

*Stationhouse adjustment reports for 2014, 2015, 2016 were used. The 2017 Youth Survey, and the Middle School Risk and Protective Factors were also used.*

*The data supports substance use as continuing to be a great issue. The data also illustrates a significant increase in aggression and violence related incidents and offenses both in and out of the school setting.*

### Extent of Need - Family Crisis Intervention Units

25. Taken collectively, what do the answers to Question 3 (changes in overall FCIU caseload), Question 7 (changes in FCIU petitions filed), and Question 8 (changes in FCIU referrals) tell you about how your County's overall need for an FCIU and programs used by the FCIU has changed in recent years?

*Referrals increased from 2012 to 2015, utilizing outside agencies for service needs. The data consistently illustrates a continued need for services both short and long term.*

### Nature of Need- Family Crisis Intervention Units

26. Based on the answers to Question 6 (change in nature of FCIU caseload) and Question 11 (changes in the nature of FCIU referrals), which types of crisis seem reasonable to address through your County's FCIU diversion programs?

*The most prevalent behavior identified was the serious threat to the wellbeing/physical safety of a juvenile (55.6%) and other (25.3%). Whereas, we cannot determine what other identifies, as these specifics are not tracked.*

Other Data Regarding Extent and Nature of Need -- Family Crisis Intervention Units

27. Was additional data, not provided by the JJC, used in your county's planning process? (If other data was used submit a copy in Chapter 13.)

What does any other available data tell you about how your County's overall need for an FCIU and programs used by the FCIU has changed in recent years and which types of crisis seem reasonable to address through your County's FCIU diversion programs? Are there additional data that relates Disproportionate Minority Contact or Racial And Ethnic Disparities?

*The 2017 Youth Survey, monthly FCIU reporting, the Middle School survey, and the juvenile arrest data were all studied when looking at diversion programs. Substance abuse continues to rise as a priority along with recent data illustrating an increase in violent behaviors among the youth population. The census data was used to look at disproportionate minority contact and Racial and ethnic disparities.*

Extent of Need - Family Court Diversions

28. What does the answer to Question 17 tell you about your County's overall need for Family Court diversion programs?

*There was a decrease in referrals diverted from 2012 to 2015, however, there was still a high number of youth (81) in need of diversion programs.*

Other Data Regarding Extent and Nature of Need - Family Court Diversions

29. Was additional data, not provided by the JJC, used in your county's planning process? (If other data was used submit a copy in Chapter 13.)

What does any other available data tell you about your County's overall need for Family Court diversion programs and the types of offenses/behaviors seem reasonable to address through your County's Family Court diversion programs? Are there additional data that relates Disproportionate Minority Contact or Racial And Ethnic Disparities?

*The data collected from FCIU reporting, the Middle School survey, stationhouse adjustments and the 2014 Youth Survey were all used in the planning process and show a need for continued substance abuse services and anger management education.*

Extent of Need – Referrals to Juvenile Court and Juvenile Cases Diverted

30. Taken collectively, what do the answers to Question 12 (overall referral to juvenile court) and Question 18 (overall change in Juvenile cases diverted), tell you about how your County's overall Referrals to Juvenile Court and Juvenile Cases Diverted by race/ethnicity changed in recent years?

*There was a significant increase in the cases diverted among the white youth, however stated previously, this is consistent with the county's race and ethnic make up, so it is safe to assume that with more diversions, it would be among the white population. Whereas the minority populations have a high percentage, the raw numbers are minimal.*

Other Data Regarding Extent and Nature of Need - Juvenile Court Diversions

31. Was additional data, not provided by the JJC, used in your county's planning process? (If other data was used submit a copy in Chapter 13.)

What does any other available data tell you about your County's overall need for Family Court diversion programs and the types of offenses/behaviors seem reasonable to address through your County's Family Court diversion programs? Are there additional data that relates Disproportionate Minority Contact or Racial And Ethnic Disparities?

*The data collected from the stationhouse adjustments, and the surveys all support a continued need for on-going diversion programs. Using the census data, there are no significant racial or ethnic disparities among the diversion population.*

## RECOMMENDATIONS

### **Law Enforcement Station House Adjustments**

32. Looking at your answers to Questions 23 and 24, state the need and/or service gap to be addressed. Cite the data that supports the need and/or service gap. List your recommendations for your County's Law Enforcement Station House Adjustment programs?

State need and/or service gap to be addressed	Cite the data that indicates the need and/or service gap exists	Recommended service/program activity to address the need and/or service gap
Lack of data and referrals from police departments for youth needing services	Stationhouse adjustments, 2014 Youth Survey	Maintain collaboration with the police departments to track the use of or need of services for youth both through curbside and stationhouse adjustments
Early intervention for the younger youth population	2015 PRIDE data, 2014 Youth Survey, Stationhouse adjustments	Substance use education and treatment, Comprehensive Court Referral Program-psychoeducation groups

*Comments:*

**Family Crisis Intervention Units**

33. Looking at your answers to Questions 25, 26 and 27, state the need and/or service gap to be addressed. Cite the data that supports the need and/or service gap. List your recommendations for your County’s Family Crisis Intervention Unit programs?

State need and/or service gap to be addressed	Cite the data that indicates the need and/or service gap exists	Recommended service/program activity to address the need and/or service gap
FCIU services to refer to long term care	FCIU reporting	Continue to provide diversion programs

*Comments:*

**Family Court Diversions**

34. Looking at your answers to Questions 28 and 29, state the need and/or service gap to be addressed. Cite the data that supports the need and/or service gap. List your recommendations for your County’s Family Court Diversion programs?

State need and/or service gap to be addressed	Cite the data that indicates the need and/or service gap exists	Recommended service/program activity to address the need and/or service gap
Substance abuse treatment programs	FCIU reporting, stationhouse adjustments, 2014 Youth Survey	Continued use of substance use education and treatment programs
Anger management/conflict resolutions programs	FCIU reporting, stationhouse adjustments, Middle School survey, 2017 Youth Survey	Comprehensive Court Referral programs
Healthy relationships/ skill building	2017 Youth Survey, Middle School survey	Comprehensive Court Referral programs

*Comments:*

35. Looking at your answers to Questions 30 and 31 what recommendations or strategies would your county make with regards to Diversion policy and practice through the lens of race and ethnicity? What recommendations or strategies would your county consider to ensure similar outcomes for similarly situated youth?

*Comments:*

Remain culturally competent.



### Summary of Selections

---

Report Type: New Filings  
County/Vicinage: Statewide  
Township: All Townships  
Statute Group: All Statutes

Dispositions: All Dispositions  
Age: WHERE AGE IS-FROM 10 TO 17  
Gender: ALL  
Race: All

Time Period: Calendar Year 2015

Transfers are set to: exclude  
VOP's are set to: exclude

Gender values: M-Male, F-Female, U-Unknown or not indicated

Race values: 1-Caucasion, 2-Black, 3-Hispanic, 4-Asian/Oriental, 5-Amer Indian, 6-Other,  
7-Unknown, 8-Alaskan Native, 9-Amer Ind,Eski,Aleu

Use the Page Down/Up buttons to scroll to report sections

(PART A)

New Filings (per Case Status Date)

County	No. of Juveniles	No. of Cases	No. of Offenses	Average No. of Offenses per Juvenile	Average No. of Offenses per Cases	Average No. of Cases per Juvenile
Atlantic	604	836	1,621	2.68	1.94	1.38
Bergen	1,013	1,229	2,208	2.18	1.80	1.21
Burlington	1,040	1,392	2,144	2.06	1.54	1.34
Camden	1,040	1,317	2,453	2.36	1.86	1.27
Cape May	264	336	676	2.56	2.01	1.27
Cumberland	396	550	916	2.31	1.67	1.39
Essex	1,217	1,662	3,701	3.04	2.23	1.37
Gloucester	449	566	1,071	2.39	1.89	1.26
Hudson	853	1,125	2,250	2.64	2.00	1.32
Hunterdon	75	90	167	2.23	1.86	1.20
Mercer	612	804	1,724	2.82	2.14	1.31
Middlesex	946	1,219	2,278	2.41	1.87	1.29
Monmouth	918	1,212	2,292	2.50	1.89	1.32
Morris	486	537	1,198	2.47	2.23	1.10
Ocean	659	808	1,554	2.36	1.92	1.23
Passaic	849	1,116	2,404	2.83	2.15	1.31
Salem	219	348	546	2.49	1.57	1.59
Somerset	160	184	299	1.87	1.63	1.15
Sussex	127	185	302	2.38	1.63	1.46
Union	698	895	1,526	2.19	1.71	1.28
Warren	160	201	332	2.08	1.65	1.26
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>12,785</b>	<b>16,612</b>	<b>31,662</b>	<b>2.48</b>	<b>1.91</b>	<b>1.30</b>

- 1.) Data were derived from the Family Automated Case Tracking System (FACTS).
- 2.) Figures were based on the Case Status Dates of Juvenile Delinquency compliants
- 3.) These figures exlude Violation of Probation offenses
- 4.) These figures exlude Transferred in Cases

(PART D)

Race/Ethnicity of Juveniles by County

County	White	% Black	% Hspnc	Asian % PcIsl	Amer. % Indn.	% Other	Alskn % Natve	% Total	Not Indctd	Grand % Total								
Atlantic	190	31.61	293	48.75	99	16.47	9	1.50	0	.00	10	1.66	0	.00	601	3	.50	604
Bergen	454	45.22	223	22.21	276	27.49	40	3.98	2	.20	9	.90	0	.00	1004	9	.89	1013
Burlington	418	40.27	509	49.04	91	8.77	4	.39	0	.00	16	1.54	0	.00	1038	2	.19	1040
Camden	308	29.62	552	53.08	156	15.00	6	.58	1	.10	17	1.63	0	.00	1040	0	.00	1040
Cape May	199	75.38	37	14.02	21	7.95	1	.38	1	.38	5	1.89	0	.00	264	0	.00	264
Cumberland	83	20.96	182	45.96	119	30.05	0	.00	0	.00	12	3.03	0	.00	396	0	.00	396
Essex	122	10.02	945	77.65	137	11.26	3	.25	0	.00	10	.82	0	.00	1217	0	.00	1217
Gloucester	224	50.00	189	42.19	24	5.36	3	.67	1	.22	7	1.56	0	.00	448	1	.22	449
Hudson	94	11.03	357	41.90	374	43.90	21	2.46	1	.12	5	.59	0	.00	852	1	.12	853
Hunterdon	45	63.38	22	30.99	2	2.82	2	2.82	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	71	4	5.33	75
Mercer	147	24.18	396	65.13	54	8.88	6	.99	0	.00	5	.82	0	.00	608	4	.65	612
Middlesex	345	36.74	330	35.14	203	21.62	49	5.22	1	.11	11	1.17	0	.00	939	7	.74	946
Monmouth	484	52.78	315	34.35	108	11.78	6	.65	0	.00	4	.44	0	.00	917	1	.11	918
Morris	345	71.73	52	10.81	64	13.31	13	2.70	1	.21	6	1.25	0	.00	481	5	1.03	486
Ocean	480	73.39	96	14.68	72	11.01	3	.46	0	.00	3	.46	0	.00	654	5	.76	659
Passaic	240	28.30	288	33.96	308	36.32	4	.47	0	.00	8	.94	0	.00	848	1	.12	849
Salem	75	34.56	112	51.61	26	11.98	0	.00	0	.00	4	1.84	0	.00	217	2	.91	219
Somerset	70	43.75	59	36.88	25	15.63	5	3.13	0	.00	1	.63	0	.00	160	0	.00	160
Sussex	90	71.43	25	19.84	9	7.14	1	.79	0	.00	1	.79	0	.00	126	1	.79	127
Union	187	26.87	384	55.17	107	15.37	9	1.29	0	.00	9	1.29	0	.00	696	2	.29	698
Warren	107	68.15	32	20.38	12	7.64	2	1.27	0	.00	4	2.55	0	.00	157	3	1.88	160
TOTAL	4707	36.96	5398	42.39	2287	17.96	187	1.47	8	.06	147	1.15	0	.00	12734	51	.40	12785

- 1.) Data were derived from the Family Automated Case Tracking System (FACTS).
- 2.) Figures were based on the Case Status Dates of Juvenile Delinquency compliants
- 3.) These figures exclude Violation of Probation offenses
- 4.) These figures exclude Transferred in Cases

(PART E1)

Gender of Juveniles by County

County	Males	%	Females	%	Total	Not Indicated	%	Total Number of Juveniles
Atlantic	427	70.70	177	29.30	604	0	.00	604
Bergen	773	76.38	239	23.62	1012	1	.10	1013
Burlington	716	68.85	324	31.15	1040	0	.00	1040
Camden	740	71.15	300	28.85	1040	0	.00	1040
Cape May	196	74.24	68	25.76	264	0	.00	264
Cumberland	271	68.43	125	31.57	396	0	.00	396
Essex	926	76.09	291	23.91	1217	0	.00	1217
Gloucester	313	69.71	136	30.29	449	0	.00	449
Hudson	660	77.37	193	22.63	853	0	.00	853
Hunterdon	54	72.00	21	28.00	75	0	.00	75
Mercer	454	74.18	158	25.82	612	0	.00	612
Middlesex	680	71.88	266	28.12	946	0	.00	946
Monmouth	659	71.79	259	28.21	918	0	.00	918
Morris	368	75.72	118	24.28	486	0	.00	486
Ocean	458	69.50	201	30.50	659	0	.00	659
Passaic	648	76.33	201	23.67	849	0	.00	849
Salem	163	74.43	56	25.57	219	0	.00	219
Somerset	145	90.63	15	9.38	160	0	.00	160
Sussex	96	75.59	31	24.41	127	0	.00	127
Union	539	77.22	159	22.78	698	0	.00	698
Warren	109	68.13	51	31.88	160	0	.00	160
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>9,395</b>	<b>73.49</b>	<b>3,389</b>	<b>26.51</b>	<b>12784</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.01</b>	<b>12785</b>

- 1.) Data were derived from the Family Automated Case Tracking System (FACTS).
- 2.) Figures were based on the Case Status Dates of Juvenile Delinquency compliants
- 3.) These figures exclude Violation of Probation offenses
- 4.) These figures exclude Transferred in Cases

### Summary of Selections

---

Report Type: New Filings  
County/Vicinage: Statewide  
Township: All Townships  
Statute Group: All Statutes

Dispositions: All Dispositions  
Age: WHERE AGE IS-FROM 10 TO 17  
Gender: ALL  
Race: All

Time Period: Calendar Year 2016

Transfers are set to: exclude  
VOP's are set to: exclude

Gender values: M-Male, F-Female, U-Unknown or not indicated

Race values: 1-Caucasion, 2-Black, 3-Hispanic, 4-Asian/Oriental, 5-Amer Indian, 6-Other,  
7-Unknown, 8-Alaskan Native, 9-Amer Ind,Eski,Aleu

Use the Page Down/Up buttons to scroll to report sections

(PART A)

New Filings (per Case Status Date)

County	No. of Juveniles	No. of Cases	No. of Offenses	Average No. of Offenses per Juvenile	Average No. of Offenses per Cases	Average No. of Cases per Juvenile
Atlantic	720	1,050	1,952	2.71	1.86	1.46
Bergen	994	1,229	2,205	2.22	1.79	1.24
Burlington	791	1,033	1,733	2.19	1.68	1.31
Camden	1,154	1,543	2,710	2.35	1.76	1.34
Cape May	318	407	754	2.37	1.85	1.28
Cumberland	431	519	845	1.96	1.63	1.20
Essex	1,150	1,620	3,534	3.07	2.18	1.41
Gloucester	419	554	1,021	2.44	1.84	1.32
Hudson	850	1,140	2,327	2.74	2.04	1.34
Hunterdon	97	108	225	2.32	2.08	1.11
Mercer	516	723	1,654	3.21	2.29	1.40
Middlesex	1,061	1,433	2,868	2.70	2.00	1.35
Monmouth	757	1,012	1,992	2.63	1.97	1.34
Morris	450	517	1,120	2.49	2.17	1.15
Ocean	610	738	1,240	2.03	1.68	1.21
Passaic	847	1,141	2,380	2.81	2.09	1.35
Salem	166	258	422	2.54	1.64	1.55
Somerset	178	209	442	2.48	2.11	1.17
Sussex	156	202	381	2.44	1.89	1.29
Union	643	802	1,398	2.17	1.74	1.25
Warren	117	151	247	2.11	1.64	1.29
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>12,425</b>	<b>16,389</b>	<b>31,450</b>	<b>2.53</b>	<b>1.92</b>	<b>1.32</b>

- 1.) Data were derived from the Family Automated Case Tracking System (FACTS).
- 2.) Figures were based on the Case Status Dates of Juvenile Delinquency compliants
- 3.) These figures exclude Violation of Probation offenses
- 4.) These figures exclude Transferred in Cases

(PART E1)

Gender of Juveniles by County

County	Males	%	Females	%	Total	Not Indicated	%	Total Number of Juveniles
Atlantic	509	70.69	211	29.31	720	0	.00	720
Bergen	758	76.33	235	23.67	993	1	.10	994
Burlington	532	67.26	259	32.74	791	0	.00	791
Camden	828	71.75	326	28.25	1154	0	.00	1154
Cape May	247	77.67	71	22.33	318	0	.00	318
Cumberland	295	68.45	136	31.55	431	0	.00	431
Essex	842	73.22	308	26.78	1150	0	.00	1150
Gloucester	291	69.45	128	30.55	419	0	.00	419
Hudson	629	74.00	221	26.00	850	0	.00	850
Hunterdon	77	79.38	20	20.62	97	0	.00	97
Mercer	406	78.68	110	21.32	516	0	.00	516
Middlesex	742	69.93	319	30.07	1061	0	.00	1061
Monmouth	529	69.88	228	30.12	757	0	.00	757
Morris	324	72.00	126	28.00	450	0	.00	450
Ocean	444	72.79	166	27.21	610	0	.00	610
Passaic	635	74.97	212	25.03	847	0	.00	847
Salem	126	75.90	40	24.10	166	0	.00	166
Somerset	131	73.60	47	26.40	178	0	.00	178
Sussex	121	77.56	35	22.44	156	0	.00	156
Union	508	79.00	135	21.00	643	0	.00	643
Warren	93	79.49	24	20.51	117	0	.00	117
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>9,067</b>	<b>72.98</b>	<b>3,357</b>	<b>27.02</b>	<b>12424</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.01</b>	<b>12425</b>

- 1.) Data were derived from the Family Automated Case Tracking System (FACTS).
- 2.) Figures were based on the Case Status Dates of Juvenile Delinquency compliants
- 3.) These figures exclude Violation of Probation offenses
- 4.) These figures exclude Transferred in Cases

(PART D)

Race/Ethnicity of Juveniles by County

County	White	% Black	% Hspnc	Asian % PcIsl	Amer. % Indn.	% Other	Alskn % Natve	% Total	Not Indctd	Grand % Total								
Atlantic	239	33.19	342	47.50	116	16.11	7	.97	2	.28	14	1.94	0	.00	720	0	.00	720
Bergen	473	48.12	228	23.19	225	22.89	44	4.48	1	.10	12	1.22	0	.00	983	11	1.11	994
Burlington	335	42.41	365	46.20	77	9.75	2	.25	2	.25	9	1.14	0	.00	790	1	.13	791
Camden	348	30.18	605	52.47	171	14.83	11	.95	2	.17	16	1.39	0	.00	1153	1	.09	1154
Cape May	230	72.56	60	18.93	21	6.62	2	.63	0	.00	4	1.26	0	.00	317	1	.31	318
Cumberland	101	23.49	200	46.51	120	27.91	0	.00	0	.00	9	2.09	0	.00	430	1	.23	431
Essex	143	12.50	872	76.22	114	9.97	8	.70	0	.00	7	.61	0	.00	1144	6	.52	1150
Gloucester	201	48.20	182	43.65	26	6.24	3	.72	0	.00	5	1.20	0	.00	417	2	.48	419
Hudson	99	11.70	360	42.55	360	42.55	16	1.89	1	.12	10	1.18	0	.00	846	4	.47	850
Hunterdon	70	72.16	18	18.56	8	8.25	0	.00	0	.00	1	1.03	0	.00	97	0	.00	97
Mercer	147	28.77	301	58.90	51	9.98	5	.98	0	.00	7	1.37	0	.00	511	5	.97	516
Middlesex	385	36.42	338	31.98	290	27.44	32	3.03	0	.00	12	1.14	0	.00	1057	4	.38	1061
Monmouth	382	50.60	275	36.42	92	12.19	2	.26	0	.00	4	.53	0	.00	755	2	.26	757
Morris	297	66.00	53	11.78	90	20.00	5	1.11	0	.00	5	1.11	0	.00	450	0	.00	450
Ocean	399	65.95	132	21.82	68	11.24	4	.66	0	.00	2	.33	0	.00	605	5	.82	610
Passaic	235	27.81	290	34.32	301	35.62	6	.71	1	.12	11	1.30	1	.12	845	2	.24	847
Salem	54	32.53	89	53.61	18	10.84	0	.00	0	.00	5	3.01	0	.00	166	0	.00	166
Somerset	56	31.46	89	50.00	29	16.29	3	1.69	0	.00	1	.56	0	.00	178	0	.00	178
Sussex	121	78.06	27	17.42	4	2.58	1	.65	0	.00	2	1.29	0	.00	155	1	.64	156
Union	159	24.80	313	48.83	157	24.49	7	1.09	0	.00	5	.78	0	.00	641	2	.31	643
Warren	78	69.03	20	17.70	13	11.50	0	.00	0	.00	2	1.77	0	.00	113	4	3.42	117
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>4552</b>	<b>36.79</b>	<b>5159</b>	<b>41.70</b>	<b>2351</b>	<b>19.00</b>	<b>158</b>	<b>1.28</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>.07</b>	<b>143</b>	<b>1.16</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.01</b>	<b>12373</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>.42</b>	<b>12425</b>

- 1.) Data were derived from the Family Automated Case Tracking System (FACTS).
- 2.) Figures were based on the Case Status Dates of Juvenile Delinquency compliants
- 3.) These figures exclude Violation of Probation offenses
- 4.) These figures exclude Transferred in Cases



### Summary of Selections

Report Type: Diverted ALL  
County/Vicinage: Statewide  
Township: All Townships  
Statute Group: All Statutes

Dispositions: All Dispositions  
Age: WHERE AGE IS-FROM 10 TO 17  
Gender: ALL  
Race: All

Time Period: Calendar Year 2015  
Transfers are set to: exclude  
VOP's are set to: exclude

Gender values: M-Male, F-Female, U-Unknown or not indicated

Race values: 1-Caucasion, 2-Black, 3-Hispanic, 4-Asian/Oriental, 5-Amer Indian, 6-Other,  
7-Unknown, 8-Alaskan Native, 9-Amer Ind,Eski,Aleu

Use the Page Down/Up buttons to scroll to report sections

(PART A)

Diverted (per Case Status Date)

County	No. of Juveniles	No. of Cases	No. of Offenses	Average No. of Offenses per Juvenile	Average No. of Offenses per Cases	Average No. of Cases per Juvenile
Atlantic	375	417	500	1.33	1.20	1.11
Bergen	415	441	588	1.42	1.33	1.06
Burlington	608	662	853	1.40	1.29	1.09
Camden	441	484	619	1.40	1.28	1.10
Cape May	68	72	89	1.31	1.24	1.06
Cumberland	203	224	265	1.31	1.18	1.10
Essex	494	524	827	1.67	1.58	1.06
Gloucester	214	231	306	1.43	1.32	1.08
Hudson	496	552	784	1.58	1.42	1.11
Hunterdon	24	25	38	1.58	1.52	1.04
Mercer	266	272	418	1.57	1.54	1.02
Middlesex	523	578	800	1.53	1.38	1.11
Monmouth	379	393	579	1.53	1.47	1.04
Morris	254	256	409	1.61	1.60	1.01
Ocean	361	378	499	1.38	1.32	1.05
Passaic	200	206	268	1.34	1.30	1.03
Salem	88	103	121	1.38	1.17	1.17
Somerset	91	100	132	1.45	1.32	1.10
Sussex	81	82	125	1.54	1.52	1.01
Union	317	320	436	1.38	1.36	1.01
Warren	104	112	174	1.67	1.55	1.08
TOTAL	6,002	6,432	8,830	1.47	1.37	1.07

- 1.) Data were derived from the Family Automated Case Tracking System (FACTS).
- 2.) Figures were based on the Case Status Dates of Juvenile Delinquency compliants
- 3.) These figures exclude Violation of Probation offenses
- 4.) These figures exclude Transferred in Cases

(PART D)

Race/Ethnicity of Juveniles by County

County	White	% Black	% Hspnc	Asian % PcIsl	Amer. % Indn.	% Other	Alskn % Natve	% Total	Not Indctd	Grand % Total								
Atlantic	124	33.33	175	47.04	57	15.32	7	1.88	0	.00	9	2.42	0	.00	372	3	.80	375
Bergen	223	54.79	61	14.99	103	25.31	17	4.18	0	.00	3	.74	0	.00	407	8	1.93	415
Burlington	291	48.02	242	39.93	60	9.90	4	.66	0	.00	9	1.49	0	.00	606	2	.33	608
Camden	122	27.66	236	53.51	70	15.87	4	.91	1	.23	8	1.81	0	.00	441	0	.00	441
Cape May	55	80.88	7	10.29	5	7.35	0	.00	0	.00	1	1.47	0	.00	68	0	.00	68
Cumberland	48	23.65	92	45.32	57	28.08	0	.00	0	.00	6	2.96	0	.00	203	0	.00	203
Essex	88	17.81	341	69.03	59	11.94	0	.00	0	.00	6	1.21	0	.00	494	0	.00	494
Gloucester	126	59.15	69	32.39	13	6.10	2	.94	0	.00	3	1.41	0	.00	213	1	.47	214
Hudson	76	15.35	165	33.33	238	48.08	11	2.22	1	.20	4	.81	0	.00	495	1	.20	496
Hunterdon	21	87.50	2	8.33	0	.00	1	4.17	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	24	0	.00	24
Mercer	88	33.46	141	53.61	31	11.79	2	.76	0	.00	1	.38	0	.00	263	3	1.13	266
Middlesex	223	43.05	156	30.12	98	18.92	32	6.18	1	.19	8	1.54	0	.00	518	5	.96	523
Monmouth	224	59.26	106	28.04	44	11.64	2	.53	0	.00	2	.53	0	.00	378	1	.26	379
Morris	202	80.16	12	4.76	26	10.32	9	3.57	1	.40	2	.79	0	.00	252	2	.79	254
Ocean	278	77.22	35	9.72	44	12.22	2	.56	0	.00	1	.28	0	.00	360	1	.28	361
Passaic	78	39.00	53	26.50	67	33.50	1	.50	0	.00	1	.50	0	.00	200	0	.00	200
Salem	35	40.23	43	49.43	7	8.05	0	.00	0	.00	2	2.30	0	.00	87	1	1.14	88
Somerset	50	54.95	24	26.37	12	13.19	5	5.49	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	91	0	.00	91
Sussex	67	82.72	3	3.70	9	11.11	2	2.47	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	81	0	.00	81
Union	140	44.44	119	37.78	48	15.24	3	.95	0	.00	5	1.59	0	.00	315	2	.63	317
Warren	67	67.00	20	20.00	8	8.00	1	1.00	0	.00	4	4.00	0	.00	100	4	3.85	104
TOTAL	2626	44.00	2102	35.22	1056	17.69	105	1.76	4	.07	75	1.26	0	.00	5968	34	.57	6002

- 1.) Data were derived from the Family Automated Case Tracking System (FACTS).
- 2.) Figures were based on the Case Status Dates of Juvenile Delinquency compliants
- 3.) These figures exclude Violation of Probation offenses
- 4.) These figures exclude Transferred in Cases

(PART E1)

Gender of Juveniles by County

County	Males	%	Females	%	Total	Not Indicated	%	Total Number of Juveniles
Atlantic	244	65.07	131	34.93	375	0	.00	375
Bergen	297	71.74	117	28.26	414	1	.24	415
Burlington	387	63.65	221	36.35	608	0	.00	608
Camden	240	54.42	201	45.58	441	0	.00	441
Cape May	38	55.88	30	44.12	68	0	.00	68
Cumberland	113	55.67	90	44.33	203	0	.00	203
Essex	361	73.08	133	26.92	494	0	.00	494
Gloucester	128	59.81	86	40.19	214	0	.00	214
Hudson	361	72.78	135	27.22	496	0	.00	496
Hunterdon	20	83.33	4	16.67	24	0	.00	24
Mercer	184	69.17	82	30.83	266	0	.00	266
Middlesex	334	63.86	189	36.14	523	0	.00	523
Monmouth	259	68.34	120	31.66	379	0	.00	379
Morris	184	72.44	70	27.56	254	0	.00	254
Ocean	218	60.39	143	39.61	361	0	.00	361
Passaic	137	68.50	63	31.50	200	0	.00	200
Salem	57	64.77	31	35.23	88	0	.00	88
Somerset	73	80.22	18	19.78	91	0	.00	91
Sussex	58	71.60	23	28.40	81	0	.00	81
Union	204	64.35	113	35.65	317	0	.00	317
Warren	65	62.50	39	37.50	104	0	.00	104
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>3,962</b>	<b>66.02</b>	<b>2,039</b>	<b>33.98</b>	<b>6001</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.02</b>	<b>6002</b>

- 1.) Data were derived from the Family Automated Case Tracking System (FACTS).
- 2.) Figures were based on the Case Status Dates of Juvenile Delinquency compliants
- 3.) These figures exclude Violation of Probation offenses
- 4.) These figures exclude Transferred in Cases

### Summary of Selections

---

Report Type: Diverted ALL  
County/Vicinage: Statewide  
Township: All Townships  
Statute Group: All Statutes

Dispositions: All Dispositions  
Age: WHERE AGE IS-FROM 10 TO 17  
Gender: ALL  
Race: All

Time Period: Calendar Year 2016

Transfers are set to: exclude  
VOP's are set to: exclude

Gender values: M-Male, F-Female, U-Unknown or not indicated

Race values: 1-Caucasion, 2-Black, 3-Hispanic, 4-Asian/Oriental, 5-Amer Indian, 6-Other,  
7-Unknown, 8-Alaskan Native, 9-Amer Ind,Eski,Aleu

Use the Page Down/Up buttons to scroll to report sections

(PART A)

Diverted (per Case Status Date)

County	No. of Juveniles	No. of Cases	No. of Offenses	Average No. of Offenses per Juvenile	Average No. of Offenses per Cases	Average No. of Cases per Juvenile
Atlantic	492	561	756	1.54	1.35	1.14
Bergen	439	460	598	1.36	1.30	1.05
Burlington	461	493	672	1.46	1.36	1.07
Camden	420	458	561	1.34	1.22	1.09
Cape May	83	92	108	1.30	1.17	1.11
Cumberland	200	213	260	1.30	1.22	1.07
Essex	496	524	842	1.70	1.61	1.06
Gloucester	222	238	341	1.54	1.43	1.07
Hudson	452	517	717	1.59	1.39	1.14
Hunterdon	19	19	37	1.95	1.95	1.00
Mercer	207	214	318	1.54	1.49	1.03
Middlesex	564	616	879	1.56	1.43	1.09
Monmouth	280	293	388	1.39	1.32	1.05
Morris	246	247	454	1.85	1.84	1.00
Ocean	308	318	449	1.46	1.41	1.03
Passaic	152	155	190	1.25	1.23	1.02
Salem	60	69	86	1.43	1.25	1.15
Somerset	103	107	156	1.51	1.46	1.04
Sussex	91	95	155	1.70	1.63	1.04
Union	301	315	406	1.35	1.29	1.05
Warren	45	51	71	1.58	1.39	1.13
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>5,641</b>	<b>6,055</b>	<b>8,444</b>	<b>1.50</b>	<b>1.39</b>	<b>1.07</b>

- 1.) Data were derived from the Family Automated Case Tracking System (FACTS).
- 2.) Figures were based on the Case Status Dates of Juvenile Delinquency compliants
- 3.) These figures exclude Violation of Probation offenses
- 4.) These figures exclude Transferred in Cases

(PART D)

Race/Ethnicity of Juveniles by County

County	White	% Black	% Hspnc	Asian % PcIsl	Amer. % Indn.	% Other	Alskn % Natve	% Total	Not Indctd	Grand % Total								
Atlantic	182	36.99	205	41.67	87	17.68	6	1.22	2	.41	10	2.03	0	.00	492	0	.00	492
Bergen	238	54.97	77	17.78	82	18.94	28	6.47	1	.23	7	1.62	0	.00	433	6	1.37	439
Burlington	234	50.98	179	39.00	38	8.28	1	.22	1	.22	6	1.31	0	.00	459	2	.43	461
Camden	103	24.58	239	57.04	64	15.27	5	1.19	0	.00	8	1.91	0	.00	419	1	.24	420
Cape May	63	76.83	14	17.07	4	4.88	1	1.22	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	82	1	1.20	83
Cumberland	48	24.12	89	44.72	58	29.15	0	.00	0	.00	4	2.01	0	.00	199	1	.50	200
Essex	98	19.76	333	67.14	55	11.09	4	.81	0	.00	6	1.21	0	.00	496	0	.00	496
Gloucester	128	57.92	77	34.84	11	4.98	3	1.36	0	.00	2	.90	0	.00	221	1	.45	222
Hudson	70	15.63	163	36.38	207	46.21	2	.45	0	.00	6	1.34	0	.00	448	4	.88	452
Hunterdon	14	73.68	2	10.53	2	10.53	0	.00	0	.00	1	5.26	0	.00	19	0	.00	19
Mercer	87	42.44	91	44.39	25	12.20	1	.49	0	.00	1	.49	0	.00	205	2	.97	207
Middlesex	231	41.25	155	27.68	146	26.07	19	3.39	0	.00	9	1.61	0	.00	560	4	.71	564
Monmouth	165	59.35	74	26.62	35	12.59	2	.72	0	.00	2	.72	0	.00	278	2	.71	280
Morris	180	73.17	17	6.91	38	15.45	2	.81	0	.00	9	3.66	0	.00	246	0	.00	246
Ocean	214	70.16	52	17.05	36	11.80	1	.33	0	.00	2	.66	0	.00	305	3	.97	308
Passaic	59	39.07	30	19.87	57	37.75	4	2.65	1	.66	0	.00	0	.00	151	1	.66	152
Salem	25	41.67	30	50.00	3	5.00	0	.00	0	.00	2	3.33	0	.00	60	0	.00	60
Somerset	58	56.31	37	35.92	5	4.85	3	2.91	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	103	0	.00	103
Sussex	85	93.41	5	5.49	1	1.10	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	91	0	.00	91
Union	100	33.33	110	36.67	86	28.67	1	.33	0	.00	3	1.00	0	.00	300	1	.33	301
Warren	28	65.12	9	20.93	4	9.30	0	.00	0	.00	2	4.65	0	.00	43	2	4.44	45
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>2410</b>	<b>42.96</b>	<b>1988</b>	<b>35.44</b>	<b>1044</b>	<b>18.61</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>1.48</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>.09</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>1.43</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.00</b>	<b>5610</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>.55</b>	<b>5641</b>

- 1.) Data were derived from the Family Automated Case Tracking System (FACTS).
- 2.) Figures were based on the Case Status Dates of Juvenile Delinquency compliants
- 3.) These figures exclude Violation of Probation offenses
- 4.) These figures exclude Transferred in Cases

(PART E1)

Gender of Juveniles by County

County	Males	%	Females	%	Total	Not Indicated	%	Total Number of Juveniles
Atlantic	315	64.02	177	35.98	492	0	.00	492
Bergen	299	68.26	139	31.74	438	1	.23	439
Burlington	287	62.26	174	37.74	461	0	.00	461
Camden	249	59.29	171	40.71	420	0	.00	420
Cape May	56	67.47	27	32.53	83	0	.00	83
Cumberland	120	60.00	80	40.00	200	0	.00	200
Essex	342	68.95	154	31.05	496	0	.00	496
Gloucester	146	65.77	76	34.23	222	0	.00	222
Hudson	289	63.94	163	36.06	452	0	.00	452
Hunterdon	18	94.74	1	5.26	19	0	.00	19
Mercer	144	69.57	63	30.43	207	0	.00	207
Middlesex	346	61.35	218	38.65	564	0	.00	564
Monmouth	184	65.71	96	34.29	280	0	.00	280
Morris	173	70.33	73	29.67	246	0	.00	246
Ocean	191	62.01	117	37.99	308	0	.00	308
Passaic	102	67.11	50	32.89	152	0	.00	152
Salem	36	60.00	24	40.00	60	0	.00	60
Somerset	72	69.90	31	30.10	103	0	.00	103
Sussex	62	68.13	29	31.87	91	0	.00	91
Union	201	66.78	100	33.22	301	0	.00	301
Warren	30	66.67	15	33.33	45	0	.00	45
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>3,662</b>	<b>64.93</b>	<b>1,978</b>	<b>35.07</b>	<b>5640</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.02</b>	<b>5641</b>

- 1.) Data were derived from the Family Automated Case Tracking System (FACTS).
- 2.) Figures were based on the Case Status Dates of Juvenile Delinquency compliants
- 3.) These figures exclude Violation of Probation offenses
- 4.) These figures exclude Transferred in Cases



**I. Unit Caseload**

**1. Beginning Pending (Active Cases at Start of Time Period)**

	Primary	Secondary
2/3. Cases Added		51
(a) Serious threat to the well-being and physical safety of a juvenile	56	43
(b) Serious conflict between a parent or guardian and a juvenile	88	115
(c) Unauthorized absence by a juvenile for more than 24 hours	1	0
(d) Truancy	9	8
(e) Prostitution or human trafficking	0	0
(f) DP, PDP or other disorderly persons or other delinquency offense diverted to CIU	0	0
(g) Mental/behavioral health issues		70
(h) Substance abuse		9
(i) None		0
(j) Other	99	8
<b>(k) Total Cases Added {items (a) through (j) from above}</b>	<b>253</b>	<b>253</b>
<b>4. Cases Disposed</b>		<b>176</b>
<b>5. Total Active Pending [(1)+(2k)-(4)]</b>		<b>128</b>

**II. Information and/or Referral**

1. Contacts resulting in information and/or referral ONLY! <i>(Cases were NOT counted in the Added or Disposed totals)</i>		25
---	--	----

**III. Cases Disposed**

(Counseling sessions need not have been held during the time period)

1. Counseling sessions - Disposed cases only		
(a) Telephone contacts only	0	
(b) 1-2 Face to face counseling sessions	175	
(c) 3-5 Face to face counseling sessions	1	
(d) 6 or more face to face counseling sessions	0	
(e) Total cases Disposed {items III.1.a through III.1.d}		176
2. Referrals - Disposed cases only		
(a) Cases disposed where no family member was ever referred to other services	0	
(b) Cases disposed where a family member was referred to other services	176	
(c) Total cases Referred {items III.2.a and III.2.b}		176
3. Petitions - Disposed cases only		
(a) Cases closed where one or more Juvenile/Family Crisis or Out-of-Home Placement petitions were filed during the course of the case	1	
(b) Cases closed where no Juvenile/Family Crisis or Out-of-Home Placement petitions were filed during the course of the case	175	
(c) Total Placements {items III.3.a and III.3.b}		176

**IV. Placements Made**

1. Total placements		
(a) Number of Voluntary Placements by agreement of the family	3	
(b) Number of Involuntary Placements of juveniles outside of their home	1	
(c) Total Placements {items IV.1.a and IV.1.b}		4
2. Juveniles placed within the time period of this report		4

**V. Petitions Filed**

1. Juveniles/Family Crisis Petitions	0	
2. Out-of-Home Petitions	1	
3. Total Petitions {items V.1 and V.2}		1

**VI. Referrals Made**

*(Multiple referrals for a single case may be reported)*

1. Number of referrals made to the Division of Child Protection and Permanency (formerly DYFS)	2	
2. Number of referrals made to the Division of Children's System of Care (formerly DCBHS)	61	
3. Number of referrals made to substance abuse programs	0	
4. Number of referrals made to all other services	282	
5. Total Referrals {items VI.1 through VI.4}		345

**I. Unit Caseload**

**1. Beginning Pending (Active Cases at Start of Time Period)**

	Primary	Secondary
<b>2/3. Cases Added</b>		<b>128</b>
(a) Serious threat to the well-being and physical safety of a juvenile	44	34
(b) Serious conflict between a parent or guardian and a juvenile	113	110
(c) Unauthorized absence by a juvenile for more than 24 hours	0	1
(d) Truancy	7	5
(e) Prostitution or human trafficking	0	0
(f) DP, PDP or other disorderly persons or other delinquency offense diverted to CIU	0	0
(g) Mental/behavioral health issues		87
(h) Substance abuse		2
(i) None		1
(j) Other	97	21
<b>(k) Total Cases Added {items (a) through (j) from above}</b>	<b>261</b>	<b>261</b>
<b>4. Cases Disposed</b>		<b>255</b>
<b>5. Total Active Pending [(1)+(2k)-(4)]</b>		<b>19</b>

**II. Information and/or Referral**

1. Contacts resulting in information and/or referral ONLY! <i>(Cases were NOT counted in the Added or Disposed totals)</i>		20
---	--	----

**III. Cases Disposed**

(Counseling sessions need not have been held during the time period)

1. Counseling sessions - Disposed cases only		
(a) Telephone contacts only	0	
(b) 1-2 Face to face counseling sessions	248	
(c) 3-5 Face to face counseling sessions	6	
(d) 6 or more face to face counseling sessions	1	
(e) Total cases Disposed {items III.1.a through III.1.d}		255
2. Referrals - Disposed cases only		
(a) Cases disposed where no family member was ever referred to other services	0	
(b) Cases disposed where a family member was referred to other services	255	
(c) Total cases Referred {items III.2.a and III.2.b}		255
3. Petitions - Disposed cases only		
(a) Cases closed where one or more Juvenile/Family Crisis or Out-of-Home Placement petitions were filed during the course of the case	2	
(b) Cases closed where no Juvenile/Family Crisis or Out-of-Home Placement petitions were filed during the course of the case	253	
(c) Total Placements {items III.3.a and III.3.b}		255

**IV. Placements Made**

1. Total placements		
(a) Number of Voluntary Placements by agreement of the family	12	
(b) Number of Involuntary Placements of juveniles outside of their home	2	
(c) Total Placements {items IV.1.a and IV.1.b}		14
2. Juveniles placed within the time period of this report		14

**V. Petitions Filed**

1. Juveniles/Family Crisis Petitions	1	
2. Out-of-Home Petitions	1	
3. Total Petitions {items V.1 and V.2}		2

**VI. Referrals Made**

*(Multiple referrals for a single case may be reported)*

1. Number of referrals made to the Division of Child Protection and Permanency (formerly DYFS)	4	
2. Number of referrals made to the Division of Children's System of Care (formerly DCBHS)	28	
3. Number of referrals made to substance abuse programs	1	
4. Number of referrals made to all other services	383	
5. Total Referrals {items VI.1 through VI.4}		416

## DETENTION DATA WORKSHEETS

**Table 1. Juvenile Detention Admission by Race and Gender, 2012, 2014 and 2015.**

Race	2012			2014			2015			% Change in Admissions by Race and Gender 2012-2015		
	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
White	27	7	34	11	2	13	10	5	15	-63.0%	-28.6%	-55.9%
Black	-	-	-	1	-	1	8	3	11	800.0%	300.0%	1100.0%
Hispanic	4	-	4	5	-	5	-	-	-	-100.0%	0.0%	-100.0%
Other	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-100.0%	0.0%	-100.0%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>-43.8%</b>	<b>14.3%</b>	<b>-33.3%</b>

Source: Juvenile Detention Statistics Report, 2012, 2014 and 2015.

**Table 2. Juvenile Detention Admissions compared to Referrals to Court by Race/Ethnicity, 2012 and 2015**

Race/Ethnicity	2012			2015			% Change 2012-2015	
	Referrals To Court	Detention Admissions	% of Referrals Admitted to Detention	Referrals To Court	Detention Admissions	% of Referrals Admitted to Detention	Referrals To Court	Detention/Admissions
White	194	34	17.5%	90	15	16.7%	-53.6%	-55.9%
Black	14	-	0.0%	25	11	44.0%	78.6%	1100.0%
Hispanic	15	4	26.7%	9	-	0.0%	-40.0%	-100.0%
Other*	4	1	25.0%	3	-	0.0%	-25.0%	-100.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>227</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>17.2%</b>	<b>127</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>20.5%</b>	<b>-44.1%</b>	<b>-33.3%</b>

Source: Juvenile Justice Commission, 2012 and 2015

\*See required Data and Methodology

**Table 3. Juvenile Detention Population, 2012, 2014 and 2015**

Categories	2012	2014	2015	% Change 2012-2015
Average Length of Stay	16.6	29	27.9	68.1%
Average Daily Population	2.2	2.1	2	-9.1%
Approved Capacity	3	3	3	0.0%
Percent of Approved Capacity	73%	70%	67%	-9.1%

Source: Juvenile Detention Statistics Report, 2012, 2014 and 2015.

## DETENTION ANALYSIS QUESTIONS

- When answering questions regarding trends, describe *whether* any change has occurred, the *direction* of any change (e.g., increase/up, decrease/down), and the *size* of any change (e.g., small, moderate, large).
- When answering questions regarding rank orders, draw comparisons between categories (e.g., using terms like least/smallest, most/largest).

### NATURE & EXTENT OF DETAINED POPULATION

#### JUVENILE DETENTION ADMISSIONS & AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION

- For Questions 1-5, use Table 1 (Juvenile Detention Admissions by Race/Ethnicity and Gender).

1. Using the data in Table 1 (Cell I5), describe the overall change in juvenile detention admissions between 2012 and 2015.

*There was an overall decrease in detention admissions from 2012 to 2015 from 39 admissions to 26 admissions. However, there was an increase in the Black youth population in 2015. As noted throughout the plan, Sussex County had an isolated situation at a local Residential Treatment Center that houses out of county residents which reflects these numbers. This is not typical of the Sussex County demographic.*

2. Insert into the chart below detention admissions by race/ethnicity, beginning with the group that had the greatest number of admissions for 2015 (Column F).

Ranking of Detention Admissions by Race/Ethnicity for 2015		
Rank	Race/Ethnicity	Number
1	White	15
2	Black	11
3	Hispanic	0
4	Other	0

3. Insert into the chart below detention admissions by gender, beginning with the group that had the greatest number of admissions in 2015 (Cells D5 & E5).

Ranking of Detention Admissions by Gender for 2015		
Rank	Gender	Number
1	Male	18
2	Female	8

4. Insert into the chart below the % change in admissions by race/ethnicity (Column I), beginning with the groups that had the greatest number of detention admissions between 2012 and 2015.

Ranking of % Change in Detention Admissions by Race/Ethnicity between 2012 and 2015			
Rank	Group	% Change	Number
1	Black	1100%	11
2	Hispanic	-100%	-4
3	Other	-100%	-1
4	White	-55.9%	-19

5. Using the information in the ranking charts above, what does this information tell you about your county's juvenile detention admissions by race/ethnicity and gender in 2015? How have admissions by race/ethnicity and gender changed since 2012?

*Historically, male youth have had more detention admissions than females, concluding these numbers referring to gender are in line with the trends. There has been a significant increase in the black youth population. As noted throughout the plan, Sussex County had an isolated situation at a local Residential Treatment Center that houses out of county residents which reflects these numbers. This is not typical of the Sussex County demographic. The Hispanic and Other identified youth numbers are low which is consistent as well with the county's demographics. Please note, Hispanic youth have identified as white and consider Hispanic as an ethnicity and not a race. The overall percentage of youth admitted to detention has only had a slight increase from 2012.*

### **Disproportionate Minority Contact and Racial And Ethnic Disparities**

6. Using the data in Table 2, describe admissions to detention as a percentage of referrals to juvenile court for each racial/ethnic group in 2012 and 2015 (Columns C & F). Also compare

changes in this figure from 2012 to 2015, in percentage points, across each racial/ethnic group (Column G).

*In 2012, the percentage of referrals admitted for white youth was 17.5% compared to 16.7% in 2015. For the same years, the percentage of black youth admitted increased from 0 to 44% and the percentages for Hispanic and other youth declined from 26.7% to 0 and 25% to 0 respectively. The percentage of referrals to admitted declined among the whit, Hispanic, and other youth as black youth increased by 44%.*

7. Using the data in Table 3, describe how the length of stay, average daily population and approved capacity utilization in detention has changed between 2012 and 2015.

*The average length of stay has increased by 13.3 days, and the daily population has decreased by .2 youth, while the approved capacity utilization has remained the same.*

**ADDITIONAL CHARACTERISTICS OF YOUTH IN DETENTION**

- For Questions 8-11, use data from the JJC “Data for Detention Section of Comprehensive Plan” report (JDAI sites), or from data collected locally (non-JDAI sites).

8. Insert into the chart below the top three municipalities of residence for youth admitted to detention in 2015, beginning with the municipality with the highest frequency.

<b>Ranking of Municipality where Juveniles Resides, 2015</b>			
<b>Rank</b>	<b>Municipality</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>Percent</b>
1	Hopatcong	7	27%
2	Newton	3	12%
3	Stanhope/Vernon/Sparta	2	.08%

9. Describe the age of youth admitted to detention in 2015, including the age category with the most youth, and the average age.

*16.9 years old is the average age youth are admitted to detention in Sussex County. The age category with the most youth is 17 years old.*

10. Insert into the chart below the top ten offense types for youth admitted to detention in 2015, beginning with the offense type with the highest frequency.

<b>Ranking of Most Serious Current Offense, by Type, 2015</b>			
<b>Rank</b>	<b>Category</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>Percent</b>
1	VOP	7	26.9%
2	Assault	6	23.1%
3	Sex Offenses	3	11.5%
4	Arson	3	11.5%
5	Other Public Offenses	3	11.5%
6	Burglary	2	7.7%
7	Drug/CDS Offenses	1	3.8%
8	Other Persons Offenses	1	3.8%
9			
10			

11. Insert into the chart below the degrees of the offenses for which youth were admitted to detention in 2015, beginning with the degree with the highest frequency.

<b>Ranking of Most Serious Current Offense, by Degree, 2015</b>			
<b>Rank</b>	<b>Degree</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>Percent</b>
1	Violation of Probation	7	
2	2 <sup>nd</sup> Degree	6	
3	3 <sup>rd</sup> Degree	5	
4	4 <sup>th</sup> Degree	4	
5	1 <sup>st</sup> Degree	3	
6	DP/PDP	1	

12. Describe the typical youth in detention by discussing the most common characteristics of the population by drawing on your answers for question 5 and for questions 8 through 11 (municipality, age, offense). Please use the information from all 5 answers in your response.

*The typical youth in detention is a white male, aged 17, who has violated probation or has engaged in assaultive behaviors. This youth lives in Hopatcong.*

## **CHARACTERISTICS OF YOUTH SERVED BY YSC-FUNDED DETENTION ALTERNATIVES**

➤ For Questions 13-20, use JAMS data tables from the JAMS packet.

13. Looking at the “Total” in Table 1 for each program on the detention point of the continuum (Total Intakes by Program, 2012 & 2015), describe how admissions to detention alternative programs have changed from 2012 to 2015.

*The Sussex County Detention Alternatives Program is funded through the County. The County uses two YSC-Funded programs for support services in the areas of anger management, conflict resolution, life and employment skills, and comprehensive substance use treatment.*

*During 2012, the Detention Alternatives Program had 49 youth admitted in to the Morris County Juvenile Detention Center, the Home Detention program had 35 admissions, and 29 participated in support services through the Comprehensive Court Referral Program. Of the admissions to the Detention Center, 16 were transferred to Home Detention and 4 were transferred to the youth shelter. The average length of stay was approximately 28 days at the center, and 23 for Home Detention.*

14. Looking at the total for each gender in Table 2 (Total Intakes by Gender, 2015) and the “Total” column in Table 3 (Total Intakes by Race, 2015), and comparing this information with your answer to Question 5 (detention admissions by race/ethnicity and gender), describe any differences or similarities between juvenile detention admissions and admissions to detention alternative programs, in terms of the gender and race/ethnicity of youth admitted.

*The gender and race/ethnicity of youth admitted is consistent from year to year. The 2015 higher number of black youth admitted to the detention center did not qualify for Home Detention as they awaiting a venue transfer as they were the residents of the Residential Treatment Center explained throughout this plan.*

15. Looking at Table 4 (Average Age by Program, 2015) and comparing this information with your answer to Question 9 (age at admission), describe any differences or similarities between the age of youth placed in detention and the age of youth placed in detention alternative programs.

*The Detention Alternatives Program admits youth from young adolescents through 21 years old (if on juvenile probation). Whereas the Detention Center admissions predominantly reflects the older youth averaging 17 years old, the alternatives does as well, but in addition, approximately a quarter of its admissions are of the younger youth from 12 to 15 years old. This is a positive use of Sussex County’s graduated sanctions.*



16. Insert into the chart below the top 10 Problem Areas for youth admitted to detention alternatives (“Total” column of Table 6), beginning with the Problem Area affecting the largest number of youth, for 2012 and 2015.

<b>Ranking of Problem Areas by Program</b>					
<b>2012</b>			<b>2015</b>		
<b>Rank</b>	<b>Problem Areas</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Rank</b>	<b>Problem Areas</b>	<b>Total</b>
1	Substance Abuse	21	1	Substance Abuse	15
2	Family Circumstances/Parenting	11	2	Anger Management	4
3	Peer Relations	8	3	Family Circumstances/Parenting	3
4	Education	7	4	Peer Relations	3
5	Personality/Behavior	6	5	Vocational Skills/Employment	3
6	Vocational Skills/Employment	6	6	Mental Health	2
7	Anger Management	5	7	Education	1
8	Mental Health	5	8	Personality/Behavior	
9	I/DD	2	9	I/DD	
10			10		

17. How has the ranking of Problem Areas changed between 2012 and 2015? Describe in terms of those Problem Areas that have moved up in rank the most.

*Anger Management has increased the most as a problem area. Substance abuse remains the top priority area for Sussex from 2012 to 2015.*

18. Insert into the chart below the top 10 Service Interventions Needed, But Not Available, for youth admitted to detention alternative programs (“Total” column of Table 8), beginning with the Service Intervention most often needed, for 2012 and 2015.

<b>Ranking of Service Intervention Needed</b>					
<b>2012</b>			<b>2015</b>		
<b>Rank</b>	<b>Service Intervention Needed</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Rank</b>	<b>Service Intervention Needed</b>	<b>Total</b>
1	Mental Health	5	1	Mental Health	2

2	I/DD	2	2	Education	1
3			3		
4			4		
5			5		
6			6		
7			7		
8			8		
9			9		
10			10		

19. How has the ranking of Service Intervention Needed changed between 2012 and 2015? Describe in terms of those Service Interventions Needed that have moved up in rank the most.

*Mental Health services remain a significant need in Sussex County. In 2015, Education has moved up in rank but only by one youth's need. Problem areas of Family Circumstances, peer relations, personality/behavior, vocational skills and employment, and I/DD population must all be considered a service need as well.*

20. Insert into the chart below the top 10 Service Interventions Provided for youth admitted to detention alternative programs ("Total" column of Table 7), beginning with the Service Intervention most often provided, for 2012 and 2015.

<b>Ranking of Service Intervention Provided</b>					
<b>2012</b>			<b>2015</b>		
<b>Rank</b>	<b>Service Intervention Provided</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Rank</b>	<b>Service Intervention Provided</b>	<b>Total</b>
1	Case Management Services	34	1	Case Management Services	38
2	Recreational/Socialization	34	2	Recreational/Socialization	38
3	Role Model/Mentor	34	3	Role Model/Mentoring	38
4	Urine Monitoring	34	4	Urine Monitoring	28
5	Substance Abuse Treatment/Counseling	21	5	Substance Abuse treatment/counseling	12
6	Substance Abuse Evaluation	21	6	Substance Abuse Evaluation	12

7	Counseling/Individual	9	7	Counseling/Individual	10
8	Decision Making skills training	6	8	Decision Making skills training	10
9	Life skills training	6	9	Life skills training	10
10	Interpersonal skills training	6	10	Interpersonal skills training	10

21. How has the ranking of Service Interventions Provided changed between 2012 and 2015? Describe in terms of those Service Interventions Provided that have moved up in rank the most.

*The service interventions provided have remained the same level of rank from 2012 to 2015. The most noticeable change is the ratio of substance abuse treatment and evaluations. In 2012, the number of case management serviced youth compared to needed substance abuse intervention compared to 2015 case management youth compared to substance abuse intervention shows a decrease per event from 2012 to 2015, however still alarming is the need for those continued services, exemplified throughout this planning data.*

## IMPLICATIONS FOR JUVENILE DETENTION PLAN

### Extent of Need

22. Taken collectively, what do the answers to Question 1 (overall change in detention admissions), Question 7 (change in average daily population), and Question 13 (change in detention alternative admissions) tell you about how your County's overall need for secure detention beds and detention alternative programs has changed in recent years?

The overall need for secure detention beds has declined from 2012 to 2015, and admissions are becoming a rarity, reflecting the need for detention alternatives increasing. Community based programming/services work.

### Nature of Need

23. Based on the answers to Question 5 (detention admissions by race/ethnicity and gender), Question 12 (description of the typical detained youth), Question 14 (race/ethnicity and gender of youth admitted to detention as compared to youth admitted to detention alternatives), Question 15 (age of youth admitted to detention as compared to age of youth admitted to detention alternatives), Questions 16 and 17 (top ten problem areas and change in problem areas), Questions 18 and 19 (interventions needed but not available), and Questions 20 and 21 (interventions provided), what are the characteristics of youth and the service needs that you must account for or address programmatically through your County's juvenile detention plan?

As indicated by the data provided by the JJC, the JDAI tracking data, and the data provided by the Detention Alternatives program, the typical youth in detention is a 17 year old white male youth with substance abuse or assaultive behaviors.

24. Looking at your answer white male youth to Question 6, what does this information tell you collectively about the status of disproportionate minority contact and racial/ethnic disparities at this point of the juvenile justice continuum within your County?

While there was a decrease in white male youth, there was an increase in black male youth in 2015. The planning committee will ensure all programs be culturally competent in racial/ethnic disparities.

*Other Data Regarding Extent and Nature of Need*

25. Was additional data, not provided by the JJC, was used in your county's planning process? (If other data was used submit a copy in Chapter 13.) If so, what does that data tell you about how your County's overall need for secure detention and detention alternative programs has changed in recent years and about the needs and characteristics of youth that should be addressed through your county's juvenile detention plan? Are there additional data that relates Disproportionate Minority Contact or Racial and Ethnic Disparities?

The 2015 JDAI tracking form maintained by the Detention Alternatives Program and the end of year statistics were used to answer the above questions relating to YSC funded programming. There is minimal need of secure detention beds as Sussex County utilizes secure detention defined by JDAI. There is a heavy reliance on community based Detention Alternatives and has had success consistently from year to year. At this time, there is no significant concern with racial/ethnic disparities since the makeup of Sussex County is not racially diverse. There is a need to watch for this as there was an increase in black youth arrests from one RTC in the county.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

29. Looking at your answers to Questions 22, 23, and 25, state the need and/or service gap to be addressed. Cite the data that supports the need and/or service gap. List your recommendations for your County’s juvenile detention plan.

State need and/or service gap to be addressed	Cite the data that indicates the need and/or service gap exists	Recommended service/program activity to address the need and/or service gap
Substance abuse education/evaluation/treatment	Uniform Crime Reports/School VVSA report, 2017 Youth Survey, JDAI local data, 2015 PRIDE data	Specialized programming for secure detention Community based substance abuse treatment
Aggression/anger management/conflict resolution	Uniform Crime Reports/School VVSA report, 2017 Youth Survey, JDAI local data, 2015 PRIDE data	Specialized programming for secure detention Community based programming

*Comments:*

30. Looking at your answers to Questions 24 and 25, what recommendations or strategies would your county make with regards to Juvenile Detention policy and practice through the lens of race and ethnicity? What recommendations or strategies would your county consider ensuring similar outcomes for similarly situated youth?

*Comments:*

*All programming to be culturally competent.*

# 2014

## Juveniles in Detention Facilities in New Jersey

Average Daily Population	2014
Atlantic	15.2
Camden	48.5
Essex	83.0
Monmouth	6.8
Hudson	30.2
Mercer	27.0
Union	26.0
Bergen	8.1
Burlington	11.7
Ocean	9.9
Somerset	3.1
Passaic	21.5
Middlesex	17.2
Cumberland	10.3
Warren	1.4
Gloucester	3.2
Cape May	2.6
<b>TOTAL<sup>[1]</sup></b>	<b>325.7</b>

<sup>[1]</sup> Because each cohort of JDAI sites has a different pre-JDAI year, pre-JDAI all-sites figures do not reflect numbers from one specific year. All-sites pre-JDAI figures are therefore derived by tallying figures from each individual site's pre-JDAI year (currently 2003, 2005, 2008, 2009, or 2011 depending on the site).

## 2014 Race/Ethnicity \* Gender \* Detention Facility

Detention Facility			Gender		Total
			M	F	
Atlantic	Race/Ethnicity	Afr Amer	81	10	91
		Cauc	11	5	16
		Hisp	25	3	28
	Total		117	18	135
Bergen	Race/Ethnicity	Afr Amer	47	7	54
		Cauc	15	4	19
		Hisp	20	6	26
	Other	3	1	4	
Total		85	18	103	
Burlington	Race/Ethnicity	Afr Amer	105	22	127
		Cauc	19	3	22
		Hisp	6	1	7
	Other	2	0	2	
Total		132	26	158	
Camden	Race/Ethnicity	Afr Amer	243	45	288
		Cauc	54	11	65
		Hisp	80	10	90
	Other	3	0	3	
Total		380	66	446	
Cape May	Race/Ethnicity	Afr Amer	12	1	13
		Cauc	8	3	11
		Hisp	1	3	4
Total		21	7	28	
Cumberland	Race/Ethnicity	Afr Amer	37	9	46
		Cauc	8	1	9
		Hisp	31	6	37
Total		76	16	92	
Essex	Race/Ethnicity	Afr Amer	591	88	679
		Cauc	5	1	6
		Hisp	60	8	68
Total		656	97	753	
Gloucester	Race/Ethnicity	Afr Amer	22	1	23

		Cauc	26	3	29
		Hisp	2	1	3
		Total	50	5	55
Hudson	Race/Ethnicity	Afr Amer	180	22	202
		Cauc	8	2	10
		Hisp	102	14	116
		Other	12	1	13
		Total	302	39	341
Mercer	Race/Ethnicity	Afr Amer	114	29	143
		Cauc	3	0	3
		Hisp	20	6	26
		Other	5	1	6
		Total	142	36	178
Middlesex	Race/Ethnicity	Afr Amer	59	9	68
		Cauc	22	2	24
		Hisp	57	7	64
		Other	11	1	12
		Total	149	19	168
Monmouth	Race/Ethnicity	Afr Amer	62	3	65
		Cauc	18	3	21
		Hisp	14	0	14
		Other	1	0	1
		Total	95	6	101
Ocean	Race/Ethnicity	Afr Amer	26	4	30
		Cauc	42	20	62
		Hisp	8	0	8
		Total	76	24	100
Passaic	Race/Ethnicity	Afr Amer	123	14	137
		Cauc	14	4	18
		Hisp	110	13	123
		Other	2	0	2
		Total	249	31	280
Somerset	Race/Ethnicity	Afr Amer	20	1	21
		Cauc	7	2	9
		Hisp	4	0	4
		Other	3	0	3
		Total	34	3	37



Sussex	Race/Ethnicity	Afr Amer	1	0	1
		Cauc	11	2	13
		Hispanic	5	0	5
		Total	17	2	19
Union	Race/Ethnicity	Afr Amer	105	13	118
		Cauc	4	2	6
		Hispanic	40	6	46
		Other	1	0	1
		Total	150	21	171
Warren	Race/Ethnicity	Afr Amer	4		4
		Cauc	8		8
		Hispanic	2		2
		Total	14		14
Total	Race/Ethnicity	Afr Amer	1832	278	2110
		Cauc	283	68	351
		Hispanic	587	84	671
		Other	43	4	47
		Total	2745	434	3179

---

## 2014 Average Length of Stay

Detention Facility	los_plus
Atlantic	42.8889
Bergen	27.3000
Burlington	29.9161
Camden	41.1499
Cape May	33.0741
Cumberland	48.4138
Essex	39.7388
Gloucester	21.2333
Hudson	34.6077
Mercer	54.9570
Middlesex	32.1524
Monmouth	26.4700
Ocean	36.2553
Passaic	27.0985
Somerset	29.8250
Sussex	29.0000
Union	62.4172
Warren	33.1818
Total	38.3195

Detention Center <sup>a</sup>	Total 2014 (YTD) ADP <sup>b</sup> In Detention Center	Approved Capacity <sup>c</sup>	ADP as % of Capacity	Has Been Approved for a Commitment Program?	Multi-Jurisdiction Facility?
Atlantic	17.6	27	65.2%		X
Bergen	9.4	<sup>d</sup> 20	47.0%	X	
Burlington	15.3	24	63.8%		X
Camden	51.6	61	84.6%		X
Cumberland	12.6	46	27.4%	X	X
Essex	104.5	242	43.2%		X
Hudson	30.4	79	38.5%	X	
Middlesex	54.6	100	54.6%	X	X
Morris	13.2	43	30.7%	X	X
Ocean	12.1	30	40.3%	X	
Union	31.2	76	41.1%	X	X
TOTAL	352.5	748	47.1%	7 Programs	8 Multi-Jurisdiction

<sup>a</sup> The focus of this table is the "detention center" and not the "county," so population figures reflect all youth in the facility listed, regardless of sending county/county of residence. This table includes all detention centers operational in 2014, regardless of whether the facility is located in a JDAI site.

<sup>b</sup> Average daily population in this table includes all youth in the building, including those in post-disposition detention commitment programs (where applicable).

<sup>c</sup> "Capacity" refers to JJC approved capacity in an operational facility as of December 31, 2014. NOTE: not all facilities are presently staffed for full capacity, i.e., some facilities that have populations well-below approved capacity are staffed to accommodate the actual, lower population.

<sup>d</sup> Bergen's approved capacity increased from 16 to 20 on August 2, 2014. ADP as a % of Capacity is based on the new capacity of 20.

2015			AGE AT ADMISSION										Total
			9.00	11.00	12.00	13.00	14.00	15.00	16.00	17.00	18.00	19.00	
Detention Atlantic Facility	Count	0	0	1	2	20	28	35	37	9	0	1	133
	% within Detention Facility	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	1.5%	15.0%	21.1%	26.3%	27.8%	6.8%	0.0%	0.8%	100.0%
Bergen	Count	0	1	2	6	16	23	29	27	10	0	1	115
	% within Detention Facility	0.0%	0.9%	1.7%	5.2%	13.9%	20.0%	25.2%	23.5%	8.7%	0.0%	0.9%	100.0%
Burlington	Count	0	0	2	3	7	18	36	51	7	0	0	124
	% within Detention Facility	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	2.4%	5.6%	14.5%	29.0%	41.1%	5.6%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Camden	Count	0	3	1	13	23	54	93	104	38	19	8	356
	% within Detention Facility	0.0%	0.8%	0.3%	3.7%	6.5%	15.2%	26.1%	29.2%	10.7%	5.3%	2.2%	100.0%
Cape May	Count	0	0	0	0	1	0	7	6	0	0	0	14
	% within Detention Facility	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.1%	0.0%	50.0%	42.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Cumberland	Count	0	3	1	0	7	13	22	16	2	5	0	69
	% within Detention Facility	0.0%	4.3%	1.4%	0.0%	10.1%	18.8%	31.9%	23.2%	2.9%	7.2%	0.0%	100.0%
Essex	Count	0	0	5	22	73	118	192	254	29	8	2	703

	% within Detention Facility	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	3.1%	10.4%	16.8%	27.3%	36.1%	4.1%	1.1%	0.3%	100.0%
Gloucester	Count	0	1	0	5	8	11	17	20	0	0	0	62
	% within Detention Facility	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%	8.1%	12.9%	17.7%	27.4%	32.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Hudson	Count	0	1	0	16	21	39	91	94	12	1	0	275
	% within Detention Facility	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	5.8%	7.6%	14.2%	33.1%	34.2%	4.4%	0.4%	0.0%	100.0%
Mercer	Count	0	0	3	6	21	21	40	45	2	0	0	138
	% within Detention Facility	0.0%	0.0%	2.2%	4.3%	15.2%	15.2%	29.0%	32.6%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Middlesex	Count	0	0	2	5	9	34	45	64	17	9	3	188
	% within Detention Facility	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	2.7%	4.8%	18.1%	23.9%	34.0%	9.0%	4.8%	1.6%	100.0%
Monmouth	Count	0	2	3	1	5	13	19	21	4	3	1	72
	% within Detention Facility	0.0%	2.8%	4.2%	1.4%	6.9%	18.1%	26.4%	29.2%	5.6%	4.2%	1.4%	100.0%
Ocean	Count	0	0	0	0	1	14	22	24	5	3	1	70
	% within Detention Facility	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%	20.0%	31.4%	34.3%	7.1%	4.3%	1.4%	100.0%

Passaic	Count	1	0	1	5	22	47	73	84	4	4	1	242
	% within Detention Facility	0.4%	0.0%	0.4%	2.1%	9.1%	19.4%	30.2%	34.7%	1.7%	1.7%	0.4%	100.0%
Somerset	Count	0	0	0	1	0	9	11	11	3	0	0	35
	% within Detention Facility	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	0.0%	25.7%	31.4%	31.4%	8.6%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Sussex	Count	0	0	1	2	3	1	7	8	2	2	0	26
	% within Detention Facility	0.0%	0.0%	3.8%	7.7%	11.5%	3.8%	26.9%	30.8%	7.7%	7.7%	0.0%	100.0%
Union	Count	0	0	0	1	9	29	37	49	13	6	2	146
	% within Detention Facility	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	6.2%	19.9%	25.3%	33.6%	8.9%	4.1%	1.4%	100.0%
Warren	Count	0	0	0	0	1	3	10	6	2	0	0	22
	% within Detention Facility	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.5%	13.6%	45.5%	27.3%	9.1%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Total	Count	1	11	22	88	247	475	786	921	159	60	20	2790
	% within Detention Facility	0.0%	0.4%	0.8%	3.2%	8.9%	17.0%	28.2%	33.0%	5.7%	2.2%	0.7%	100.0%

DETENTION

DATA

**New Jersey Juvenile Justice Commission  
Data for Detention Section of Comprehensive Plan**

**Detention Admissions by Race/Ethnicity & Gender, 2015**

Detention Facility			Gender		Total
			M	F	
Atlantic	Race/Ethnicity	Afr Amer	90	11	101
		Cauc	7	3	10
		Hisp	19	0	19
		Other	3	1	4
	Total		119	15	134
Bergen	Race/Ethnicity	Afr Amer	27	5	32
		Cauc	15	6	21
		Hisp	56	3	59
		Other	5	0	5
	Total		103	14	117
Burlington	Race/Ethnicity	Afr Amer	87	15	102
		Cauc	11	4	15
		Hisp	5	0	5
		Other	1	1	2
	Total		104	20	124
Camden	Race/Ethnicity	Afr Amer	204	29	233
		Cauc	33	23	56
		Hisp	60	7	67
		Other			
	Total		297	59	356
Cape May	Race/Ethnicity	Afr Amer	3	0	3
		Cauc	7	1	8
		Hisp	1	1	2
		Other	1	0	1
	Total		12	2	14
Cumberland	Race/Ethnicity	Afr Amer	31	4	35
		Cauc	10	0	10
		Hisp	19	5	24
		Other			
	Total		60	9	69
Essex	Race/Ethnicity	Afr Amer	571	72	643
		Cauc	7	0	7
		Hisp	46	5	51
		Other	2	0	2
	Total		626	77	703
Gloucester	Race/Ethnicity	Afr Amer	39	2	41



**New Jersey Juvenile Justice Commission  
Data for Detention Section of Comprehensive Plan**

		Cauc	9	5	14
		Hisp	5	2	7
		Total	53	9	62
Hudson	Race/Ethnicity	Afr Amer	158	14	172
		Cauc	10	0	10
		Hisp	86	6	92
		Other	1	0	1
		Total	255	20	275
Mercer	Race/Ethnicity	Afr Amer	98	18	116
		Cauc	4	1	5
		Hisp	10	0	10
		Other	7	0	7
		Total	119	19	138
Middlesex	Race/Ethnicity	Afr Amer	59	12	71
		Cauc	16	5	21
		Hisp	72	6	78
		Other	17	1	18
		Total	164	24	188
Monmouth	Race/Ethnicity	Afr Amer	32	3	35
		Cauc	17	2	19
		Hisp	15	0	15
		Other	3	0	3
		Total	67	5	72
Ocean	Race/Ethnicity	Afr Amer	16	13	29
		Cauc	26	9	35
		Hisp	5	1	6
		Total	47	23	70
		Passaic	Race/Ethnicity	Afr Amer	113
Cauc	11			2	13
Hisp	99			6	105
Other	1			0	1
Total	224			18	242
Somerset	Race/Ethnicity	Afr Amer	11	3	14
		Cauc	9	1	10
		Hisp	10	0	10
		Other	1	0	1
		Total	31	4	35

**New Jersey Juvenile Justice Commission  
Data for Detention Section of Comprehensive Plan**

Sussex	Race/Ethnicity	Afr Amer	8	3	11
		Cauc	10	5	15
	Total		18	8	26
Union	Race/Ethnicity	Afr Amer	90	10	100
		Cauc	4	0	4
		Hisp	40	2	42
	Total		134	12	146
Warren	Race/Ethnicity	Afr Amer	14		14
		Cauc	2		2
		Hisp	6		6
	Total		22		22
Total	Race/Ethnicity	Afr Amer	1651	224	1875
		Cauc	208	67	275
		Hisp	554	44	598
		Other	42	3	45
	Total		2455	338	2793

**2015 STATEWIDE DETENTION CAPACITY & UTILIZATION**

Detention Center <sup>a</sup>	Total 2015 (YTD) ADP <sup>b</sup> In Detention Center	Approved Capacity <sup>c</sup>	ADP as % of Capacity	Has Been Approved for a Commitment Program?	Multi-Jurisdiction Facility?
Atlantic	13.4	27	49.6%		X
Bergen	11.8	20	59.0%	X	<sup>d</sup> X
Burlington	13.4	24	55.8%		X
Camden	37.6	61	61.6%		X
Essex	104.3	242	43.1%		X
Middlesex	45.8	100	45.8%	X	X
Morris	10.2	43	23.7%	X	X
Ocean	13.1	30	43.7%	X	
Union	44.5	76	58.6%	X	X
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>294.1</b>	<b>669</b>	<b>44.0%</b>	<b>5 Programs</b>	<b>8 Multi-Jurisdiction</b>

<sup>a</sup> The focus of this table is the "detention center" and not the "county," so population figures reflect all youth in the facility listed, regardless of sending county/county of residence. This table includes all detention centers operational as of July 1, 2015, regardless of whether the facility is located in a JDAI site. Hudson's facility closed on March 27, 2015, with youth transferred to Union and Bergen; ADP in the Hudson facility prior to closure was 30.6. Cumberland's facility closed on June 30, 2015, with youth transferred primarily to Burlington; ADP in the Cumberland facility prior to closure was 11.0.

<sup>b</sup> Average daily population in this table includes all youth in the building, including those in post-disposition detention commitment programs and federal holds (where applicable).

<sup>c</sup> "Capacity" refers to JJC approved capacity in an operational facility as of December, 2015. NOTE: not all facilities are presently staffed for full capacity, i.e., some facilities that have populations well-below approved capacity are staffed to accommodate the actual, lower population.

<sup>d</sup> Bergen houses females from Union and Hudson counties.

**New Jersey Juvenile Justice Commission**  
**Data for Detention Section of Comprehensive Plan**  
**2015 JDAI SITES - OFFENSE TYPE**

**Sussex**

		Offense Type			
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Sex Offense	3	11.5	11.5	11.5
	Assault	6	23.1	23.1	34.6
	Arson	3	11.5	11.5	46.2
	Burglary	2	7.7	7.7	53.8
	VOP	7	26.9	26.9	80.8
	Drug/CDS Offense	1	3.8	3.8	84.6
	Other Public Order Offenses	3	11.5	11.5	96.2
	Other Persons Offenses	1	3.8	3.8	100.0
	Total	26	100.0	100.0	

**New Jersey Juvenile Justice Commission**  
**Data for Detention Section of Comprehensive Plan**  
**2015 Township of Residence**

**Sussex**

**Township of Residence**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Augusta	1	3.8	3.8	3.8
	Essex	1	3.8	3.8	7.7
	Hopatcong	6	23.1	23.1	30.8
	Monmouth	1	3.8	3.8	34.6
	Montague	2	7.7	7.7	42.3
	Newton	3	11.5	11.5	53.8
	Out-of-County (Cumberland)/In Willowglen	1	3.8	3.8	57.7
	Out-of-County (Essex)	1	3.8	3.8	61.5
	Out-of-County (Hudson)/In Willowglen	1	3.8	3.8	65.4
	Plainfield	1	3.8	3.8	69.2
	Plainfiled	1	3.8	3.8	73.1
	Port Jervis	1	3.8	3.8	76.9
	Sparta	2	7.7	7.7	84.6
	Stanhope	1	3.8	3.8	88.5
	Stillwater	1	3.8	3.8	92.3
	Vernon	2	7.7	7.7	100.0
	Total	26	100.0	100.0	

**New Jersey Juvenile Justice Commission  
Data for Detention Section of Comprehensive Plan**

**2015**

**JDAI SITES**

**AVERAGE AGE AT ADMISSION**

Detention Facility	N	Mean	Median
Atlantic	133	16.3594	16.4956
Bergen	115	16.2304	16.4956
Burlington	124	16.6486	16.8268
Camden	356	16.8002	16.9076
Cape May	14	16.8069	16.7269
Cumberland	69	16.3622	16.6270
Essex	703	16.5127	16.7447
Gloucester	62	16.0686	16.3737
Hudson	275	16.4655	16.6762
Mercer	138	16.2107	16.4860
Middlesex	188	16.8272	16.9624
Monmouth	72	16.4525	16.6762
Ocean	70	17.0150	16.8008
Passaic	242	16.4945	16.7023
Somerset	35	16.6899	16.6379
Sussex	26	16.6113	16.8966
Union	146	16.8668	16.7584
Warren	22	16.7194	16.6790
Total	2790	16.5587	16.6982

**New Jersey Juvenile Justice Commission**

**Data for Detention Section of Comprehensive Plan**

**2015 JDAI SITES – OFFENSES BY DEGREE**

		Degree of Most Serious Offenses						Total
		1st	2nd	3rd	4th	DP/PDP	N/A - No Delinquency Charges (Violation, etc.)	
Detention Facility	Atlantic	20	43	13	3	2	53	134
	Bergen	27	42	12	1	1	34	117
	Burlington	12	40	20	4	6	42	124
	Camden	53	75	66	7	12	143	356
	Cape May	2	5	0	0	0	7	14
	Cumberland	10	15	6	1	4	33	69
	Essex	110	346	97	10	11	129	703
	Gloucester	14	9	19	2	5	13	62
	Hudson	21	121	41	3	8	81	275
	Mercer	21	56	20	3	4	34	138
	Middlesex	29	38	16	8	7	90	188
	Monmouth	15	20	9	2	4	22	72
	Ocean	4	7	12	0	1	46	70
	Passaic	55	89	29	1	1	67	242
	Somerset	6	11	1	0	0	17	35
	Sussex	3	6	5	4	1	7	26
	Union	26	59	8	2	2	49	146
	Warren	0	11	3	1	0	7	22
<b>Total</b>		<b>428</b>	<b>993</b>	<b>377</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>874</b>	<b>2793</b>

## DISPOSITION DATA WORKSHEETS

**Table 1: Juveniles Adjudicated Delinquent by Gender, 2012 and 2015**

Gender	2012		2015		% Change in Juveniles Adjudicated Delinquent by Gender 2012-2015
	Number	% of Total	Number	% of Total	
Male	105	78.9%	29	80.6%	-72.4%
Female	28	21.1%	7	19.4%	-75.0%
Total Juveniles	133	100%	36	100%	-72.9%

Source: Administrative Office of the Courts, Family Automated Case Tracking System (FACTS), 2012 and 2015

**Table 2: Juvenile Cases Adjudicated Delinquent with Probation & Incarceration Dispositions, 2012 and 2015**

Disposition	2012 Number	2015 Number	% Change in Dispositions 2012-2015
01 - JJC Committed	3	0	-100.0%
02 - Short-Term Commitment	1	0	-100.0%
03 - 14 - Probation*	64	30	-53.1%
Total	68	30	-55.9%

Source: Administrative Office of the Courts, Family Automated Case Tracking System (FACTS), 2012 and 2015

\* See Required Data & Methodology Section



**Table 3: Juveniles Adjudicated Delinquent by Race, 2012 and 2015**

Race	2012		2015		% Change in Juveniles Adjudicated Delinquent by Race 2012-2015
	Number	% of Total	Number	% of Total	
White	116	87.2%	28	77.8%	-75.9%
Black	4	3.0%	5	13.9%	25.0%
Hispanic	11	8.3%	2	5.6%	-81.8%
Other *	2	1.5%	1	2.8%	-50.0%
Total	133	100.0%	36	100.0%	-72.9%

Source: Administrative Office of the Courts, Family Automated Case Tracking System (FACTS), 2012 and 2015

\* See Required Data & Methodology Section

**Table 4. Juveniles Adjudicated Delinquent compared to Juvenile Arrests by Race/Ethnicity, 2012 and 2015**

Race/Ethnicity	2012			2015			% Change 2012-2015	
	Juvenile Arrests**	Juveniles Adjudicated Delinquent	% of Arrest Adjudicated Delinquent	Juvenile Arrests**	Juveniles Adjudicated Delinquent	% of Arrest Adjudicated Delinquent	Juvenile Arrests**	Juveniles Adjudicated Delinquent
White	327	116	35.5%	145	28	19.3%	-55.7%	-75.9%
Black	21	4	19.0%	78	5	6.4%	271.4%	25.0%
Hispanic	21	11	52.4%	14	2	14.3%	-33.3%	-81.8%
Other*	3	2	66.7%	3	1	33.3%	0.0%	-50.0%
Total	351	133	37.9%	226	36	15.9%	-35.6%	-72.9%

Source: Uniform Crime Report (New Jersey), 2012 and 2015

Administrative Office of the Courts, Family Automated Case Tracking System (FACTS), 2012 and 2015

\*\* See Required Data & Methodology Section

**Table 5: Juveniles Adjudicated Delinquent by Age, 2012 and 2015**

Age Group	2012		2015		% Change in Juveniles Adjudicated Delinquent by Age 2012-2015
	Number	% of Total	Number	% of Total	
6 - 10	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%
11 - 12	0	0.0%	1	3.2%	100.0%
13 - 14	22	16.5%	5	16.1%	-77.3%
15 - 16	63	47.4%	14	45.2%	-77.8%
17	48	36.1%	7	22.6%	-85.4%
18 and over*		0.0%	4	12.9%	400.0%
Total	133	100%	31	100%	-76.7%

Source: Administrative Office of the Courts, Family Automated Case Tracking System (FACTS), 2012 and 2015

\* See Required Data & Methodology Section

**Table 6: Probation Placements by Race/Ethnicity, 2012 and 2015**

Race/Ethnicity	2012		2015		% Change in Probation Placements, 2012-2015
	Number	% of Total Probation Placements	Number	% of Total Probation Placements	
White	116	87.2%	25	80.6%	-78.4%
Black	4	3.0%	5	16.1%	25.0%
Hispanic	11	8.3%	1	3.2%	-90.9%
Other *	2	1.5%	0	0.0%	-100.0%
Total	133	100.0%	31	100.0%	-76.7%

Source: Juvenile Justice Commission, Relative Rate Index data, 2012 and 2015

\* See Required Data & Methodology Section

**Table 7: Juvenile Probation Placements compared to Juveniles Adjudicated Delinquent by Race/Ethnicity, 2012 and 2015**

Race/Ethnicity	2012			2015			% Change 2012-2015	
	Juveniles Adjudicated Delinquent	Probation Placements	% of Adjudications placed on Probation	Juveniles Adjudicated Delinquent	Probation Placements	% of Adjudications placed on Probation	Juveniles Adjudicated Delinquent	Probation Placements
White	116	116	100.0%	28	25	89.3%	-75.9%	-78.4%
Black	4	4	100.0%	5	5	100.0%	25.0%	25.0%
Hispanic	11	11	100.0%	2	1	50.0%	-81.8%	-90.9%
Other*	2	2	100.0%	1	-	0.0%	-50.0%	-100.0%
Total	133	133	100.0%	36	31	86.1%	-72.9%	-76.7%

Source: Administrative Office of the Courts, Family Automated Case Tracking System (FACTS), 2012 and 2015

\* See Required Data & Methodology Section

**Table 8: Secure Placements by Race/Ethnicity, 2012 and 2015**

Race/Ethnicity	2012		2015		% Change in Secure Placements 2012-2015
	Number	% of Total Secure Placements	Number	% of Total Secure Placements	
White	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	#DIV/0!
Black	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	#DIV/0!
Hispanic	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	-100.0%
Other *	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	#DIV/0!
Total	1	100.0%	1	100.0%	0.0%

Source: Juvenile Justice Commission, 2012 and 2015

\* See Required Data & Methodology Section

**Table 9. Secure Placements compared to Juveniles Adjudicated Delinquent, by Race/Ethnicity, 2012 and 2015**

Race/Ethnicity	2012			2015			% Change 2012-2015	
	Juveniles Adjudicated Delinquent	Secure Placements	% of Adjudications resulted in Secure Confinement	Juveniles Adjudicated Delinquent	Secure Placements	% of Adjudications resulted in Secure Confinement	Juveniles Adjudicated Delinquent	Secure Placements
White	116	-	0.0%	28	1	3.6%	-75.9%	#DIV/0!
Black	4	-	0.0%	5	-	0.0%	25.0%	#DIV/0!
Hispanic	11	1	9.1%	2	-	0.0%	-81.8%	-100.0%
Other*	2	-	0.0%	1	-	0.0%	-50.0%	#DIV/0!
Total	133	1	0.8%	36	1	2.8%	-72.9%	0.0%

Source: Administrative Office of the Courts, Family Automated Case Tracking System (FACTS), 2012 and 2015  
Juvenile Justice Commission, 2012 and 2015

\* See Required Data & Methodology Section

## DISPOSITION ANALYSIS QUESTIONS

- When answering questions regarding trends, describe *whether* any change has occurred, the *direction* of any change (e.g., increase/up, decrease/down), and the *size* of any change (e.g., small, moderate, large).
- When answering questions regarding rank orders, draw comparisons between categories (e.g., using terms like least/smallest, most/largest).

### NATURE & EXTENT OF THE DISPOSED POPULATION

#### JUVENILES ADJUDICATED DELINQUENT

1. Looking at Table 1: Juveniles Adjudicated Delinquent by Gender (Cell C3) and Table 2: Juvenile Cases Adjudicated Delinquent with Probation & Incarceration Dispositions (Cell B4), describe the overall number of juveniles adjudicated delinquent and the number of cases with probation and incarceration dispositions in 2015.

*The total number of juveniles adjudicated delinquent decreased from 97 or 72.9% from 2012 to 2015. There was an overall decrease in juveniles adjudicated delinquent with Probation and Incarceration dispositions as well, with both JJC and short-term dropping to zero and Probation dropping more than half at 55.9%.*

#### *NATURE OF JUVENILES ADJUDICATED DELINQUENT IN 2015*

2. Looking at Table 1: Juveniles Adjudicated Delinquent by Gender (Columns C and D), describe the number of males and the number of females adjudicated delinquent in 2015.

*Males from year to year consistently comprised of the juvenile population adjudicated delinquent. Specifically 80.6% of the population in 2015 were males.*

3. Insert into the chart below Juveniles Adjudicated Delinquent by Race/Ethnicity (Table 3, Columns C and D), beginning with the group that had the greatest number of adjudications in 2015.

<b>Ranking of Juveniles Adjudicated Delinquent by Race for 2015</b>			
<b>Rank</b>	<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Percent</b>
1	White	28	77.8%
2	Black	5	13.9%

3	Hispanic	2	5.6%
4	Other	1	2.8%

4. Insert into the chart below Juveniles Adjudicated Delinquent by Age (Table 5, Columns C and D), beginning with the group that had the greatest number of adjudications in 2015.

Ranking of Juveniles Adjudicated Delinquent by Age Group for 2015			
Rank	Age Group	Number	Percent
1	15-16	19	52.8%
2	17	11	30.6%
3	13-14	4	11.1%
4	11-12	2	5.6%
5	6-10	0	0%
6			

*SUMMARY OF THE NATURE OF JUVENILES ADJUDICATED DELINQUENT IN 2015*

5. Looking at your answers to Questions 2 through 4, summarize what this information tells you about the nature of juveniles adjudicated delinquent in 2015.

*Juveniles adjudicated delinquent in 2015 are more than 80% (some Hispanic identify as white, so there is a possibility the Hispanic and white race/ethnic makeup crossover) white males at or older than the age of 15.*

*CHANGE IN JUVENILES ADJUDICATED DELINQUENT BETWEEN 2012 and 2015*

6. Looking at Table 1: Juveniles Adjudicated Delinquent by Gender (Cell E3) and Table 2: Juvenile Cases Adjudicated Delinquent with Probation & Incarceration Dispositions (Cell C4), describe the overall change in juveniles adjudicated delinquent and cases with probation and incarceration dispositions between 2012 and 2015.

*Whereas there's a significant decrease from 2012 to 2015 of overall adjudications, the adjudications with Probation and Incarcerations is proportionate to these adjudications. What is positive is the use of Probation instead of incarcerations which means the use of community based services in lieu of incarceration.*

7. Looking at Table 1: Juveniles Adjudicated Delinquent by Gender (Column E), describe the change in the number of males and the number of females adjudicated delinquent between 2012 and 2015.

*The numbers are proportionate between 2012 to 2015.*

➤ For Question 8, use Table 3: Juveniles Adjudicated Delinquent by Race.

8. Insert into the chart below the % Change in Juveniles Adjudicated Delinquent by Race (Column E), from largest to smallest between 2012 and 2015.

Ranking of Juveniles Adjudicated Delinquent by Race Between 2012 and 2015			
Rank	Race	% Change	Number
1	Hispanic	-81.8%	-9
2	White	-75.9%	-88
3	Other	-50%	-1
4	Black	25%	1

➤ For Question 9, use Table 5: Juveniles Adjudicated Delinquent by Age.

9. Insert into the chart below the % Change in Juveniles Adjudicated Delinquent by Age (Column E) from largest to smallest between 2012 and 2015.

Ranking of Juveniles Adjudicated Delinquent by Age Between 2012 and 2015			
Rank	Age Groups	% Change	Number
1	11-12	200%	2
2	13-14	-81.8%	-18
3	17	-77.1%	37
4	15-16	-69.8%	44
5	6-10	0%	0
6			

**SUMMARY OF THE CHANGE IN THE NATURE OF JUVENILES ADJUDICATED DELINQUENT BETWEEN 2012 and 2015**

10. Using the answers from Questions 6-9, describe how the nature of juveniles adjudicated delinquent changed between 2012 and 2015.

*Overall the most significant change between 2012 and 2015 is the decrease in the total number of adjudications. In 2012 there were 133 juveniles adjudicated however in 2015, there were 36. This is a 72.9% decrease over four years. All other areas of focus, gender, race, and age are proportionate from year to year.*

**Disproportionate Minority Contact And Racial And Ethnic Disparities**

11. Using the data in Table 4 (Juveniles Adjudicated Delinquent compared to Juvenile Arrests by Race/Ethnicity), compare and describe the number of Juvenile Arrests to the number of Juveniles Adjudicated Delinquent by Race/Ethnicity between 2012 and 2015.

*From 2012 to 2015, the overall arrests compared to adjudications based on race/ethnicity has decreased across all race/ethnic juveniles. The total number has dropped by 125 arrests and 97 adjudications, or by a total of 22%. The numbers and percentages as stand alones illustrate the disparities but when one sees the actual number difference, it exemplifies the makeup of race/ethnicity of Sussex County youth, supported by the US census. For example, it appears there was 50% decrease in the Other, however if was a difference of two youth.*

**Probation Placements**

12. Using the data in Table 6 (Probation Placements by Race/Ethnicity), describe the overall change in the Probation Placements by Race/Ethnicity between 2012 and 2015.

*There was an overall decrease in Probation placements from 2012 to 2015 of 76.7%.*

13. Insert into the chart below the number column (Table 6, Column C), Probation Placements by race/ethnicity beginning with the group that had the greatest number of placements in 2015.

Ranking of Probation Placements by Race/Ethnicity, 2015		
Rank	Race/Ethnicity	Number
1	White	25
2	Black	5
3	Hispanic	1
4	Other	0

14. Insert into the chart below the % change in Table 6 (Column E), Probation Placements by Race/Ethnicity, beginning with the group that had the greatest % change between 2012 and 2015.

Ranking of Probation Placements by Race/Ethnicity between 2012 and 2015		
Rank	Race/Ethnicity	% Change
1	Other	-100%
2	Hispanic	-90.9%
3	White	-78.4%
4	Black	25.0%

15. Using the information in the ranking chart above, what does this information tell you about your county's Probation Placements by Race/Ethnicity between 2012 and 2015? How has Probation Placements by Race/Ethnicity changed since 2012?

*The 100% decline in the Other race/ethnicity represents the difference of one youth. The Hispanic population represents the difference of ten youth. The Black population represents the difference of one youth. The white population represents a difference of 91 youth. These numbers are reflective of the Sussex County youth population.*

**Disproportionate Minority Contact And Racial And Ethnic Disparities**

16. Using the data in Table 7 (Juvenile Probation Placements compared to Juveniles Adjudicated Delinquent by Race/Ethnicity), compare and describe the number of juvenile adjudications to the number of probation placements by Race/Ethnicity between 2012 and 2015.

*In 2012, all youth adjudicated were placed on Probation. However, in 2015, only 31 youth were placed on Probation of the 36 youth that were adjudicated delinquent. In 2015, all black youth (5 adjudications) were placed on Probation. Only one of the two Hispanic youth were placed on Probation and the one Other was adjudicated but not placed on Probation. Of the 28 youth adjudicated, 25 were placed on Probation. The only race/ethnic population that did not have any type of increase or decrease were among the Black population. This may illustrate some level of disparity.*

- **For Questions 17-20 use Table 8 (Secure Placements by Race/Ethnicity) and Table 9 (Secure Placements compared to Juveniles Adjudicated Delinquent by Race/Ethnicity)**

**Secure Placements**

17. Using the data in Table 8 (Secure Placements by Race/Ethnicity, Column H), describe the overall change in Secure Placements by Race/Ethnicity between 2012 and 2015.

*There was a 100% drop in secure placements for Hispanic youth between 2012 and 2015, but the numbers are statistically invalid because there was one youth placed in 2012 and zero in 2015. Likewise, in 2015, there was an increase of 100% in White youth, which again represents a single white youth in secure placement.*

18. Insert into the chart below the number of Secure Placements by Race/Ethnicity beginning with the group that had the greatest number of secure placements in 2015.

Ranking of Secure Placements by Race/Ethnicity, 2015		
Rank	Race/Ethnicity	Number
1	White	1
2		
3		
4		



19. Insert into the chart below the % change in Table 8 (Column E) Secure Placements by Race/Ethnicity, beginning with the group that had the greatest % change between 2012 and 2015.

Ranking of Secure Placements by Race/Ethnicity, 2015		
Rank	Race/Ethnicity	% Change
1	Hispanic	-100%
2	White	+100%
3		
4		

20. Using the information in the ranking charts above, what does this information tell you about your county's Secure Placements by Race/Ethnicity between 2012 and 2015? How has Secure Placements by Race/Ethnicity changed since 2012?

*There was a 100% drop in secure placements for Hispanic youth between 2012 and 2015, but the numbers are statistically invalid because there was one youth placed in 2012 and zero in 2015. Likewise, in 2015, there was an increase of 100% in White youth, which again represents a single white youth in secure placement.*

### **Disproportionate Minority Contact And Racial And Ethnic Disparities**

21. Using the data in Table 9 (Secure Placements compared to Juveniles Adjudicated Delinquent by Race/Ethnicity), compare and describe the number of Juveniles Adjudicated Delinquent to the number of Secure Placements by Race/Ethnicity between 2012 and 2015.

*There was only one youth in 2012 and 201, unable to conclude any comparisons.*

### **JUVENILE AUTOMATED MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (JAMS)**

- **For Questions 22- 31 use Disposition Data Worksheet and the JAMS data from the JAMS packet.**

22. Looking at Data Worksheet Table 1: Juveniles Adjudicated Delinquent by Gender (Cells C1 and C2, 2015) and comparing this information to JAMS Table 6: Total Intakes by Gender, 2015, describe any differences or similarities between juveniles adjudicated delinquent and juveniles in dispositional option programs by gender.

*Looking at the total intakes there is a significant difference in the amount of juveniles adjudicated delinquent and intakes for dispositional options. It should be noted, dispositional options are available to both pre and post adjudicated juveniles and youth at risk. The difference in these numbers illustrates the county's successful use of detention alternatives. The percentage of males adjudicated in 2015 was 80.6% whereas the males in alternatives was 71.8%. The percentage of females adjudicated in 2015 was 19.4%, whereas the females in alternatives were 28.2%. The*

*percentages correlate from year to year.*

23. Looking at Data Worksheet Table 1: Juveniles Adjudicated Delinquent by Gender (Cells D1 and D2) and comparing this information to JAMS Table 6: Total Intakes by Gender, 2015 (Female and Male for Each Program), describe any differences or similarities between the gender of youth adjudicated delinquent and the gender of youth served in any given dispositional option program.

*The similarities between adjudicated juveniles and dispositional option programs are the percent ratios of males to females. Specifically, there was a 19.4% female adjudication rate, and of three programs the female rate of intakes was 22%, 14%, and 32%. The gender of juveniles is proportionate. The difference is there is a higher percentage of males compared to females in all dispositional areas.*

24. Looking at Data Worksheet Table 3: Juveniles Adjudicated Delinquent by Race/Ethnicity, 2015 (Column C) and comparing this information to JAMS Table 3: Total Intakes by Race/Ethnicity, 2015, describe any differences or similarities between juveniles adjudicated delinquent and juveniles in dispositional option programs by race/ethnicity.

*From the 2015 juveniles adjudicated by race and the 2015 dispositional options by race, the percentages are proportionate. Again, please note, the raw numbers of the dispositional options contain pre and post adjudicated juveniles and the at risk population. For example, of the adjudicated juveniles, 77.8% were white and of those in dispositional options 71% were white. The difference is noticeable with the Black population as the adjudicated youth were 13.9% and in the dispositional options the Black population was 4.4%. As stated throughout this planning year, out of county juveniles whom are temporarily residing in a treatment center/home are not eligible for dispositional options.*

25. Looking at Data Worksheet Table 3: Juveniles Adjudicated Delinquent by Race/Ethnicity (Column D) and comparing this information to JAMS Table 3: Total Intakes by Race/Ethnicity, 2015 (Total for Each Program), describe any differences or similarities between the race of youth adjudicated delinquent and the race/ethnicity of youth served in any given dispositional option program.

*All percentages are proportionate with the exception of the Black population. There was an increase in black juvenile arrests due to incidents that occurred at a local residential treatment center where there is a high minority population. Those juveniles were not eligible for dispositional options for many reasons, including a change in venue by the court.*

26. Looking at Data Worksheet Table 5: Juveniles Adjudicated Delinquent by Age (Column C) and comparing this information to JAMS Table 4: Average Age of Intake Population, 2015, describe any differences or similarities between juveniles adjudicated delinquent and juveniles in dispositional option programs by age.

*The average age of youth adjudicated delinquent is comparable with the average age of youth in dispositional options, between 15-18 years of age.*

27. Looking at Data Worksheet Table 4: Juveniles Adjudicated Delinquent by Age (Column C) and comparing this information to Table 4: Average Age, 2015, describe any differences or similarities between the age of youth adjudicated delinquent and the age of youth served in any given dispositional option program.

*The highest number of youth served are between 15 and 18 years old.*

28. Looking at the “Total” column of Table 6: Problem Areas by Program, 2015, the chart below shows the top ten Problem Areas for youth served in dispositional option programs, from largest to smallest.

<b>Ranking of Problem Areas by Program</b>					
<b>2012</b>			<b>2015</b>		
<b>Rank</b>	<b>Problem Areas</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Rank</b>	<b>Problem Areas</b>	<b>Total</b>
1	Family Circumstances/Parenting	307	1	Substance Abuse	262
2	Personality/Behavior	260	2	Personality/Behavior	100
3	Substance Abuse	228	3	Attitudes/Orientation	100
4	Peer Relations	130	4	Peer Relations	86
5	Education	99	5	Family Circumstances/Parenting	72
6	Attitudes/Orientation	86	6	Education	53
7	Vocational Skills/Employment	34	7	Vocational Skills/Employment	33
8	Medical Problems	14	8	Medical Problems	12
9	Other	1	9	Other	3
10			10		

29. Looking at the “Total” column of Table 7: Service Interventions Provided, 2015, rank the top ten service interventions provided to youth in dispositional option programs, from largest to smallest.

<b>Ranking of Service Interventions Provided</b>					
<b>2012</b>			<b>2015</b>		
<b>Rank</b>	<b>Service Interventions Provided</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Rank</b>	<b>Service Interventions Provided</b>	<b>Total</b>
1	Urine Monitoring	138	1	Urine Monitoring	87

2	Substance Abuse Evaluation	103	2	Substance Abuse Evaluation	70
3	Counseling/Individual	59	3	Substance abuse treatment/counseling	29
4	Substance Abuse Treatment/Counseling	57	4	Counseling/Individual	22
5	Case Management Services	35	5	Decision Making Skills	20
6	Counseling/Family	24	6	Case Management Services	17
7	Decision making Skills Training	19	7	Counseling/Group	15
8	Life Skills Training	17	8	Life Skills Training	13
9	Substance Abuse Treatment/Counseling	10	9	Anger Management Training	7
10	Anger Management Training	9	10	Vocational/Job readiness	7

30. Looking at your answers to Questions 28 and 29, describe the extent to which identified problem areas of juveniles are currently being addressed by service interventions provided in dispositional option programs.

*The data shows the continued need of substance abuse treatment, anger management, healthy relationships both among peers and families. Some of these services are currently being offered. Looking at the 2017 Youth Survey, the youth themselves identify the need of education in socialization, conflict resolution, and healthy decision making and life style.*

31. Looking at the “Total” column of Table 8: Service Intervention Needed, 2015, rank the top ten dispositional option program service areas that were identified, from largest to smallest.

<b>Ranking of Service Interventions Needed</b>					
<b>2012</b>			<b>2015</b>		
<b>Rank</b>	<b>Service Interventions Needed</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Rank</b>	<b>Service Interventions Needed</b>	<b>Total</b>
1	Urine Monitoring	138	1	Urine Monitoring	87
2	Substance Abuse Evaluation	103	2	Substance Abuse Evaluation	70
3	Counseling/Individual	59	3	Counseling/Individual	22
4	Substance Abuse Treatment/Counseling	57	4	Decision Making skills	20
5	Case Management Services	35	5	Substance Abuse Treatment/Counseling	19
6	Counseling/Family	24	6	Case Management Services	17
7	Decision Making Skills Training	19	7	Counseling/Group	15
8	Life Skills Training	17	8	Life Skills Training	13

9	Substance Abuse Treatment/Counseling	10	9	Anger Management Training	7
10	Anger Management Training	9	10	Vocational/Job Readiness	7

# IMPLICATIONS FOR DISPOSITIONAL OPTIONS PLAN

## Extent of Need

32. What does the answer to Question 6, 12 and 17 (overall change in disposed population) tell you about how your County's overall need for dispositional option programs has changed in recent years?

*The utilization of alternative dispositional options has had a significant increase in recent years. Early prevention and intervention strategies are directing juveniles to alternatives in lieu of formal court and adjudications. The county's dispositional options are available to the court at any point in the continuum of care.*

## Nature of Need

33. Based on the answers to Question 5 (nature of disposed population, 2015), Question 10,15 and 20 (change in the nature of the disposed population between 2012 and 2015), Questions 22, 24, and 26 (nature of youth in dispositional option programs as compared to youth adjudicated delinquent by gender, race, and age), and Question 28 (top ten problem areas), what are the characteristics of youth that seem reasonable to address programmatically through your County's dispositional options plan?

*Youth in need of services continues to be the 15-17 year old male, predominantly white, which is reflective of the county's racial/ethnic make up. The problem areas that continue to be the need to be addressed are substance abuse treatment and anger management and healthy relationships.*

34. Looking at your answer to Question 11, 16 and 21, what does this information tell you collectively about the status of disproportionate minority contact and racial/ethnic disparities at this point of the juvenile justice continuum within your county?

*There is little racial/ethnic disparity in the disposition area. The planning committee continues to monitor this throughout each planning cycle.*

## Other Data Reviewed for Extent and Nature of Need - Disposition

35. Was additional data, not provided by the JJC, used in your county's planning process? (If other data was used submit a copy in Chapter 13.)

What does any other available data tell you about how your County's overall need for dispositional option programs has changed in recent years and what are the characteristics of youth that seem reasonable to address programmatically through your County's dispositional options plan? Are there additional data that relates to Disproportionate Minority Contact or Racial And Ethnic Disparities?

*The 2017 Youth Survey and the 2015 JDAI tracking form were used. At this time, there is no significant racial/ethnic disparities in adjudication or dispositional options.*

## RECOMMENDATIONS

36. Looking at your answers to Questions 32, 33 and 35, state the need and/or service gap to be addressed. Cite the data that supports the need and/or service gap. List your recommendations for your County's dispositional options plan?

State need and/or service gap to be addressed	Cite the data that indicates the need and/or service gap exists	Recommended service/program activity to address the need and/or service gap
Substance abuse services	Stationhouse adjustments, 2015 PRIDE data, middle school survey, 2017 Youth Survey, JJC data	Increase and continue funding substance abuse treatment
Anger management, conflict resolution, healthy relationships	2017 Youth Survey, annual report on VVSA, middle school survey, local providers	Increase and continue funding a Comprehensive Court Referral program(s) which focus on anger management, conflict resolution, healthy relationships, aggression management
Family engagement	FCIU data, 2017 Youth Survey	Programs/sessions focusing on strengthening the family bond/connection/involvement

*Comments:*

37. Looking at your answers to Questions 34 and 35 what recommendations or strategies would your county make with regards to Dispositional Options policy and practice through the lens of race and ethnicity? What recommendations or strategies would your county consider to ensure similar outcomes for similarly situated youth?

*There is no significant disparity at this time, however the planning committee remains cognizant to racial/ethnic disparities and will ensure programming will be culturally competent.*

*Comments:*

# JAMS Planning Report

## Problem Areas by Program

Disposition Report From 1/1/2016 To 12/31/2016

Location SUSSEX

### Problem Areas by Program

	Comprehensive Adolescent Program	Outpatient Adolescent Substance Abuse	Total
Attitudes/Orientation	3	12	15
Education	1	24	25
Family Circumstances/Parenting	12	95	107
Medical Problems	0	4	4
Other (Specify)	0	2	2
Peer Relations	10	26	36
Personality/Behavior	31	95	126
Substance Abuse	6	62	68
Teen Pregnancy/Parenting	0	4	4
Vocational Skills/Employment	0	16	16
<b>Total</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>340</b>	<b>403</b>

<u>Program Name</u>	<u>Intakes</u>
Comprehensive Adolescent Program	15
Outpatient Adolescent Substance Abuse Treatment Program	55



**JAMS Planning Report**

Service Interventions needed by Program  
Disposition Report From 1/1/2016 To 12/31/2016

**SUSSEX**

	<b>Comprehensive Adolescent Program</b>	<b>Outpatient Adolescent Substance Abuse</b>	<b>Total</b>
Academic Education	0	1	1
Anger Management Training	14	2	16
Case Management Services	14	5	19
Community service Planning/Monitoring	2	0	2
Counseling/Family	0	2	2
Counseling/Group	14	3	17
Counseling/Individual	12	9	21
Decision Making Skills Training	14	5	19
Interpersonal Skills Training	2	0	2
Life Skills Training	14	4	18
Parenting Skill/Education	0	1	1
Role Model/Mentor	0	3	3
Substance Abuse Evaluation	0	33	33
Substance Abuse Treatment/Counseling(Inten	0	3	3
Substance Abuse Treatment/Counseling(Outp	0	18	18
Urine Monitoring	0	47	47

4/3/2017

# JAMS Planning Report

Service Interventions needed by Program

Disposition Report From 1/1/2016 To 12/31/2016

SUSSEX

	<b>Comprehensive Adolescent Program</b>	<b>Outpatient Adolescent Substance Abuse</b>	<b>Total</b>
Vocational/Job Readiness/Job Skills	14	0	14
<b>Total</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>136</b>	<b>236</b>

## JAMS Planning Report

Service Interventions Provided by Program And Outside Source

Disposition Report From 1/1/2016 To 12/31/2016

Location SUSSEX

	Compreh ensive Adolesce nt Program	Outpatie nt Adolesce nt Substanc	Total
Academic Education	0	1	1
Anger Management Training	14	2	16
Case Management Services	14	5	19
Community service Planning/Monitoring	2	0	2
Counseling/Family	0	2	2
Counseling/Group	14	3	17
Counseling/Individual	12	9	21
Decision Making Skills Training	14	5	19
Interpersonal Skills Training	2	0	2
Life Skills Training	14	4	18
Parenting Skill/Education	0	1	1
Role Model/Mentor	0	3	3
Substance Abuse Evaluation	0	33	33
Substance Abuse Treatment/Counseling	0	3	3
Substance Abuse Treatment/Counseling	0	18	18
Urine Monitoring	0	47	47
Vocational/Job Readiness/Job Skills (Ge	14	0	14
<b>Total</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>136</b>	<b>236</b>

Completions

Comprehensive Adolescent Program	14
Outpatient Adolescent Substance Abuse Treatment Program	56

**JAMS Planning Report**  
 Service Interventions Needed, But Not Available  
 Disposition Report From 1/1/2016 To 12/31/2016

Location : SUSSEX

	<b>Outpatient Adolescent Substance Abuse</b>	<b>Total</b>
Academic Education	1	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>

<u>Completions</u>	
<b>Comprehensive Adolescent Program</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>Outpatient Adolescent Substance Abuse Treatment Program</b>	<b>56</b>

**JAMS Planning Report**

Service Interventions needed by Program

Disposition Report From 1/1/2015 To 12/31/2015

**SUSSEX**

	<b>Comprehensive Adolescent Program</b>	<b>Outpatient Adolescent Substance Abuse</b>	<b>Total</b>
Academic Education	0	1	<b>1</b>
Advocacy	0	2	<b>2</b>
Anger Management Training	7	0	<b>7</b>
Case Management Services	8	9	<b>17</b>
Counseling/Family	1	5	<b>6</b>
Counseling/Group	7	8	<b>15</b>
Counseling/Individual	7	15	<b>22</b>
Crisis Intervention Services	0	1	<b>1</b>
Decision Making Skills Training	8	12	<b>20</b>
Emergency Psychiatric Services	0	1	<b>1</b>
Family Support Group/Network	0	1	<b>1</b>
Interpersonal Skills Training	1	3	<b>4</b>
Life Skills Training	8	5	<b>13</b>
Medication/Monitoring	0	2	<b>2</b>
MICA Treatment	0	2	<b>2</b>
Other (Specify)	0	2	<b>2</b>

**JAMS Planning Report**

Service Interventions needed by Program  
Disposition Report From 1/1/2015 To 12/31/2015

**SUSSEX**

	Comprehensive Adolescent Program	Outpatient Adolescent Substance Abuse	Total
Role Model/Mentor	0	1	1
Substance Abuse Evaluation	0	70	70
Substance Abuse Treatment/Counseling(Inten	0	10	10
Substance Abuse Treatment/Counseling(Outp	0	19	19
Teaching Family	0	2	2
Transportation	0	3	3
Urine Monitoring	0	87	87
Vocational Training(specific)	0	1	1
Vocational/Job Readiness/Job Skills	7	0	7
<b>Total</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>262</b>	<b>316</b>

**JAMS Planning Report**

Service Interventions Provided by Program And Outside Source

Disposition Report From 1/1/2015 To 12/31/2015

Location SUSSEX

	<b>Compreh ensive Adolesce nt Program</b>	<b>Outpatie nt Adolesce nt Substanc</b>	<b>Total</b>
Academic Education	0	1	1
Advocacy	0	2	2
Anger Management Training	7	0	7
Case Management Services	8	9	17
Counseling/Family	1	5	6
Counseling/Group	7	8	15
Counseling/Individual	7	15	22
Crisis Intervention Services	0	1	1
Decision Making Skills Training	8	12	20
Emergency Psychiatric Services	0	1	1
Family Support Group/Network	0	1	1
Interpersonal Skills Training	1	3	4
Life Skills Training	8	5	13
Medication/Monitoring	0	2	2
MICA Treatment	0	2	2
Other (Specify)	0	2	2
Role Model/Mentor	0	1	1
Substance Abuse Evaluation	0	70	70
Substance Abuse Treatment/Counseling	0	10	10
Substance Abuse Treatment/Counseling	0	19	19
Teaching Family	0	2	2
Transportation	0	3	3
Urine Monitoring	0	87	87
Vocational Training(specific)	0	1	1
Vocational/Job Readiness/Job Skills (Ge	7	0	7
<b>Total</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>262</b>	<b>316</b>

**JAMS Planning Report**  
**Service Interventions Provided by Program And Outside Source**  
**Disposition Report From 1/1/2015 To 12/31/2015**

	<u>Completions</u>
<b>Comprehensive Adolescent Program</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>Outpatient Adolescent Substance Abuse Treatment Program</b>	<b>105</b>



### Summary of Selections

Report Type: Adjudicated  
County/Vicinage: Statewide  
Township: All Townships  
Statute Group: All Statutes

Dispositions: All Dispositions  
Age: WHERE AGE IS-FROM 10 TO 17  
Gender: ALL  
Race: All

Time Period: Calendar Year 2015

Transfers are set to: exclude  
VOP's are set to: exclude

Gender values: M-Male, F-Female, U-Unknown or not indicated

Race values: 1-Caucasion, 2-Black, 3-Hispanic, 4-Asian/Oriental, 5-Amer Indian, 6-Other,  
7-Unknown, 8-Alaskan Native, 9-Amer Ind,Eski,Aleu

Use the Page Down/Up buttons to scroll to report sections

(PART A)

Adjudicated (per Case Status Date)

<u>County</u>	<u>No. of Juveniles</u>	<u>No. of Cases</u>	<u>No. of Offenses</u>	<u>Average No. of Offenses per Juvenile</u>	<u>Average No. of Offenses per Cases</u>	<u>Average No. of Cases per Juvenile</u>
Atlantic	243	359	895	3.68	2.49	1.48
Bergen	602	754	1,518	2.52	2.01	1.25
Burlington	421	707	1,306	3.10	1.85	1.68
Camden	844	1,217	2,398	2.84	1.97	1.44
Cape May	183	284	587	3.21	2.07	1.55
Cumberland	275	411	728	2.65	1.77	1.49
Essex	983	1,531	3,629	3.69	2.37	1.56
Gloucester	262	383	738	2.82	1.93	1.46
Hudson	384	645	1,509	3.93	2.34	1.68
Hunterdon	27	37	83	3.07	2.24	1.37
Mercer	450	670	1,461	3.25	2.18	1.49
Middlesex	502	756	1,493	2.97	1.97	1.51
Monmouth	552	834	1,785	3.23	2.14	1.51
Morris	166	193	585	3.52	3.03	1.16
Ocean	271	420	1,006	3.71	2.40	1.55
Passaic	645	846	1,920	2.98	2.27	1.31
Salem	117	203	363	3.10	1.79	1.74
Somerset	58	91	192	3.31	2.11	1.57
Sussex	36	53	93	2.58	1.75	1.47
Union	320	430	878	2.74	2.04	1.34
Warren	52	80	142	2.73	1.77	1.54
TOTAL	7,393	10,904	23,309	3.15	2.14	1.47

- 1.) Data were derived from the Family Automated Case Tracking System (FACTS).
- 2.) Figures were based on the Case Status Dates of Juvenile Delinquency compliants
- 3.) These figures exclude Violation of Probation offenses
- 4.) These figures exclude Transferred in Cases

(PART C1)

Age of Juveniles by County

County	6 - 10		11 - 12		13 - 14		15 - 16		17 only		Total	5 and under		18 and over		Out of Range		Grand Total
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%		#	%	#	%	#	%	
Atlantic	1	.41	12	4.94	44	18.11	112	46.09	74	30.45	243	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	243
Bergen	0	.00	20	3.32	85	14.12	235	39.04	262	43.52	602	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	602
Burlington	1	.24	17	4.04	74	17.58	168	39.90	161	38.24	421	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	421
Camden	5	.59	53	6.28	132	15.64	366	43.36	288	34.12	844	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	844
Cape May	0	.00	3	1.64	35	19.13	89	48.63	56	30.60	183	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	183
Cumberland	4	1.45	16	5.82	50	18.18	123	44.73	82	29.82	275	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	275
Essex	3	.31	27	2.75	166	16.89	430	43.74	357	36.32	983	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	983
Gloucester	3	1.15	18	6.87	53	20.23	113	43.13	75	28.63	262	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	262
Hudson	2	.52	13	3.39	57	14.84	179	46.61	133	34.64	384	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	384
Hunterdon	0	.00	1	3.70	1	3.70	13	48.15	12	44.44	27	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	27
Mercer	3	.67	21	4.67	97	21.56	192	42.67	137	30.44	450	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	450
Middlesex	5	1.00	20	3.98	85	16.93	218	43.43	174	34.66	502	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	502
Monmouth	5	.91	23	4.17	76	13.77	216	39.13	232	42.03	552	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	552
Morris	1	.60	1	.60	18	10.84	76	45.78	70	42.17	166	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	166
Ocean	0	.00	6	2.21	50	18.45	114	42.07	101	37.27	271	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	271
Passaic	3	.47	36	5.58	138	21.40	293	45.43	175	27.13	645	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	645
Salem	0	.00	4	3.42	25	21.37	52	44.44	36	30.77	117	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	117
Somerset	0	.00	4	6.90	9	15.52	23	39.66	22	37.93	58	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	58
Sussex	0	.00	2	5.56	4	11.11	19	52.78	11	30.56	36	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	36
Union	0	.00	6	1.88	49	15.31	150	46.88	115	35.94	320	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	320
Warren	0	.00	1	1.92	5	9.62	27	51.92	19	36.54	52	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	52
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>.49</b>	<b>304</b>	<b>4.11</b>	<b>1253</b>	<b>16.95</b>	<b>3208</b>	<b>43.39</b>	<b>2592</b>	<b>35.06</b>	<b>7393</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.00</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.00</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.00</b>	<b>7393</b>

- 1.) Data were derived from the Family Automated Case Tracking System (FACTS).
- 2.) Figures were based on the Case Status Dates of Juvenile Delinquency compliants
- 3.) These figures exclude Violation of Probation offenses
- 4.) These figures exclude Transferred in Cases

(PART D)

Race/Ethnicity of Juveniles by County

County	White	% Black	% Hspnc	Asian % PcIsl	Amer. % Indn.	% Other	Alskn % Natve	% Total	Not Indctd	Grand % Total								
Atlantic	58	23.87	133	54.73	46	18.93	3	1.23	0	.00	3	1.23	0	.00	243	0	.00	243
Bergen	260	43.19	148	24.58	163	27.08	21	3.49	2	.33	8	1.33	0	.00	602	0	.00	602
Burlington	139	33.02	254	60.33	20	4.75	1	.24	0	.00	7	1.66	0	.00	421	0	.00	421
Camden	244	28.94	460	54.57	126	14.95	3	.36	0	.00	10	1.19	0	.00	843	1	.12	844
Cape May	130	71.04	28	15.30	20	10.93	1	.55	1	.55	3	1.64	0	.00	183	0	.00	183
Cumberland	54	19.64	125	45.45	89	32.36	0	.00	0	.00	7	2.55	0	.00	275	0	.00	275
Essex	51	5.19	817	83.20	104	10.59	4	.41	0	.00	4	.41	2	.20	982	1	.10	983
Gloucester	135	51.53	113	43.13	6	2.29	3	1.15	1	.38	4	1.53	0	.00	262	0	.00	262
Hudson	25	6.51	189	49.22	152	39.58	16	4.17	0	.00	2	.52	0	.00	384	0	.00	384
Hunterdon	22	88.00	2	8.00	0	.00	1	4.00	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	25	2	7.41	27
Mercer	87	19.46	308	68.90	44	9.84	2	.45	0	.00	6	1.34	0	.00	447	3	.67	450
Middlesex	166	33.27	178	35.67	130	26.05	21	4.21	0	.00	4	.80	0	.00	499	3	.60	502
Monmouth	227	41.27	251	45.64	64	11.64	5	.91	1	.18	1	.18	1	.18	550	2	.36	552
Morris	107	66.05	25	15.43	27	16.67	3	1.85	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	162	4	2.41	166
Ocean	174	64.44	55	20.37	39	14.44	0	.00	0	.00	2	.74	0	.00	270	1	.37	271
Passaic	161	25.04	225	34.99	247	38.41	3	.47	0	.00	7	1.09	0	.00	643	2	.31	645
Salem	39	33.62	66	56.90	9	7.76	0	.00	0	.00	2	1.72	0	.00	116	1	.85	117
Somerset	20	34.48	22	37.93	15	25.86	1	1.72	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	58	0	.00	58
Sussex	28	80.00	5	14.29	2	5.71	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	35	1	2.78	36
Union	61	19.06	196	61.25	56	17.50	3	.94	0	.00	4	1.25	0	.00	320	0	.00	320
Warren	37	72.55	11	21.57	3	5.88	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	51	1	1.92	52
TOTAL	2225	30.19	3611	48.99	1362	18.48	91	1.23	5	.07	74	1.00	3	.04	7371	22	.30	7393

- 1.) Data were derived from the Family Automated Case Tracking System (FACTS).
- 2.) Figures were based on the Case Status Dates of Juvenile Delinquency compliants
- 3.) These figures exclude Violation of Probation offenses
- 4.) These figures exclude Transferred in Cases

(PART E1)

Gender of Juveniles by County

County	Males	%	Females	%	Total	Not Indicated	%	Total Number of Juveniles
Atlantic	192	79.01	51	20.99	243	0	.00	243
Bergen	467	77.57	135	22.43	602	0	.00	602
Burlington	317	75.30	104	24.70	421	0	.00	421
Camden	638	75.59	206	24.41	844	0	.00	844
Cape May	149	81.42	34	18.58	183	0	.00	183
Cumberland	202	73.45	73	26.55	275	0	.00	275
Essex	776	78.94	207	21.06	983	0	.00	983
Gloucester	196	74.81	66	25.19	262	0	.00	262
Hudson	322	83.85	62	16.15	384	0	.00	384
Hunterdon	14	51.85	13	48.15	27	0	.00	27
Mercer	338	75.11	112	24.89	450	0	.00	450
Middlesex	397	79.08	105	20.92	502	0	.00	502
Monmouth	390	70.65	162	29.35	552	0	.00	552
Morris	144	86.75	22	13.25	166	0	.00	166
Ocean	197	72.69	74	27.31	271	0	.00	271
Passaic	518	80.31	127	19.69	645	0	.00	645
Salem	83	70.94	34	29.06	117	0	.00	117
Somerset	48	82.76	10	17.24	58	0	.00	58
Sussex	29	80.56	7	19.44	36	0	.00	36
Union	253	79.06	67	20.94	320	0	.00	320
Warren	42	80.77	10	19.23	52	0	.00	52
TOTAL	5,712	77.26	1,681	22.74	7393	0	.00	7393

- 1.) Data were derived from the Family Automated Case Tracking System (FACTS).
- 2.) Figures were based on the Case Status Dates of Juvenile Delinquency compliants
- 3.) These figures exclude Violation of Probation offenses
- 4.) These figures exclude Transferred in Cases

2015

PAGE 1

RUNDATE.: 04/12/17  
 PROGRAM.: TABLE3U  
 REQUESTOR: Jeanette Ford  
 REQ. CNTY: ATL  
 (PART A/Y2K)

County	Juveniles, Cases and Offenses by County Adjudicated Delinquent					
	For the period between January 1, 2015 - December 31, 2015			Average No.	Average No.	Average No.
	Number of Adjudicated Juveniles	Number of Adjudicated Cases	Number of Adjudicated Offenses	of Offenses per Juvenile	of Offenses per Case	of Cases per Juvenile
Atlantic	213	318	728	3.4	2.3	1.5
Bergen	437	562	971	2.2	1.7	1.3
Burlington	283	383	691	2.4	1.8	1.4
Camden	519	789	1,534	3.0	1.9	1.5
Cape May	111	159	187	1.7	1.2	1.4
Cumberland	196	268	505	2.6	1.9	1.4
Essex	630	838	2,105	3.3	2.5	1.3
Gloucester	197	256	398	2.0	1.6	1.3
Hudson	316	454	560	1.8	1.2	1.4
Hunterdon	27	37	58	2.1	1.6	1.4
Mercer	345	444	907	2.6	2.0	1.3
Middlesex	440	618	926	2.1	1.5	1.4
Monmouth	378	557	671	1.8	1.2	1.5
Morris	165	193	549	3.3	2.8	1.2
Ocean	234	346	539	2.3	1.6	1.5
Passaic	539	676	1,474	2.7	2.2	1.3
Salem	75	94	156	2.1	1.7	1.3
Somerset	57	90	189	3.3	2.1	1.6
Sussex	31	48	86	2.8	1.8	1.5
Union	312	415	627	2.0	1.5	1.3
Warren	51	79	110	2.2	1.4	1.5
TOTAL	5,556	7,624	13,971	2.5	1.8	1.4

- 1.) Data were derived from the Family Automated Case Tracking System (FACTS).
- 2.) Figures are based on the date adjudications were entered in Court.
- 3.) These figures do NOT include any Violation of Probation (VOP) offenses.

2015

PAGE 1

RUNDATE.: 04/12/17  
 PROGRAM.: TABLE3U  
 REQUESTOR: Jeanette Ford  
 REQ. CNTY: ATL  
 (PART C1/Y2K)

AGE of Juveniles by County  
 Age Breakdown for Juveniles with Adjudicated Delinquent Cases by County  
 For the period between January 1, 2015 - December 31, 2015

County	6-10	%	11-12	%	13-14	%	15-16	%	17	%	Total	Out of Range	%	Grand Total
Atlantic	2	1.00	8	4.00	34	17.00	100	50.00	56	28.00	200	13	6.10	213
Bergen	0	.00	10	2.48	64	15.84	182	45.05	148	36.63	404	33	7.55	437
Burlington	1	.38	8	3.08	43	16.54	110	42.31	98	37.69	260	23	8.13	283
Camden	2	.41	24	4.91	90	18.40	222	45.40	151	30.88	489	30	5.78	519
Cape May	0	.00	3	2.78	19	17.59	54	50.00	32	29.63	108	3	2.70	111
Cumberland	2	1.10	10	5.52	33	18.23	86	47.51	50	27.62	181	15	7.65	196
Essex	1	.16	5	.81	90	14.66	288	46.91	230	37.46	614	16	2.54	630
Gloucester	2	1.04	11	5.73	34	17.71	87	45.31	58	30.21	192	5	2.54	197
Hudson	0	.00	9	3.06	37	12.59	144	48.98	104	35.37	294	22	6.96	316
Hunterdon	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	14	63.64	8	36.36	22	5	18.52	27
Mercer	1	.32	14	4.44	70	22.22	134	42.54	96	30.48	315	30	8.70	345
Middlesex	2	.50	15	3.73	66	16.42	178	44.28	141	35.07	402	38	8.64	440
Monmouth	2	.61	13	3.94	49	14.85	145	43.94	121	36.67	330	48	12.70	378
Morris	1	.66	0	.00	16	10.60	65	43.05	69	45.70	151	14	8.48	165
Ocean	0	.00	1	.49	36	17.56	93	45.37	75	36.59	205	29	12.39	234
Passaic	3	.59	28	5.53	95	18.77	246	48.62	134	26.48	506	33	6.12	539
Salem	0	.00	4	5.56	18	25.00	29	40.28	21	29.17	72	3	4.00	75
Somerset	0	.00	2	3.70	9	16.67	22	40.74	21	38.89	54	3	5.26	57
Sussex	0	.00	1	3.70	5	18.52	14	51.85	7	25.93	27	4	12.90	31
Union	0	.00	4	1.42	39	13.88	138	49.11	100	35.59	281	31	9.94	312
Warren	0	.00	0	.00	3	6.52	26	56.52	17	36.96	46	5	9.80	51
TOTAL	19	.37	170	3.30	850	16.50	2377	46.13	1737	33.71	5153	403	7.25	5556

- 1.) Data were derived from the Family Automated Case Tracking System (FACTS).
- 2.) Figures are based on the date adjudications were entered in Court.
- 3.) Figures reflect the age of juveniles at the time of disposition.
- 4.) These figures do NOT include any Violation of Probation (VOP) offenses.

RUNDATE.: 04/12/17  
 PROGRAM.: TABLE3U  
 REQUESTOR: Jeanette Ford  
 REQ. CNTY: ATL  
 (PART D\Y2K)

RACE of Juveniles by County  
 Race Breakdown for Juveniles with Adjudicated Delinquent Cases by County  
 For the period between January 1, 2015 - December 31, 2015

County	White	%	Black	%	Hspnc	%	Asian	%	Amer.	%	Alskn	%	Other	%	Total	Not	%	Grand
							PcIsl		Indn.		Natve					Indctd		Total
Atlantic	48	22.54	120	56.34	40	18.78	2	.94	0	.00	0	.00	3	1.41	213	0	.00	213
Bergen	181	41.42	107	24.49	130	29.75	15	3.43	1	.23	0	.00	3	.69	437	0	.00	437
Burlington	85	30.04	176	62.19	17	6.01	1	.35	0	.00	0	.00	4	1.41	283	0	.00	283
Camden	133	25.63	297	57.23	81	15.61	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	8	1.54	519	0	.00	519
Cape May	77	69.37	20	18.02	11	9.91	1	.90	1	.90	0	.00	1	.90	111	0	.00	111
Cumberland	43	21.94	80	40.82	66	33.67	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	7	3.57	196	0	.00	196
Essex	27	4.29	524	83.17	73	11.59	3	.48	0	.00	2	.32	1	.16	630	0	.00	630
Gloucester	108	54.82	80	40.61	2	1.02	3	1.52	1	.51	0	.00	3	1.52	197	0	.00	197
Hudson	17	5.38	160	50.63	121	38.29	16	5.06	0	.00	0	.00	2	.63	316	0	.00	316
Hunterdon	22	88.00	2	8.00	0	.00	1	4.00	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	25	2	7.41	27
Mercer	70	20.47	234	68.42	34	9.94	1	.29	0	.00	0	.00	3	.88	342	3	.87	345
Middlesex	145	33.18	153	35.01	114	26.09	21	4.81	0	.00	0	.00	4	.92	437	3	.68	440
Monmouth	148	39.15	179	47.35	47	12.43	4	1.06	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	378	0	.00	378
Morris	106	65.84	25	15.53	27	16.77	3	1.86	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	161	4	2.42	165
Ocean	154	66.09	45	19.31	32	13.73	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	2	.86	233	1	.43	234
Passaic	129	23.98	184	34.20	219	40.71	2	.37	0	.00	0	.00	4	.74	538	1	.19	539
Salem	28	37.84	38	51.35	7	9.46	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	1	1.35	74	1	1.33	75
Somerset	20	35.09	21	36.84	15	26.32	1	1.75	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	57	0	.00	57
Sussex	25	80.65	5	16.13	1	3.23	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	31	0	.00	31
Union	60	19.23	191	61.22	54	17.31	3	.96	0	.00	0	.00	4	1.28	312	0	.00	312
Warren	37	74.00	10	20.00	3	6.00	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	50	1	1.96	51
TOTAL	1663	30.02	2651	47.85	1094	19.75	77	1.39	3	.05	2	.04	50	.90	5540	16	.29	5556

1.) Data were derived from the Family Automated Case Tracking System (FACTS).  
 2.) Figures are based on the date adjudications were entered in Court.  
 3.) These figures do NOT include any Violation of Probation (VOP) offenses.



2015

PAGE 1

RUNDATE.: 04/12/17  
 PROGRAM.: TABLE3U  
 REQUESTOR: Jeanette Ford  
 REQ. CNTY: ATL  
 (PART E\Y2K)

Gender of Juveniles by County  
 Gender Breakdown for Juveniles with Adjudicated Delinquent Cases by County  
 For the period between January 1, 2015 - December 31, 2015

County	Males	%	Females	%	Total	Not Indicated	%	Total Number of Juveniles
Atlantic	169	79.34	44	20.66	213	0	.00	213
Bergen	354	81.01	83	18.99	437	0	.00	437
Burlington	226	79.86	57	20.14	283	0	.00	283
Camden	432	83.24	87	16.76	519	0	.00	519
Cape May	95	85.59	16	14.41	111	0	.00	111
Cumberland	151	77.04	45	22.96	196	0	.00	196
Essex	525	83.33	105	16.67	630	0	.00	630
Gloucester	156	79.19	41	20.81	197	0	.00	197
Hudson	270	85.44	46	14.56	316	0	.00	316
Hunterdon	14	51.85	13	48.15	27	0	.00	27
Mercer	265	76.81	80	23.19	345	0	.00	345
Middlesex	353	80.23	87	19.77	440	0	.00	440
Monmouth	287	75.93	91	24.07	378	0	.00	378
Morris	144	87.27	21	12.73	165	0	.00	165
Ocean	173	73.93	61	26.07	234	0	.00	234
Passaic	437	81.08	102	18.92	539	0	.00	539
Salem	51	68.00	24	32.00	75	0	.00	75
Somerset	48	84.21	9	15.79	57	0	.00	57
Sussex	26	83.87	5	16.13	31	0	.00	31
Union	245	78.53	67	21.47	312	0	.00	312
Warren	41	80.39	10	19.61	51	0	.00	51
TOTAL	4,462	80.31	1,094	19.69	5556	0	.00	5,556

- 1.) Data were derived from the Family Automated Case Tracking System (FACTS).
- 2.) Figures are based on the date adjudications were entered in Court.
- 3.) These figures do NOT include any Violation of Probation (VOP) offenses.

### Summary of Selections

---

Report Type: Adjudicated  
County/Vicinage: Statewide  
Township: All Townships  
Statute Group: All Statutes

Dispositions: All Dispositions  
Age: WHERE AGE IS-FROM 10 TO 17  
Gender: ALL  
Race: All  
Time Period: Calendar Year 2016

Transfers are set to: exclude  
VOP's are set to: exclude

Gender values: M-Male, F-Female, U-Unknown or not indicated

Race values: 1-Caucasion, 2-Black, 3-Hispanic, 4-Asian/Oriental, 5-Amer Indian, 6-Other,  
7-Unknown, 8-Alaskan Native, 9-Amer Ind,Eski,Aleu

Use the Page Down/Up buttons to scroll to report sections

(PART A)

Adjudicated (per Case Status Date)

County	No. of Juveniles	No. of Cases	No. of Offenses	Average No. of Offenses per Juvenile	Average No. of Offenses per Cases	Average No. of Cases per Juvenile
Atlantic	255	436	1,129	4.43	2.59	1.71
Bergen	549	721	1,562	2.85	2.17	1.31
Burlington	364	546	1,134	3.12	2.08	1.50
Camden	823	1,238	2,451	2.98	1.98	1.50
Cape May	187	238	552	2.95	2.32	1.27
Cumberland	269	414	754	2.80	1.82	1.54
Essex	853	1,302	3,078	3.61	2.36	1.53
Gloucester	227	409	868	3.82	2.12	1.80
Hudson	406	669	1,652	4.07	2.47	1.65
Hunterdon	35	38	87	2.49	2.29	1.09
Mercer	416	710	1,634	3.93	2.30	1.71
Middlesex	575	968	2,271	3.95	2.35	1.68
Monmouth	440	673	1,449	3.29	2.15	1.53
Morris	165	221	596	3.61	2.70	1.34
Ocean	293	446	934	3.19	2.09	1.52
Passaic	657	885	2,082	3.17	2.35	1.35
Salem	120	188	339	2.83	1.80	1.57
Somerset	68	93	228	3.35	2.45	1.37
Sussex	50	78	189	3.78	2.42	1.56
Union	320	430	971	3.03	2.26	1.34
Warren	76	100	194	2.55	1.94	1.32
TOTAL	7,148	10,803	24,154	3.38	2.24	1.51

- 1.) Data were derived from the Family Automated Case Tracking System (FACTS).
- 2.) Figures were based on the Case Status Dates of Juvenile Delinquency compliants
- 3.) These figures exclude Violation of Probation offenses
- 4.) These figures exclude Transferred in Cases

(PART C1)

Age of Juveniles by County

County	6 - 10		11 - 12		13 - 14		15 - 16		17 only		Total	5 and under		18 and over		Out of Range		Grand Total
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%		#	%	#	%	#	%	
Atlantic	1	.39	11	4.31	43	16.86	114	44.71	86	33.73	255	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	255
Bergen	1	.18	17	3.10	55	10.02	232	42.26	244	44.44	549	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	549
Burlington	1	.27	14	3.85	53	14.56	138	37.91	158	43.41	364	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	364
Camden	6	.73	33	4.01	140	17.01	349	42.41	295	35.84	823	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	823
Cape May	0	.00	4	2.14	23	12.30	86	45.99	74	39.57	187	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	187
Cumberland	1	.37	12	4.46	49	18.22	119	44.24	88	32.71	269	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	269
Essex	1	.12	34	3.99	146	17.12	377	44.20	295	34.58	853	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	853
Gloucester	0	.00	12	5.29	56	24.67	89	39.21	70	30.84	227	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	227
Hudson	2	.49	22	5.42	74	18.23	183	45.07	125	30.79	406	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	406
Hunterdon	0	.00	0	.00	6	17.14	10	28.57	19	54.29	35	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	35
Mercer	2	.48	18	4.33	90	21.63	162	38.94	144	34.62	416	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	416
Middlesex	2	.35	30	5.22	111	19.30	236	41.04	196	34.09	575	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	575
Monmouth	1	.23	20	4.55	77	17.50	193	43.86	149	33.86	440	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	440
Morris	0	.00	2	1.21	21	12.73	65	39.39	77	46.67	165	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	165
Ocean	1	.34	6	2.05	44	15.02	123	41.98	119	40.61	293	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	293
Passaic	3	.46	31	4.72	113	17.20	293	44.60	217	33.03	657	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	657
Salem	0	.00	6	5.00	24	20.00	54	45.00	36	30.00	120	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	120
Somerset	0	.00	4	5.88	7	10.29	29	42.65	28	41.18	68	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	68
Sussex	0	.00	2	4.00	14	28.00	18	36.00	16	32.00	50	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	50
Union	0	.00	8	2.50	47	14.69	151	47.19	114	35.63	320	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	320
Warren	0	.00	1	1.32	8	10.53	32	42.11	35	46.05	76	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	76
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>.31</b>	<b>287</b>	<b>4.02</b>	<b>1201</b>	<b>16.80</b>	<b>3053</b>	<b>42.71</b>	<b>2585</b>	<b>36.16</b>	<b>7148</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.00</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.00</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.00</b>	<b>7148</b>

- 1.) Data were derived from the Family Automated Case Tracking System (FACTS).
- 2.) Figures were based on the Case Status Dates of Juvenile Delinquency compliants
- 3.) These figures exclude Violation of Probation offenses
- 4.) These figures exclude Transferred in Cases

(PART D)

Race/Ethnicity of Juveniles by County

County	White	% Black	% Hspnc	Asian % PcIsl	Amer. % Indn.	% Other	Alskn % Natve	% Total	Not Indctd	Grand % Total								
Atlantic	68	26.67	148	58.04	35	13.73	0	.00	0	.00	4	1.57	0	.00	255	0	.00	255
Bergen	252	46.49	131	24.17	135	24.91	17	3.14	0	.00	7	1.29	0	.00	542	7	1.28	549
Burlington	138	38.02	193	53.17	28	7.71	1	.28	1	.28	2	.55	0	.00	363	1	.27	364
Camden	247	30.01	431	52.37	127	15.43	8	.97	1	.12	9	1.09	0	.00	823	0	.00	823
Cape May	137	73.26	39	20.86	8	4.28	0	.00	0	.00	3	1.60	0	.00	187	0	.00	187
Cumberland	57	21.19	122	45.35	83	30.86	0	.00	0	.00	7	2.60	0	.00	269	0	.00	269
Essex	73	8.58	690	81.08	75	8.81	5	.59	0	.00	8	.94	0	.00	851	2	.23	853
Gloucester	113	49.78	98	43.17	12	5.29	1	.44	0	.00	3	1.32	0	.00	227	0	.00	227
Hudson	28	6.90	191	47.04	171	42.12	15	3.69	0	.00	1	.25	0	.00	406	0	.00	406
Hunterdon	31	88.57	2	5.71	2	5.71	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	35	0	.00	35
Mercer	83	20.10	283	68.52	39	9.44	3	.73	0	.00	5	1.21	0	.00	413	3	.72	416
Middlesex	184	32.06	202	35.19	167	29.09	17	2.96	0	.00	4	.70	0	.00	574	1	.17	575
Monmouth	212	48.29	171	38.95	51	11.62	2	.46	0	.00	3	.68	0	.00	439	1	.23	440
Morris	99	60.00	20	12.12	42	25.45	1	.61	0	.00	3	1.82	0	.00	165	0	.00	165
Ocean	193	66.32	68	23.37	28	9.62	0	.00	0	.00	2	.69	0	.00	291	2	.68	293
Passaic	160	24.43	244	37.25	238	36.34	3	.46	0	.00	9	1.37	1	.15	655	2	.30	657
Salem	37	30.83	66	55.00	16	13.33	0	.00	0	.00	1	.83	0	.00	120	0	.00	120
Somerset	22	32.35	30	44.12	13	19.12	2	2.94	0	.00	1	1.47	0	.00	68	0	.00	68
Sussex	44	88.00	6	12.00	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	50	0	.00	50
Union	60	18.75	174	54.38	80	25.00	4	1.25	0	.00	2	.63	0	.00	320	0	.00	320
Warren	48	64.00	15	20.00	10	13.33	1	1.33	0	.00	1	1.33	0	.00	75	1	1.32	76
TOTAL	2286	32.07	3324	46.63	1360	19.08	80	1.12	2	.03	75	1.05	1	.01	7128	20	.28	7148

- 1.) Data were derived from the Family Automated Case Tracking System (FACTS).
- 2.) Figures were based on the Case Status Dates of Juvenile Delinquency compliants
- 3.) These figures exclude Violation of Probation offenses
- 4.) These figures exclude Transferred in Cases

(PART E1)

FJ Statistical Processing  
 Juveniles, Cases and Offenses by County

Userid: JUJEF10  
 Run Date: 04/11/17  
 Run Time: 09.11.13  
 Program: fj\_0x

Gender of Juveniles by County

County	Males	%	Females	%	Total	Not Indicated	%	Total Number of Juveniles
Atlantic	203	79.61	52	20.39	255	0	.00	255
Bergen	458	83.42	91	16.58	549	0	.00	549
Burlington	269	73.90	95	26.10	364	0	.00	364
Camden	610	74.12	213	25.88	823	0	.00	823
Cape May	143	76.47	44	23.53	187	0	.00	187
Cumberland	203	75.46	66	24.54	269	0	.00	269
Essex	633	74.21	220	25.79	853	0	.00	853
Gloucester	164	72.25	63	27.75	227	0	.00	227
Hudson	339	83.50	67	16.50	406	0	.00	406
Hunterdon	28	80.00	7	20.00	35	0	.00	35
Mercer	339	81.49	77	18.51	416	0	.00	416
Middlesex	454	78.96	121	21.04	575	0	.00	575
Monmouth	331	75.23	109	24.77	440	0	.00	440
Morris	126	76.36	39	23.64	165	0	.00	165
Ocean	222	75.77	71	24.23	293	0	.00	293
Passaic	498	75.80	159	24.20	657	0	.00	657
Salem	89	74.17	31	25.83	120	0	.00	120
Somerset	57	83.82	11	16.18	68	0	.00	68
Sussex	42	84.00	8	16.00	50	0	.00	50
Union	260	81.25	60	18.75	320	0	.00	320
Warren	58	76.32	18	23.68	76	0	.00	76
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>5,526</b>	<b>77.31</b>	<b>1,622</b>	<b>22.69</b>	<b>7148</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.00</b>	<b>7148</b>

- 1.) Data were derived from the Family Automated Case Tracking System (FACTS).
- 2.) Figures were based on the Case Status Dates of Juvenile Delinquency compliants
- 3.) These figures exclude Violation of Probation offenses
- 4.) These figures exclude Transferred in Cases

2016

PAGE 1

RUNDATE.: 04/12/17  
 PROGRAM.: TABLE3U  
 REQUESTOR: Jeanette Ford  
 REQ. CNTY: ATL  
 (PART A/Y2K)

County	Juveniles, Cases and Offenses by County Adjudicated Delinquent					
	For the period between January 1, 2016 - December 31, 2016					
	Number of Adjudicated Juveniles	Number of Adjudicated Cases	Number of Adjudicated Offenses	Average No. of Offenses per Juvenile	Average No. of Offenses per Case	Average No. of Cases per Juvenile
Atlantic	231	385	905	3.9	2.4	1.7
Bergen	412	561	1,042	2.5	1.9	1.4
Burlington	268	351	669	2.5	1.9	1.3
Camden	508	824	1,570	3.1	1.9	1.6
Cape May	93	117	141	1.5	1.2	1.3
Cumberland	200	266	480	2.4	1.8	1.3
Essex	394	532	1,555	3.9	2.9	1.4
Gloucester	191	288	464	2.4	1.6	1.5
Hudson	309	440	542	1.8	1.2	1.4
Hunterdon	34	37	46	1.4	1.2	1.1
Mercer	329	463	890	2.7	1.9	1.4
Middlesex	512	740	1,297	2.5	1.8	1.4
Monmouth	325	476	573	1.8	1.2	1.5
Morris	162	217	559	3.5	2.6	1.3
Ocean	269	370	513	1.9	1.4	1.4
Passaic	585	760	1,698	2.9	2.2	1.3
Salem	65	103	165	2.5	1.6	1.6
Somerset	68	89	201	3.0	2.3	1.3
Sussex	44	70	170	3.9	2.4	1.6
Union	310	417	674	2.2	1.6	1.3
Warren	75	98	133	1.8	1.4	1.3
TOTAL	5,384	7,604	14,287	2.7	1.9	1.4

- 1.) Data were derived from the Family Automated Case Tracking System (FACTS).
- 2.) Figures are based on the date adjudications were entered in Court.
- 3.) These figures do NOT include any Violation of Probation (VOP) offenses.

2016

PAGE 1

RUNDATE..: 04/12/17  
 PROGRAM..: TABLE3U  
 REQUESTOR: Jeanette Ford  
 REQ. CNTY: ATL  
 (PART C1/Y2K)

AGE of Juveniles by County  
 Age Breakdown for Juveniles with Adjudicated Delinquent Cases by County  
 For the period between January 1, 2016 - December 31, 2016

County	6-10	%	11-12	%	13-14	%	15-16	%	17	%	Total	Out of Range	%	Grand Total
Atlantic	1	.46	10	4.59	42	19.27	101	46.33	64	29.36	218	13	5.63	231
Bergen	1	.26	10	2.57	44	11.31	166	42.67	168	43.19	389	23	5.58	412
Burlington	1	.39	6	2.32	44	16.99	103	39.77	105	40.54	259	9	3.36	268
Camden	7	1.48	9	1.90	84	17.76	209	44.19	164	34.67	473	35	6.89	508
Cape May	0	.00	1	1.20	12	14.46	43	51.81	27	32.53	83	10	10.75	93
Cumberland	1	.56	6	3.33	36	20.00	90	50.00	47	26.11	180	20	10.00	200
Essex	0	.00	4	1.05	46	12.07	194	50.92	137	35.96	381	13	3.30	394
Gloucester	0	.00	8	4.35	45	24.46	72	39.13	59	32.07	184	7	3.66	191
Hudson	1	.34	10	3.40	56	19.05	125	42.52	102	34.69	294	15	4.85	309
Hunterdon	0	.00	0	.00	6	23.08	10	38.46	10	38.46	26	8	23.53	34
Mercer	2	.68	7	2.40	70	23.97	119	40.75	94	32.19	292	37	11.25	329
Middlesex	1	.22	16	3.46	92	19.87	198	42.76	156	33.69	463	49	9.57	512
Monmouth	1	.36	11	3.91	52	18.51	116	41.28	101	35.94	281	44	13.54	325
Morris	0	.00	1	.70	16	11.27	65	45.77	60	42.25	142	20	12.35	162
Ocean	1	.44	5	2.22	24	10.67	100	44.44	95	42.22	225	44	16.36	269
Passaic	5	.90	18	3.25	86	15.55	253	45.75	191	34.54	553	32	5.47	585
Salem	0	.00	5	8.47	14	23.73	23	38.98	17	28.81	59	6	9.23	65
Somerset	0	.00	4	6.56	8	13.11	27	44.26	22	36.07	61	7	10.29	68
Sussex	0	.00	1	2.33	12	27.91	17	39.53	13	30.23	43	1	2.27	44
Union	0	.00	6	2.16	42	15.11	134	48.20	96	34.53	278	32	10.32	310
Warren	0	.00	1	1.54	7	10.77	27	41.54	30	46.15	65	10	13.33	75
TOTAL	22	.44	139	2.81	838	16.93	2192	44.29	1758	35.52	4949	435	8.08	5384

1.) Data were derived from the Family Automated Case Tracking System (FACTS).  
 2.) Figures are based on the date adjudications were entered in Court.  
 3.) Figures reflect the age of juveniles at the time of disposition.  
 4.) These figures do NOT include any Violation of Probation (VOP) offenses.



2016

PAGE 1

RUNDATE... 04/12/17  
 PROGRAM... TABLE3U  
 REQUESTOR: Jeanette Ford  
 REQ. CNTY: ATL  
 (PART D\Y2K)

RACE of Juveniles by County  
 Race Breakdown for Juveniles with Adjudicated Delinquent Cases by County  
 For the period between January 1, 2016 - December 31, 2016

County	White	%	Black	%	Hspnc	%	Asian	%	Amer. Indn.	%	Alskn Native	%	Other	%	Total	Not Indctd	%	Grand Total
Atlantic	58	25.11	136	58.87	33	14.29	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	4	1.73	231	0	.00	231
Bergen	172	42.26	108	26.54	109	26.78	14	3.44	0	.00	0	.00	4	.98	407	5	1.21	412
Burlington	102	38.06	142	52.99	20	7.46	1	.37	1	.37	0	.00	2	.75	268	0	.00	268
Camden	154	30.31	275	54.13	71	13.98	1	.20	0	.00	0	.00	7	1.38	508	0	.00	508
Cape May	63	67.74	22	23.66	5	5.38	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	3	3.23	93	0	.00	93
Cumberland	46	23.00	89	44.50	62	31.00	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	3	1.50	200	0	.00	200
Essex	27	6.87	329	83.72	28	7.12	4	1.02	0	.00	0	.00	5	1.27	393	1	.25	394
Gloucester	98	51.31	78	40.84	11	5.76	1	.52	0	.00	0	.00	3	1.57	191	0	.00	191
Hudson	17	5.50	152	49.19	127	41.10	12	3.88	0	.00	0	.00	1	.32	309	0	.00	309
Hunterdon	30	88.24	2	5.88	2	5.88	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	34	0	.00	34
Mercer	73	22.32	222	67.89	26	7.95	2	.61	0	.00	0	.00	4	1.22	327	2	.61	329
Middlesex	170	33.27	166	32.49	157	30.72	15	2.94	0	.00	0	.00	3	.59	511	1	.20	512
Monmouth	138	42.59	144	44.44	40	12.35	1	.31	0	.00	0	.00	1	.31	324	1	.31	325
Morris	98	60.49	18	11.11	42	25.93	1	.62	0	.00	0	.00	3	1.85	162	0	.00	162
Ocean	177	66.29	64	23.97	24	8.99	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	2	.75	267	2	.74	269
Passaic	144	24.70	224	38.42	205	35.16	2	.34	0	.00	1	.17	7	1.20	583	2	.34	585
Salem	21	32.31	32	49.23	11	16.92	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	1	1.54	65	0	.00	65
Somerset	22	32.35	30	44.12	13	19.12	2	2.94	0	.00	0	.00	1	1.47	68	0	.00	68
Sussex	38	86.36	6	13.64	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	44	0	.00	44
Union	58	18.71	169	54.52	77	24.84	4	1.29	0	.00	0	.00	2	.65	310	0	.00	310
Warren	48	64.86	15	20.27	9	12.16	1	1.35	0	.00	0	.00	1	1.35	74	1	1.33	75
TOTAL	1754	32.67	2423	45.13	1072	19.97	61	1.14	1	.02	1	.02	57	1.06	5369	15	.28	5384

- 1.) Data were derived from the Family Automated Case Tracking System (FACTS).
- 2.) Figures are based on the date adjudications were entered in Court.
- 3.) These figures do NOT include any Violation of Probation (VOP) offenses.

RUNDATE..: 04/12/17  
 PROGRAM..: TABLE3U  
 REQUESTOR: Jeanette Ford  
 REQ. CNTY: ATL  
 (PART E\Y2K)

Gender of Juveniles by County  
 Gender Breakdown for Juveniles with Adjudicated Delinquent Cases by County  
 For the period between January 1, 2016 - December 31, 2016

County	Males	%	Females	%	Total	Not Indicated	%	Total Number of Juveniles
Atlantic	183	79.22	48	20.78	231	0	.00	231
Bergen	351	85.19	61	14.81	412	0	.00	412
Burlington	205	76.49	63	23.51	268	0	.00	268
Camden	416	81.89	92	18.11	508	0	.00	508
Cape May	71	76.34	22	23.66	93	0	.00	93
Cumberland	159	79.50	41	20.50	200	0	.00	200
Essex	328	83.25	66	16.75	394	0	.00	394
Gloucester	139	72.77	52	27.23	191	0	.00	191
Hudson	262	84.79	47	15.21	309	0	.00	309
Hunterdon	28	82.35	6	17.65	34	0	.00	34
Mercer	270	82.07	59	17.93	329	0	.00	329
Middlesex	415	81.05	97	18.95	512	0	.00	512
Monmouth	252	77.54	73	22.46	325	0	.00	325
Morris	124	76.54	38	23.46	162	0	.00	162
Ocean	207	76.95	62	23.05	269	0	.00	269
Passaic	450	76.92	135	23.08	585	0	.00	585
Salem	52	80.00	13	20.00	65	0	.00	65
Somerset	57	83.82	11	16.18	68	0	.00	68
Sussex	36	81.82	8	18.18	44	0	.00	44
Union	253	81.61	57	18.39	310	0	.00	310
Warren	57	76.00	18	24.00	75	0	.00	75
TOTAL	4,315	80.14	1,069	19.86	5384	0	.00	5,384

1.) Data were derived from the Family Automated Case Tracking System (FACTS).  
 2.) Figures are based on the date adjudications were entered in Court.  
 3.) These figures do NOT include any Violation of Probation (VOP) offenses.

RUNDATE.: 06/20/17  
 PROGRAM.: TABLE3U  
 REQUESTOR: Keith Vine  
 REQ. CNTY: MID  
 (PART B3/Y2K)

Lead Dispositions for ADJUDICATED DELINQ  
 For the period between January 1, 2015  
 Lead Disposition Categories (On

County	01	02	03	04	06	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	18	19	20	21
Atlantic	21	0	7	0	10	52	58	0	90	0	5	40	0	16	7	1
Bergen	12	7	0	0	0	0	0	3	225	0	17	35	1	247	2	0
Burlington	7	0	1	0	7	5	0	3	214	0	9	18	7	57	12	1
Camden	72	0	0	4	0	0	131	89	402	0	1	2	0	72	9	5
Cape May	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	75	0	9	0	7	34	19	0
Cumberland	15	0	0	0	0	0	7	4	124	0	9	33	9	51	7	0
Essex	128	0	1	0	0	0	9	0	271	0	24	2	0	353	22	9
Gloucester	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	115	0	17	21	2	72	15	0
Hudson	22	1	0	1	31	45	0	0	199	0	2	6	8	100	18	8
Hunterdon	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	0	0	17	0	4	0	0
Mercer	29	0	0	0	2	0	0	25	293	0	8	42	0	27	9	4
Middlesex	6	14	3	0	0	0	0	0	392	1	12	1	3	123	20	18
Monmouth	5	0	0	0	2	5	0	1	349	0	3	1	5	151	5	0
Morris	7	0	0	0	5	11	0	7	79	0	8	58	1	17	0	0
Ocean	6	42	0	0	0	0	20	0	170	0	13	47	4	27	2	0
Passaic	28	0	0	0	7	7	48	30	358	0	5	13	2	142	20	0
Salem	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	35	0	7	20	0	27	1	0
Somerset	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	59	0	0	9	0	9	3	0
Sussex	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	29	0	3	2	0	8	1	0
Union	17	0	13	0	52	2	0	0	189	0	2	31	1	31	26	0
Warren	0	0	0	1	5	2	0	0	50	0	5	15	0	1	0	0
TOTAL	384	64	25	6	122	129	277	170	3733	1	159	413	50	1569	198	46

- |                            |                              |                             |  |
|----------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| 1) JJC Incarceration       | 7) Non-Res. Program JJC      | 13) Probation               | 19) Formal Continuance                         |
| 2) Short-Term Commitment   | 8) DYFS Non-Residential      | 14) Probation (Suspended)   | 20) Other Conditional                          |
| 3) Residential Program JJC | 9) Div. of Mntl Retard (DMR) | 15) Restitution             | 21) Continue with Prior Disposition            |
| 4) DYFS Residential        | 10) Other Remedial Non-Res.  | 16) Community Service       | 22) Other                                      |
| 5) DMH-H/DHS               | 11) DYFS (Unspecified)       | 17) Res/Non-Res (Suspended) | 23) Suspended (Conditional)                    |
| 6) Other Residential       | 12) JJC Incarceration (Susp) | 18) Fine                    | * Categories above 23 are NOT considered valid |

UENT Cases by County  
 - December 31, 2015  
 (e per Case)

23	32	33	35	41	43	44	50	51	60	67	70	86	99	Cases
2	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	2	2	318
0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	3	0	3	3	561
1	7	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	2	6	1	20	2	383
0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	789
0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	159
0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	6	0	268
0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	7	0	838
0	2	0	4	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	1	1	1	256
0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	9	0	454
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	37
0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	444
0	5	1	3	0	0	0	2	0	2	3	1	7	0	617
0	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	2	0	2	5	7	5	556
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	193
0	2	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	8	2	346
2	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	2	0	0	2	5	1	676
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	94
0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	90
0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	48
0	1	0	2	1	0	0	12	0	0	0	3	27	5	415
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	79
5	34	1	14	2	1	5	28	7	5	17	21	112	23	7621

**Probationer - Offense by Type and County - Year 2012**

		Drug	Persons	Property	Public Order	VOP	Weapons	Total
<b>ATLANTIC</b>	0 0%	2 5%	12 34%	6 17%	2 5%	11 31%	2 5%	35 100%
<b>BERGEN</b>	0 0%	0 0%	2 50%	1 25%	0 0%	1 25%	0 0%	4 100%
<b>BURLINGTON</b>	0 0%	1 5%	6 33%	2 11%	2 11%	5 27%	2 11%	18 100%
<b>CAMDEN</b>	0 0%	17 9%	32 17%	43 23%	34 18%	52 27%	8 4%	186 100%
<b>CAPE MAY</b>	0 0%	0 0%	1 50%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 50%	2 100%
<b>CUMBERLAND</b>	0 0%	0 0%	2 18%	1 9%	3 27%	4 36%	1 9%	11 100%
<b>ESSEX</b>	0 0%	3 7%	14 35%	4 10%	3 7%	12 30%	4 10%	40 100%
<b>GLOUCESTER</b>	0 0%	2 18%	1 9%	2 18%	0 0%	5 45%	1 9%	11 100%
<b>HUDSON</b>	0 0%	1 5%	8 42%	4 21%	2 10%	4 21%	0 0%	19 100%
<b>MERCER</b>	0 0%	0 0%	1 5%	10 52%	0 0%	8 42%	0 0%	19 100%
<b>MIDDLESEX</b>	0 0%	3 8%	6 16%	10 27%	3 8%	13 35%	2 5%	37 100%
<b>MONMOUTH</b>	0 0%	0 0%	1 11%	2 22%	1 11%	2 22%	3 33%	9 100%
<b>MORRIS</b>	0 0%	3 60%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	2 40%	0 0%	5 100%
<b>OCEAN</b>	0 0%	1 14%	4 57%	1 14%	0 0%	1 14%	0 0%	7 100%
<b>PASSAIC</b>	0 0%	5 20%	7 29%	3 12%	3 12%	5 20%	1 4%	24 100%
<b>SALEM</b>	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	9 42%	4 19%	7 33%	1 4%	21 100%
<b>SOMERSET</b>	0 0%	1 16%	1 16%	1 16%	1 16%	2 33%	0 0%	6 100%
<b>UNION</b>	1 0%	14 6%	40 18%	90 41%	25 11%	36 16%	10 4%	216 100%
<b>Total</b>	1 0%	53 7%	138 20%	189 28%	83 12%	170 25%	36 5%	670 100%

**Probationer - Offense by Type and County - Year 2015**

	Drug	Persons	Property	Public Order	VOP	Weapons	Total
<b>ATLANTIC</b>	0 0%	0 0%	1 12%	1 12%	1 12%	5 62%	8 100%
<b>BERGEN</b>	0 0%	1 50%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 50%	2 100%
<b>BURLINGTON</b>	0 0%	4 22%	8 44%	2 11%	3 16%	1 5%	18 100%
<b>CAMDEN</b>	11 10%	26 25%	18 17%	14 13%	29 27%	6 5%	104 100%
<b>CUMBERLAND</b>	0 0%	7 46%	2 13%	0 0%	3 20%	3 20%	15 100%
<b>ESSEX</b>	3 4%	22 33%	7 10%	5 7%	22 33%	7 10%	66 100%
<b>GLOUCESTER</b>	0 0%	3 37%	3 37%	2 25%	0 0%	0 0%	8 100%
<b>HUDSON</b>	2 15%	6 46%	0 0%	0 0%	3 23%	2 15%	13 100%
<b>MERCER</b>	2 8%	4 17%	2 8%	4 17%	10 43%	1 4%	23 100%
<b>MIDDLESEX</b>	1 9%	1 9%	4 36%	1 9%	3 27%	1 9%	11 100%
<b>MONMOUTH</b>	2 12%	5 31%	1 6%	4 25%	1 6%	3 18%	16 100%
<b>MORRIS</b>	0 0%	2 66%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 33%	3 100%
<b>OCEAN</b>	0 0%	2 25%	2 25%	1 12%	3 37%	0 0%	8 100%
<b>SOMERSET</b>	4 28%	2 14%	4 28%	0 0%	1 7%	3 21%	14 100%
<b>UNION</b>	7 14%	13 27%	16 33%	3 6%	8 16%	1 2%	48 100%
<b>WARREN</b>	0 0%	1 50%	0 0%	0 0%	1 50%	0 0%	2 100%
<b>Total</b>	32 8%	99 27%	68 18%	37 10%	88 24%	35 9%	359 100%

**Probationer - Offense by Type and County - Year 2016**

		Drug	Persons	Property	Public Order	VOP	Weapons	Total
<b>BERGEN</b>	0 0%	1 6%	1 6%	4 25%	3 18%	5 31%	2 12%	16 100%
<b>BURLINGTON</b>	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 25%	0 0%	2 50%	1 25%	4 100%
<b>CAMDEN</b>	0 0%	7 6%	31 27%	10 9%	17 15%	33 29%	13 11%	111 100%
<b>CUMBERLAND</b>	0 0%	0 0%	3 13%	11 47%	2 8%	6 26%	1 4%	23 100%
<b>ESSEX</b>	0 0%	3 5%	14 27%	16 31%	0 0%	14 27%	4 7%	51 100%
<b>GLOUCESTER</b>	0 0%	0 0%	13 25%	14 27%	12 23%	10 19%	2 3%	51 100%
<b>HUDSON</b>	0 0%	0 0%	6 46%	0 0%	2 15%	2 15%	3 23%	13 100%
<b>MERCER</b>	4 16%	1 4%	4 16%	4 16%	2 8%	5 20%	4 16%	24 100%
<b>MIDDLESEX</b>	0 0%	2 9%	6 27%	3 13%	5 22%	5 22%	1 4%	22 100%
<b>MONMOUTH</b>	0 0%	0 0%	1 50%	0 0%	0 0%	1 50%	0 0%	2 100%
<b>OCEAN</b>	0 0%	2 18%	0 0%	3 27%	2 18%	4 36%	0 0%	11 100%
<b>PASSAIC</b>	0 0%	4 33%	1 8%	0 0%	2 16%	5 41%	0 0%	12 100%
<b>SALEM</b>	0 0%	2 50%	0 0%	1 25%	0 0%	1 25%	0 0%	4 100%
<b>UNION</b>	0 0%	3 7%	15 39%	6 15%	0 0%	8 21%	6 15%	38 100%
<b>Total</b>	4 1%	25 6%	95 24%	73 19%	47 12%	101 26%	37 9%	382 100%

# JAMS Planning Report

## Average Age by Program

Disposition Report From 1/1/2015 To 12/31/2015

<b>ATLANTIC</b>	
<u>Program Name</u>	<u>Average Age</u>
HEDS-HOME ELECTRONIC DETENTION SYSTEM	16
<b>BERGEN</b>	
<u>Program Name</u>	<u>Average Age</u>
ADOLESCENT DIAGNOSTIC UNIT	16
ADOLESCENT SUBSTANCE ABUSE PROGRAM (ASAP)	17
ANGER MANAGEMENT	17
<b>BURLINGTON</b>	
<u>Program Name</u>	<u>Average Age</u>
ANGER MANAGEMENT	17
BURLINGTON PROBATION COMMUNITY TRANSITION	18
ENHANCED PROBATION SUPERVISION PROGRAM	16
SAFE YOUTHS PROGRAM	16
Sex Offender Treatment and Education	18
SOAR-ICM	17
<b>CAMDEN</b>	
<u>Program Name</u>	<u>Average Age</u>
CLIENT SPECIFIC SERVICES - PRE-DISPO/AFTERCARE	17
Gender Specific Disposition	17
Intensive Supervision/In-Home Services with MST Services	16
PROBATION ALTERNATIVE FOR SEXUAL OFFENDERS (PASO)	16
STEP	18
<b>CAPE MAY</b>	
<u>Program Name</u>	<u>Average Age</u>
Probation Pathways Program	17
<b>CUMBERLAND</b>	
<u>Program Name</u>	<u>Average Age</u>
"B" R.E.A.L. Individual Mentoring / Disposition	16
Cognitive Skills Program	16
Connect Two / In-home Counseling - Disposition	16
Drug and Alcohol Services	17
Juvenile Anger Management	16
Sex Offenders Services Program	17



# JAMS Planning Report

## Average Age by Program

Disposition Report From 1/1/2015 To 12/31/2015

<b>ESSEX</b>	
<u>Program Name</u>	<u>Average Age</u>
Day Reporting Center	17
DISPOSITION OPTIONS (PIE PROGRAM)	17
MDT CONVERNORS-2	17
SEXUAL ABUSE TREATMENT	18
SUBSTANCE ABUSE EVALUATOR	17
<b>GLOUCESTER</b>	
<u>Program Name</u>	<u>Average Age</u>
ACE (Achieving Consistent Excellence)	17
PASO (Providing Adolescents with Second Opportunities)	16
Probation Accountability	16
Street Dreams Employment / Education Program	18
Substance Abuse Evaluation and Outpatient Treatment	17
<b>HUDSON</b>	
<u>Program Name</u>	<u>Average Age</u>
EVENING REPORTING CENTER	17
Project Impact	15
Youth Comprehensive Treatment (YCT) Program	17
<b>HUNTERDON</b>	
<u>Program Name</u>	<u>Average Age</u>
(Daytop) Community Alternative Dispositions	18
<b>MERCER</b>	
<u>Program Name</u>	<u>Average Age</u>
Adolescent IOP Services	17
Family Advocacy Program	16
Managing Aggression & Violence (MAV)	16
Mercer County Youth Advocate Programs' Life Skills Program	15
Milepost (Juvenile Sex Offender Treatment)	13
<b>MIDDLESEX</b>	
<u>Program Name</u>	<u>Average Age</u>
Alternative Disposition Services	16
Juvenile Sex Offender Counseling (JSO)	16

# JAMS Planning Report

## Average Age by Program

Disposition Report From 1/1/2015 To 12/31/2015

<b>MONMOUTH</b>	
<u>Program Name</u>	<u>Average Age</u>
Community Intervention Coaches	16
NEW HOPE FOUNDATION RESID. DRUG & ALCOHOL TREATME	17
PROBATION MULTI TREATMENT PROGRAM	17
PROBATION OFFENDER PROGRAM	17
<b>MORRIS</b>	
<u>Program Name</u>	<u>Average Age</u>
Comprehensive Adolescent Program	17
<b>OCEAN</b>	
<u>Program Name</u>	<u>Average Age</u>
In Home Counseling Services	18
Inpatient Substance Abuse	16
Out Patient Substance Abuse Treatment & Services	17
Service Learning	17
Sexual Abuse & Behavior Treatment Services	17
<b>PASSAIC</b>	
<u>Program Name</u>	<u>Average Age</u>
DRUG AND ALCOHOL EVALUATIONS	17
Graduated Sanctions	16
Juvenile Sexual Assessment and Treatment Program	17
PAARENTING SUPPPORT AND EDUCATINON	13
Total LifeStyle and Support Program	17
<b>SALEM</b>	
<u>Program Name</u>	<u>Average Age</u>
Behavior Modification Skills Based on a Cognitive Model	17
CONNECT TWO	17
Drug / Alcohol Evaluations	17
<b>SUSSEX</b>	
<u>Program Name</u>	<u>Average Age</u>
Comprehensive Adolescent Program	15
Outpatient Adolescent Substance Abuse Treatment Program	18
<b>UNION</b>	
<u>Program Name</u>	<u>Average Age</u>

# JAMS Planning Report

## Average Age by Program

Disposition Report From 1/1/2015 To 12/31/2015

Adolescent Outpatient Substance Abuse Services	19
COUNSELING SERVICES	17
OUT-PATIENT JUVENILE SEX OFFENDER PROGRAM	13
UNION COUNTY COMMUNITY OPPORTUNITY JUVENILE OFFEN	16
UNION COUNTY EDUCATIONAL ACHIEVEMENT CENTER	18

---

### WARREN

---

#### Program Name

#### Average Age

Supported Employment

17

# JAMS Planning Report

## Average Age by Program

Disposition Report From 1/1/2016 To 12/31/2016

<b>ATLANTIC</b>	
<u>Program Name</u>	<u>Average Age</u>
HEDS-HOME ELECTRONIC DETENTION SYSTEM	18
<b>BERGEN</b>	
<u>Program Name</u>	<u>Average Age</u>
ADOLESCENT DIAGNOSTIC UNIT	16
ADOLESCENT SUBSTANCE ABUSE PROGRAM (ASAP)	17
ANGER MANAGEMENT	17
FIRE PREVENTION	16
<b>BURLINGTON</b>	
<u>Program Name</u>	<u>Average Age</u>
ANGER MANAGEMENT	16
BEHAVIORAL MODIFICATION PROGRAM	17
BURLINGTON PROBATION COMMUNITY TRANSITION	19
Sex Offender Treatment and Education	18
<b>CAMDEN</b>	
<u>Program Name</u>	<u>Average Age</u>
CLIENT SPECIFIC SERVICES - PRE-DISPO/AFTERCARE	17
Gender Specific Disposition	17
Intensive Supervision/In-Home Services with MST Services	16
PROBATION ALTERNATIVE FOR SEXUAL OFFENDERS (PASO)	16
STEP	18
<b>CAPE MAY</b>	
<u>Program Name</u>	<u>Average Age</u>
Family Court Advocate	16
Girls Empowerment Program	17
Probation Pathways Program	17
<b>CUMBERLAND</b>	
<u>Program Name</u>	<u>Average Age</u>
"B" R.E.A.L. Individual Mentoring / Disposition	16
Client Specific Funds	17
Cognitive Skills Program	16
Connect Two / In-home Counseling - Disposition	16
Connect Two / In-home Counseling - Diversion	13
Drug and Alcohol Services	17

# JAMS Planning Report

## Average Age by Program

Disposition Report From 1/1/2016 To 12/31/2016

<b>Sex Offenders Services Program</b>		<b>17</b>
<b>ESSEX</b>		
<u>Program Name</u>		<u>Average Age</u>
Day Reporting Center		17
DISPOSITION OPTIONS (PIE PROGRAM)		17
Juvenile High Impact Supervision Caseload		17
MDT CONVERNORS-2		17
SEXUAL ABUSE TREATMENT		19
SUBSTANCE ABUSE EVALUATOR		17
<b>GLOUCESTER</b>		
<u>Program Name</u>		<u>Average Age</u>
ACE (Achieving Consistent Excellence)		17
PASO (Providing Adolescents with Second Opportunities)		17
Probation Accountability		17
Street Dreams Employment / Education Program		18
Substance Abuse Evaluation and Outpatient Treatment		17
<b>HUDSON</b>		
<u>Program Name</u>		<u>Average Age</u>
EVENING REPORTING CENTER		15
Project Impact		15
Youth Comprehensive Treatment (YCT) Program		17
<b>HUNTERDON</b>		
<u>Program Name</u>		<u>Average Age</u>
(Daytop) Community Alternative Dispositions		17
<b>MERCER</b>		
<u>Program Name</u>		<u>Average Age</u>
Adolescent IOP Services		18
Managing Aggression & Violence (MAV)		16
Mercer County Youth Advocate Programs' Life Skills Program		15
Milepost (Juvenile Sex Offender Treatment)		15
<b>MIDDLESEX</b>		
<u>Program Name</u>		<u>Average Age</u>
Alternative Disposition Services		16
Probation Enrichment Services and Incentives		17
Social Success Services, Inc- Disposition		15

# JAMS Planning Report

## Average Age by Program

Disposition Report From 1/1/2016 To 12/31/2016

<b>MONMOUTH</b>	
<u>Program Name</u>	<u>Average Age</u>
Community Intervention Coaches	17
NEW HOPE FOUNDATION RESID. DRUG & ALCOHOL TREATME	17
PROBATION MULTI TREATMENT PROGRAM	18
PROBATION OFFENDER PROGRAM	16
<b>MORRIS</b>	
<u>Program Name</u>	<u>Average Age</u>
Comprehensive Adolescent Program	17
<b>OCEAN</b>	
<u>Program Name</u>	<u>Average Age</u>
Inpatient Substance Abuse	18
Out Patient Substance Abuse Treatment & Services	17
Service Learning	17
Sexual Abuse & Behavior Treatment Services	17
<b>PASSAIC</b>	
<u>Program Name</u>	<u>Average Age</u>
Drug and Alcohol Counseling	17
DRUG AND ALCOHOL EVALUATIONS	16
Graduated Sanctions	17
LIFE SKILLS EDUCATION	15
PAARENTING SUPPPORT AND EDUCATINON	16
Probation Detention Alternative Program (PDAP)	18
Total LifeStyle and Support Program	17
<b>SALEM</b>	
<u>Program Name</u>	<u>Average Age</u>
CONNECT TWO	16
Property Prevention	18
<b>SUSSEX</b>	
<u>Program Name</u>	<u>Average Age</u>
Comprehensive Adolescent Program	16
Outpatient Adolescent Substance Abuse Treatment Program	19
<b>UNION</b>	
<u>Program Name</u>	<u>Average Age</u>

# JAMS Planning Report

## Average Age by Program

Disposition Report From 1/1/2016 To 12/31/2016

Adolescent Outpatient Substance Abuse Services	17
COUNSELING SERVICES	17
OUT-PATIENT JUVENILE SEX OFFENDER PROGRAM	14
UNION COUNTY EDUCATIONAL ACHIEVEMENT CENTER	18
UNION COUNTY FAMILY ENGAGEMENT INITIATIVE PROGRAM	17

---

### WARREN

---

<u>Program Name</u>	<u>Average Age</u>
Supported Employment	17

---

### TEST

---

<u>Program Name</u>	<u>Average Age</u>
2016 Program	15

2015

PAGE 1

RUNDATE..: 04/12/17  
 PROGRAM..: TABLE3U  
 REQUESTOR: Jeanette Ford  
 REQ. CNTY: ATL  
 (PART A/Y2K)

County	Juveniles, Cases and Offenses by County Adjudicated Delinquent					
	For the period between January 1, 2015 - December 31, 2015					
	Number of Adjudicated Juveniles	Number of Adjudicated Cases	Number of Adjudicated Offenses	Average No. of Offenses per Juvenile	Average No. of Offenses per Case	Average No. of Cases per Juvenile
Atlantic	213	318	728	3.4	2.3	1.5
Bergen	437	562	971	2.2	1.7	1.3
Burlington	283	383	691	2.4	1.8	1.4
Camden	519	789	1,534	3.0	1.9	1.5
Cape May	111	159	187	1.7	1.2	1.4
Cumberland	196	268	505	2.6	1.9	1.4
Essex	630	838	2,105	3.3	2.5	1.3
Gloucester	197	256	398	2.0	1.6	1.3
Rudson	316	454	560	1.8	1.2	1.4
Hunterdon	27	37	58	2.1	1.6	1.4
Mercer	345	444	907	2.6	2.0	1.3
Middlesex	440	618	926	2.1	1.5	1.4
Monmouth	378	557	671	1.8	1.2	1.5
Morris	165	193	549	3.3	2.8	1.2
Ocean	234	346	539	2.3	1.6	1.5
Passaic	539	676	1,474	2.7	2.2	1.3
Salem	75	94	156	2.1	1.7	1.3
Somerset	57	90	189	3.3	2.1	1.6
Sussex	31	48	86	2.8	1.8	1.5
Union	312	415	627	2.0	1.5	1.3
Warren	51	79	110	2.2	1.4	1.5
TOTAL	5,556	7,624	13,971	2.5	1.8	1.4

- 1.) Data were derived from the Family Automated Case Tracking System (FACTS).
- 2.) Figures are based on the date adjudications were entered in Court.
- 3.) These figures do NOT include any Violation of Probation (VOP) offenses.



2015

PAGE 1

RUNDATE..: 04/12/17  
 PROGRAM..: TABLE3U  
 REQUESTOR: Jeanette Ford  
 REQ. CNTY: ATL  
 (PART C1/Y2K)

AGE of Juveniles by County  
 Age Breakdown for Juveniles with Adjudicated Delinquent Cases by County  
 For the period between January 1, 2015 - December 31, 2015

County	6-10	%	11-12	%	13-14	%	15-16	%	17	%	Total	Out of Range	%	Grand Total
Atlantic	2	1.00	8	4.00	34	17.00	100	50.00	56	28.00	200	13	6.10	213
Bergen	0	.00	10	2.48	64	15.84	182	45.05	148	36.63	404	33	7.55	437
Burlington	1	.38	8	3.08	43	16.54	110	42.31	98	37.69	260	23	8.13	283
Camden	2	.41	24	4.91	90	18.40	222	45.40	151	30.88	489	30	5.78	519
Cape May	0	.00	3	2.78	19	17.59	54	50.00	32	29.63	108	3	2.70	111
Cumberland	2	1.10	10	5.52	33	18.23	86	47.51	50	27.62	181	15	7.65	196
Essex	1	.16	5	.81	90	14.66	288	46.91	230	37.46	614	16	2.54	630
Gloucester	2	1.04	11	5.73	34	17.71	87	45.31	58	30.21	192	5	2.54	197
Hudson	0	.00	9	3.06	37	12.59	144	48.98	104	35.37	294	22	6.96	316
Hunterdon	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	14	63.64	8	36.36	22	5	18.52	27
Mercer	1	.32	14	4.44	70	22.22	134	42.54	96	30.48	315	30	8.70	345
Middlesex	2	.50	15	3.73	66	16.42	178	44.28	141	35.07	402	38	8.64	440
Monmouth	2	.61	13	3.94	49	14.85	145	43.94	121	36.67	330	48	12.70	378
Morris	1	.66	0	.00	16	10.60	65	43.05	69	45.70	151	14	8.48	165
Ocean	0	.00	1	.49	36	17.56	93	45.37	75	36.59	205	29	12.39	234
Passaic	3	.59	28	5.53	95	18.77	246	48.62	134	26.48	506	33	6.12	539
Salem	0	.00	4	5.56	18	25.00	29	40.28	21	29.17	72	3	4.00	75
Somerset	0	.00	2	3.70	9	16.67	22	40.74	21	38.89	54	3	5.26	57
Sussex	0	.00	1	3.70	5	18.52	14	51.85	7	25.93	27	4	12.90	31
Union	0	.00	4	1.42	39	13.88	138	49.11	100	35.59	281	31	9.94	312
Warren	0	.00	0	.00	3	6.52	26	56.52	17	36.96	46	5	9.80	51
TOTAL	19	.37	170	3.30	850	16.50	2377	46.13	1737	33.71	5153	403	7.25	5556

- 1.) Data were derived from the Family Automated Case Tracking System (FACTS).
- 2.) Figures are based on the date adjudications were entered in Court.
- 3.) Figures reflect the age of juveniles at the time of disposition.
- 4.) These figures do NOT include any Violation of Probation (VOP) offenses.

PAGE 1

RUNDATE..: 04/12/17  
 PROGRAM..: TABLE3U  
 REQUESTOR: Jeanette Ford  
 REQ. CNTY: ATL  
 (PART E\Y2K)

Gender of Juveniles by County  
 Gender Breakdown for Juveniles with Adjudicated Delinquent Cases by County  
 For the period between January 1, 2015 - December 31, 2015

County	Males	%	Females	%	Total	Not Indicated	%	Total Number of Juveniles
Atlantic	169	79.34	44	20.66	213	0	.00	213
Bergen	354	81.01	83	18.99	437	0	.00	437
Burlington	226	79.86	57	20.14	283	0	.00	283
Camden	432	83.24	87	16.76	519	0	.00	519
Cape May	95	85.59	16	14.41	111	0	.00	111
Cumberland	151	77.04	45	22.96	196	0	.00	196
Essex	525	83.33	105	16.67	630	0	.00	630
Gloucester	156	79.19	41	20.81	197	0	.00	197
Hudson	270	85.44	46	14.56	316	0	.00	316
Hunterdon	14	51.85	13	48.15	27	0	.00	27
Mercer	265	76.81	80	23.19	345	0	.00	345
Middlesex	353	80.23	87	19.77	440	0	.00	440
Monmouth	287	75.93	91	24.07	378	0	.00	378
Morris	144	87.27	21	12.73	165	0	.00	165
Ocean	173	73.93	61	26.07	234	0	.00	234
Passaic	437	81.08	102	18.92	539	0	.00	539
Salem	51	68.00	24	32.00	75	0	.00	75
Somerset	48	84.21	9	15.79	57	0	.00	57
Sussex	26	83.87	5	16.13	31	0	.00	31
Union	245	78.53	67	21.47	312	0	.00	312
Warren	41	80.39	10	19.61	51	0	.00	51
TOTAL	4,462	80.31	1,094	19.69	5556	0	.00	5,556

- 1.) Data were derived from the Family Automated Case Tracking System (FACTS).
- 2.) Figures are based on the date adjudications were entered in Court.
- 3.) These figures do NOT include any Violation of Probation (VOP) offenses.

RUNDATE..: 04/12/17  
 PROGRAM..: TABLE30  
 REQUESTOR: Jeanette Ford  
 REQ. CNTY: ATL  
 (PART D\Y2K)

RACE of Juveniles by County  
 Race Breakdown for Juveniles with Adjudicated Delinquent Cases by County  
 For the period between January 1, 2015 - December 31, 2015

County	White	%	Black	%	Hspnc	%	Asian	%	Amer.	%	Alskn	%	Other	%	Total	Not	%	Grand
							PcIsl		Indn.		Native					Indctd		Total
Atlantic	48	22.54	120	56.34	40	18.78	2	.94	0	.00	0	.00	3	1.41	213	0	.00	213
Bergen	181	41.42	107	24.49	130	29.75	15	3.43	1	.23	0	.00	3	.69	437	0	.00	437
Burlington	85	30.04	176	62.19	17	6.01	1	.35	0	.00	0	.00	4	1.41	283	0	.00	283
Camden	133	25.63	297	57.23	81	15.61	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	8	1.54	519	0	.00	519
Cape May	77	69.37	20	18.02	11	9.91	1	.90	1	.90	0	.00	1	.90	111	0	.00	111
Cumberland	43	21.94	80	40.82	66	33.67	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	7	3.57	196	0	.00	196
Essex	27	4.29	524	83.17	73	11.59	3	.48	0	.00	2	.32	1	.16	630	0	.00	630
Gloucester	108	54.82	80	40.61	2	1.02	3	1.52	1	.51	0	.00	3	1.52	197	0	.00	197
Hudson	17	5.38	160	50.63	121	38.29	16	5.06	0	.00	0	.00	2	.63	316	0	.00	316
Hunterdon	22	88.00	2	8.00	0	.00	1	4.00	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	25	2	7.41	27
Mercer	70	20.47	234	68.42	34	9.94	1	.29	0	.00	0	.00	3	.88	342	3	.87	345
Middlesex	145	33.18	153	35.01	114	26.09	21	4.81	0	.00	0	.00	4	.92	437	3	.68	440
Monmouth	148	39.15	179	47.35	47	12.43	4	1.06	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	378	0	.00	378
Morris	106	65.84	25	15.53	27	16.77	3	1.86	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	161	4	2.42	165
Ocean	154	66.09	45	19.31	32	13.73	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	2	.86	233	1	.43	234
Passaic	129	23.98	184	34.20	219	40.71	2	.37	0	.00	0	.00	4	.74	538	1	.19	539
Salem	28	37.84	38	51.35	7	9.46	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	1	1.35	74	1	1.33	75
Somerset	20	35.09	21	36.84	15	26.32	1	1.75	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	57	0	.00	57
Sussex	25	80.65	5	16.13	1	3.23	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	31	0	.00	31
Union	60	19.23	191	61.22	54	17.31	3	.96	0	.00	0	.00	4	1.28	312	0	.00	312
Warren	37	74.00	10	20.00	3	6.00	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	0	.00	50	1	1.96	51
TOTAL	1663	30.02	2651	47.85	1094	19.75	77	1.39	3	.05	2	.04	50	.90	5540	16	.29	5556

1.) Data were derived from the Family Automated Case Tracking System (FACTS).  
 2.) Figures are based on the date adjudications were entered in Court.  
 3.) These figures do NOT include any Violation of Probation (VOP) offenses.

# RE-ENTRY DATA WORKSHEETS

## PROBATIONERS

**Table 1: Juvenile Probationers Admitted to JJC Residential by Race/Ethnicity, 2012 & 2015**

Race/Ethnicity	2012		2015		% Change in Probationers Admitted, 2012-2015
	Number	% of Total Probationers Admitted to JJC	Number	% of Total Probationers Admitted to JJC	
White	0	#DIV/0!	0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
Black	0	#DIV/0!	0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
Hispanic	0	#DIV/0!	0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
Other *	0	#DIV/0!	0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
Total	0	#DIV/0!	0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!

Source: Juvenile Justice Commission, 2012 and 2015

\* See Required Data & Methodology Section

**Table 2: Juvenile Probationers Released by Program Type, 2012 and 2015**

Program Type	2012		2015		% Change in Released by Program Type 2012-2015
	Number	% of Total	Number	% of Total	
Day Program	0	#DIV/0!	0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
Residential	0	#DIV/0!	0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
Total Releases	0	#DIV/0!	0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!

Source: Juvenile Justice Commission, 2012 and 2015

**Table 3: Juvenile Probationers Released from JJC Residential & Day Programs by Race and Gender, 2012 and 2015**

Race	2012			2015			% Change in Probationers Released by Race and Gender 2012-2015		
	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
White	0	0	0	0	0	0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
Black	0	0	0	0	0	0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
Hispanic	0	0	0	0	0	0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
Total Releases	0	0	0	0	0	0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!

Source: Juvenile Justice Commission, 2012 and 2015

**Table 4: Juvenile Probationers Released from JJC Residential & Day Programs by Age, 2012 and 2015**

Age	2012		2015		% Change in Release by Age 2012-2015
	Number	% of Total	Number	% of Total	
14 and under	0	#DIV/0!	0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
15 - 16	0	#DIV/0!	0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
17 - 18	0	#DIV/0!	0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
19 and over	0	#DIV/0!	0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
Total	0	#DIV/0!	0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!

Source: Juvenile Justice Commission, 2012 and 2015

**Table 5: Offenses of Residentially Placed Juvenile Probationers by Type, 2012 and 2015**

Type	2012		2015		% Change in Offenses by Type 2012-2015
	Number	% of Total	Number	% of Total	
Persons	0	#DIV/0!	0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
Weapons	0	#DIV/0!	0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
Property	0	#DIV/0!	0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
CDS	0	#DIV/0!	0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
Public Order	0	#DIV/0!	0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
VOP	0	#DIV/0!	0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
Total	0	#DIV/0!	0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!

Source: Juvenile Justice Commission, 2012 and 2015

**Table 6: Juvenile Probationers Released from Specialized Programs, 2012 and 2015**

Program Type	2012	2015	% Change In Probationers Released from Specialized Programs 2012-2015
	Number	Number	
Pinelands	0	0	#DIV/0!
Drug Treatment *	0	0	#DIV/0!

Source: Juvenile Justice Commission, 2012 and 2015

\* See Required Data & Methodology

### COMMITTED JUVENILES

**Table 7: Committed Juveniles Admitted to JJC by Race/Ethnicity, 2012 and 2015**

Race/Ethnicity	2012		2015		% Change in Committed Juveniles Released, 2012-2015
	Number	% of Total Committed Juveniles Admitted to JJC	Number	% of Total Committed Juveniles Admitted to JJC	
White	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	#DIV/0!
Black	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	#DIV/0!
Hispanic	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	-100.0%
Other	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	#DIV/0!
Total	1	100.0%	1	100.0%	0.0%

Source: Juvenile Justice Commission, 2012 and 2015

**Table 8: Committed Juveniles Released by Departure Type, 2012 and 2015**

	2012		2015		% Change in Release by Departure Type 2012-2015
	Number	% of Total	Number	% of Total	
Released to Parole Supervision*	0	#DIV/0!	1	100.0%	#DIV/0!
Recalled to Probation	0	#DIV/0!	0	0.0%	#DIV/0!
Total Releases	0	#DIV/0!	1	100.0%	#DIV/0!

Source: Juvenile Justice Commission, 2012 and 2015

\* See Required Data & Methodology

**Table 9: Average Length of Stay (LOS) of Committed Juveniles Released, 2012 and 2015**

	2012	2015	% Change in Average Length of Stay 2012-2015
	Number	Number	
Average LOS in Months	10.73	13.61	26.8%

Source: Juvenile Justice Commission, 2012 and 2015



**Table 10: Committed Juveniles Released by Race and Gender, 2012 and 2015**

Race	2012			2013			% Change in Committed Juveniles Released by Race and Gender 2012-2013		
	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
White	1	0	1	1	0	1	0.0%	#DIV/0!	0.0%
Black	0	0	0	0	0	0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
Hispanic	0	0	0	0	0	0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
Total Releases	1	0	1	1	0	1	0.0%	#DIV/0!	0.0%

Source: Juvenile Justice Commission, 2012 and 2015

**Table 11: Committed Juveniles Released by Age, 2012 and 2015**

Age	2012		2013		% Change in Release by Age 2012-2013
	Number	% of Total	Number	% of Total	
14 and under	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	#DIV/0!
15 - 16	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	#DIV/0!
17 - 18	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	-100.0%
19 and over	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	-100.0%
Total Releases	2	100.0%	1	100.0%	-50.0%

Source: Juvenile Justice Commission, 2012 and 2015

**Table 12: Offenses of Committed Juveniles by Type, 2012 and 2015**

Type	2012		2013		% Change in MSCO by Type 2012-2013
	Number	% of Total	Number	% of Total	
Persons	1	100.0%	1	100.0%	0.0%
Weapons	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	#DIV/0!
Property	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	#DIV/0!
CDS	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	#DIV/0!
Public Order	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	#DIV/0!
VOP	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	#DIV/0!
Total	1	100.0%	1	100.0%	0.0%

Source: Juvenile Justice Commission, 2012 and 2013

**Table 13: Committed Juveniles with a Sex Offense Charge in their Court History, 2012 and 2015**

	2012	2013	% Change in Sex Offense History 2012-2013
Sex Offense*	1	0	-100.0%

Source: Juvenile Justice Commission, 2012 and 2013

\* See Required Data & Methodology

## REENTRY ANALYSIS QUESTIONS

- When answering questions regarding trends, describe *whether* any change has occurred, the *direction* of any change (e.g., increase/up, decrease/down), and the *size* of any change (e.g., small, moderate, large).
- When answering questions regarding rank orders, draw comparisons between categories (e.g., using terms like least/smallest, most/largest).

### NATURE & EXTENT OF REENTRY POPULATION

#### JUVENILE PROBATIONER ADMITTED TO JJC RESIDENTIAL & DAY PROGRAMS

1. Looking at Table 1: Juvenile Probationers Admitted to JJC Residential by Race/Ethnicity (Column E), describe how the overall change in the number of Juvenile Probationers admitted to Residential Community Homes by Race/Ethnicity has changed from 2012 and 2015.

*Sussex County has had no Probationers admitted to the Residential Community Homes.*

2. Insert into the chart below the number column (Column C) Juvenile Probationers Admitted by Race/Ethnicity, beginning with the group that had the greatest number of admissions in 2015.

Ranking of Juvenile Probationers Admitted by Race/Ethnicity, 2015		
Rank	Race/Ethnicity	Number
1	n/a	0
2		
3		
4		

*No Probationers admitted in 2015.*

3. Insert into the chart below the % change in Table 1 (Column E) Juvenile Probationers Admitted by Race/Ethnicity, beginning with the group that had the greatest % change between 2012 and 2015.

Ranking of Releases by Race/Ethnicity, 2012 and 2015			
Rank	Group	% Change	Number
1	n/a	0	0
2			
3			
4			

4. Using the ranking tables above, what does this information tell you about the Juvenile Probationers Admitted in the year 2015? How has Juvenile Probationers Admitted by Race/Ethnicity changed since 2012?

*Sussex County has had no youth admitted to JJC residential services.*

### **JUVENILES RELEASED TO PROBATION REENTRY SUPERVISION**

#### *PROBATIONERS RELEASED IN 2015*

5. Looking at Table 2: Juvenile Probationers Released by Program Type (Columns C and D), describe the overall number of juvenile probationers released and juvenile probationers released from each type of program in 2015.

*N/A No youth admitted.*

6. Looking at Table 3: Juvenile Probationers Released from JJC Residential & Day Programs by Race and Gender and Table 4: Juvenile Probationers Released from JJC Residential & Day Programs by Age, describe the nature of juvenile probationers released in 2015 in terms of Race (Table 2, Cells F1-F4), Gender (Table 2, Cells D5 and E5) and Age (Table 3, Cells D1-D4).

*N/A No youth admitted.*

- For Questions 7, use Table 5: Offenses of Residentially Placed Juvenile Probationers by Type.

7. Insert into the chart below the Offense of Residentially Placed Juvenile Probationers by Type (Columns C and D), beginning with the offense type that has the greatest number in 2015.

Probationers Ranking of Offenses by Type for 2015			
Rank	Offense Type	Number	Percent
1	n/a	0	0
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			

8. Looking at Table 6: Juvenile Probationers Released from Specialized Programs (Cells B1 and B2), describe the number of juveniles released from Pinelands and from Drug Treatment Programs in 2015.

*N/A No youth admitted.*

*SUMMARY OF THE NATURE OF PROBATIONERS RELEASED IN 2015*

9. Using the answers to Questions 5-8, summarize what this information tells you about the nature of juveniles released to Probation in 2015.

*N/A No Probationers admitted or released.*

*CHANGE IN PROBATIONERS RELEASED BETWEEN 2015 and 2015*

10. Looking at Table 2: Juvenile Probationers Released by Program Type (Column E), describe the overall change in the number of juvenile probationers released between 2012 and 2015

and the number of juvenile probationers released from each type of program between 2012 and 2015.

*N/A No Probationers admitted or released.*

- For Questions 11, use Table 3: Juvenile Probationers Released from JJC Residential & Day Programs by Race and Gender.

11. Insert into the chart below the % Change in Probationers Released (Cells I1-I4), from largest to smallest between 2012 and 2015.

Ranking of Juvenile Probationers Released by Race Between 2012 and 2015			
Rank	Race	% Change	Number
1	n/a	0	0
2			
3			
4			

- For Questions 12, use Table 4: Juvenile Probationers Released from JJC Residential & Day Programs by Age.

12. Insert into the chart below the % Change in Probationers Released by Age (Cells E1-E4), from largest to smallest between 2012 and 2015.

Ranking of Juvenile Probationers Released by Age Between 2012 and 2015			
Rank	Age	% Change	Number
1	n/a	0	0
2			
3			
4			

- For Questions 13, use Table 5: Offenses of Residentially Placed Juvenile Probationers by Type.

13. Insert into the chart below the % Change in Offenses by Type (Cells E1-E6), from largest to smallest between 2012 and 2015.

Probationers Ranking of Offenses by Type Between 2012 and 2015			
Rank	Offense Type	% Change	Number
1	n/a	0	0
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			

14. Looking at Table 6: Juvenile Probationers Released from Specialized Programs (Cells C1 and C2), describe the change in the number of juveniles released from Pinelands and from Drug Treatment Programs between 2012 and 2015.

*N/A No youth admitted or released.*

**SUMMARY OF THE CHANGE IN PROBATIONERS RELEASED BETWEEN 2012 and 2015**

15. Using the answers from Questions 10-14 and the information in Table 3, Cells G5 and H5 (which provides information on probationers released by gender), describe how the nature of juvenile probationers released to Probation changed between 2012 and 2015.

*N/A No youth admitted or released.*

**JUVENILES COMMITTED TO JJC**

16. Using the data in Table 7 (Committed Juveniles Admitted to JJC by Race/Ethnicity), describe the overall change in commitments by Race/Ethnicity between 2012 and 2015.

*In 2012 there was one Hispanic male committed to the JJC; in 2015, there was one white male committed to the JJC.*

**JUVENILES RELEASED TO PAROLE SUPERVISION**

*COMMITTED JUVENILES RELEASED IN 2015*

17. Looking at Table 8: Committed Juveniles Released by Departure Type (Columns C and D), describe the overall number of committed juveniles released and committed juveniles released by departure type in 2015.

*There was one white male released to Parole Supervision and no youths recalled.*

18. Looking at Table 10: Committed Juveniles Released by Race and Gender and Table 11: Committed Juveniles Released by Age, describe the nature of committed juveniles released in 2015 in terms of Race (Table 10, Cells F1-F4), Gender (Table 10, Cells D5 and E5), and Age (Table 11, Cells D1-D4).

*There was two white males released in 2012 and one white male released to 2015. The white male released in 2015 was younger in age than in 2012.*

19. Insert into the chart below the Offenses of Committed Juveniles by Type of Table 12 (Columns C and D), beginning with the offense type that has the greatest number in 2015.

<b>Committed Juveniles Ranking of Offenses by Type for 2015</b>			
<b>Rank</b>	<b>Offense Type</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Percent</b>
1	n/a	0	0
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			

20. Looking at Table 13: Committed Juveniles with a Sex Offense Charge in their Court History (Cell B1), describe the number of juveniles with a sex offense charge in 2015.



*There was no youth committed with a sex offense charge in 2015.*

21. Looking at Table 9: Average Length of Stay (LOS) of Committed Juveniles Released (Cell B1), describe the length of stay of committed juveniles released in 2015.

*The average length of stay in 2015 was 13.61 months.*

#### **SUMMARY OF THE NATURE OF COMMITTED JUVENILES RELEASED IN 2015**

22. Using the answers to Questions 17-21, summarize what this information tells you about the nature of juveniles released to Parole in 2015.

*Sussex County continues to have either no committed or one committed youth at any given time in the JJC. There are no trends to race/ethnicity. Current data illustrates the three commitments to the JJC over the past five years, the type of changes are persons offenses only.*

#### **CHANGE IN COMMITTED JUVENILES RELEASED BETWEEN 2012 and 2015**

23. Looking at Table 8: Committed Juveniles Released by Departure Type (Column E), describe the overall change in the number of committed juveniles released between 2012 and 2015 and in the number of committed juveniles released by departure type between 2012 and 2015.

*In 2015, one youth was released to parole Supervision.*

➤ **For Questions 24 use Table 10: Committed Juveniles Released by Race and Gender.**

24. Insert into the chart below the % Change in Committed Juveniles Released (Cells I1-I4), from largest to smallest between 2012 and 2015.

#### **Ranking of Committed Juveniles Released by Race, 2012 and 2015**

Rank	Race	% Change	Number
1	White	100	1
2			
3			
4			

➤ For Questions 25, use Table 11: Committed Juveniles Released by Age.

25. Insert into the chart below the % Change in Committed Juveniles Released by Age (Cells E1-E4), from largest to smallest between 2012 and 2015.

Ranking of Committed Juveniles Released by Age, 2012 and 2015			
Rank	Age	% Change	Number
1	15-16	100	1
2			
3			
4			

➤ For Questions 26, use Table 12: Offenses of Committed Juveniles by Type.

26. Insert into the chart below the % Change in Offenses by Type (Cells E1-E6), from largest to smallest between 2012 and 2015.

Committed Juveniles Ranking of Offenses by Type: Offenses Experiencing an Increase Between 2012 and 2015			
Rank	Offense Type	% Change	Number
1	Persons	100	1
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			

27. Looking at Table 13: Committed Juveniles with a Sex Offense Charge in their Court History (Cell C1), describe the change in the number of juveniles with a sex offense charge between 2012 and 2015.

*There was one youth with a sex offense charge in 2012; with no youth with a sex offense charge in 2015. This is a 100% decrease.*

28. Looking at Table 9: Average Length of Stay (LOS) of Committed Juveniles Released (Cell C1), describe the change in length of stay of committed juveniles between 2012 and 2015.

*The average length of stay from 2012 to 2015 increased by 26.8%, from 10.73 months to 13.61 months.*

#### *SUMMARY OF THE CHANGE IN COMMITTED JUVENILES RELEASED BETWEEN 2012 and 2015*

29. Using the answers from Questions 23-28 and the information in Table 10, Cells G5 and H5 (which provides information on committed juveniles released by gender), describe how the nature of committed juvenile releases has changed between 2012 and 2015.

*In both 2012 and 2015, there was one white male released.*

#### **JUVENILE AUTOMATED MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (JAMS)**

- **For Questions 30- 40, use JAMS data tables from the JAMS packet.**

30. Looking at the "Total" in Table 1 (Total Intakes by Program, 2015), and comparing this information with your answers to Question 5 (overall number of probationers released), and Question 19 (overall number of committed juveniles released), describe any differences or similarities between probationers and committed juveniles released to probation or parole supervision and admissions to reentry programs, in terms of overall number of admissions.

*Sussex County does not have any re-entry programs because historically the county has had no more than one or two youths released into the county from the JJC. There is not enough youth to draw any conclusions.*

31. Looking at the “Total” for each gender in Table 2 (Total Intakes by Gender, 2015), the “Total” column in Table 3 (Total Intakes by Race, 2015), and Table 4 (Average Age by Program, 2015) and comparing this information with your answers to Question 6 (characteristics of probationers) and Question 20 (characteristics of committed juveniles), describe any differences or similarities between probationers and committed juveniles released to probation or parole supervision and admissions to reentry programs, in terms of race, gender, and age of youth admitted.

*Historically, Sussex county has had no more than one or two youths released into the county from the JJC. They are predominantly male.*

32. Insert into the chart below the “Total” column of Table 6 (Problem Areas by Program), the top ten problem areas for youth as identified by the Juvenile Automated Management System (JAMS), from largest to smallest for calendar years 2012 and 2015.

<b>Ranking of Problem Areas by Program</b>					
<b>2012</b>			<b>2015</b>		
<b>Rank</b>	<b>Problem Areas</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Rank</b>	<b>Problem Areas</b>	<b>Total</b>
1	n/a	0	1	n/a	0
2			2		
3			3		
4			4		
5			5		
6			6		
7			7		
8			8		
9			9		
10			10		

33. How has the ranking of Problem Areas changed between 2012 and 2015? Describe in terms of those Problem Areas that have moved up in rank the most.

*N/A No youth to compare.*

34. Insert into the chart below the “Total” column of Table 8 (Service Intervention Needed, But Not Available), the top ten reentry program service areas that were identified as unavailable by the JAMS, from largest to smallest for calendar years 2012 and 2015

Ranking of Service Interventions Needed					
2012			2015		
Rank	Service Interventions Needed	Total	Rank	Service Interventions Needed	Total
1	N/A	0	1	N/A	0
2			2		
3			3		
4			4		
5			5		
6			6		
7			7		
8			8		
9			9		
10			10		

35. How has the ranking of Service Interventions Needed changed between 2012 and 2015? Describe in terms of those Service Interventions Needed that have moved up in rank the most.

*N/A No youth to compare.*

36. Insert into the chart below the “Total” column of Table 7 (Service Interventions Provided), the top ten service interventions provided to youth, as identified by the JAMS for calendar years 2012 and 2015.

Ranking of Service Interventions Provided					
2012			2015		
Rank	Service Interventions Provided	Total	Rank	Service Interventions Provided	Total
1	N/A	0	1	N/A	0
2			2		

3			3		
4			4		
5			5		
6			6		
7			7		
8			8		
9			9		
10			10		

37. How has the ranking of Service Interventions Provided changed between 2012 and 2015?  
Describe in terms of those Service Interventions Provided that have moved up in rank the most.

*N/A No youth to compare.*

## IMPLICATIONS FOR REENTRY PLAN

### Extent of Need

38. Using information from your answers to Question 16 (overall change in probationers released to probation) and Question 26 (overall change in committed juveniles released to parole), describe how your County's need for reentry programs has changed in recent years.

*There continues to be no need for reentry programs in Sussex County due to no or very low number of youth released back to the county.*

### Nature of Need

39. Based on the answers to Question 10 (summary of the nature of probationers released to probation in 2015), Question 23 (summary of the nature of committed juveniles released to parole in 2015), Question 16 (summary of the change in probationers released between 2012 and 2015), Question 30 (summary of the changed in committed juveniles released between 2012 and 2015), Question 32 (characteristics of youth released to probation or parole vs. characteristics of youth admitted to reentry programs), and Question 33 and 34 (top ten problem areas and change in problem areas), what are the characteristics of youth that seem reasonable to address programmatically through your County's reentry plan?

*There are no identifiable characteristics because there was no youth released back into the county.*

### Other Data Reviewed for Extent and Nature of Need – Reentry

40. Was additional data, not provided by the JJC, used in your county's planning process? (If other data was used submit a copy in Chapter 13.)

What do any other available data tell you about how your County's overall need for reentry programs has changed in recent years and what are the characteristics of youth that seem reasonable to address programmatically through your County's reentry plan? Are there additional data that relates Disproportionate Minority Contact or Racial And Ethnic Disparities?

*There continues to be no need for reentry programs in Sussex County due to no or very low number of youth released back in to the county.*

## RECOMMENDATIONS

41. Looking at your answers to Questions 38, 39 and 40, state the need and/or service gap to be addressed. Cite the data that supports the need and/or service gap. List your recommendations for your County's reentry plan?

State need and/or service gap to be addressed	Cite the data that indicates the need and/or service gap exists	Recommended service/program activity to address the need and/or service gap
There are no needs or service gaps to be addressed.	There is no data due to no numbers.	In the event of a reentry, providers will be identified to address service needs on a case by case basis.

*Comments:*

*In the event of a youth's reentry, the Youth Review Team will identify service needs specific to that youth and link the youth to service providers on a case by case basis.*

42. Looking at your answers to Questions 18 and 44 what recommendations or strategies would your county make with regards to Reentry policy and practice through the lens of race and ethnicity? What recommendations or strategies would your county consider to ensure similar outcomes for similarly situated youth?

*Comments:*

*There continues to be no need for reentry programs in Sussex County due to no or low numbers of youth in JJC placements.*



REENTRY

DATA

State of New Jersey  
 Juvenile Justice Commission

**Committed Juveniles Released in 2015 Dep Type/Prog Type**

County			Total
<b>ATLANTIC</b>	MAXED TO PI	SECURE	3
		RESIDENTIAL	9
	PAROLED	SECURE	2
		RESIDENTIAL	3
<b>Sub Total</b>			<b>17</b>
<b>BERGEN</b>	MAXED TO PI	SECURE	6
		RESIDENTIAL	3
	<b>Sub Total</b>		
<b>BURLINGTON</b>	MAXED TO PI	RESIDENTIAL	1
		SECURE	5
	PAROLED	RESIDENTIAL	3
		RESIDENTIAL	1
	<b>Sub Total</b>		
<b>CAMDEN</b>	MAXED TO PI	JAIL	1
		RESIDENTIAL	7
		SECURE	25
	PAROLED	RESIDENTIAL	13
		SECURE	4
	RECALLED	RESIDENTIAL	2
		SECURE	2
	<b>Sub Total</b>		

State of New Jersey  
Juvenile Justice Commission

**Committed Juveniles Released in 2015 Dep Type/Prog Type**

County			Total
<b>CAPE MAY</b>	MAXED TO PI	SECURE	1
	PAROLED	RESIDENTIAL	2
	<b>Sub Total</b>		<b>3</b>
<b>CUMBERLAND</b>	MAXED TO PI	RESIDENTIAL	1
		SECURE	1
	PAROLED	RESIDENTIAL	4
	<b>Sub Total</b>		<b>6</b>
<b>ESSEX</b>	MAXED TO PI	JAIL	2
		RESIDENTIAL	4
		SECURE	12
	PAROLED	RESIDENTIAL	1
	<b>Sub Total</b>		<b>19</b>
<b>GLOUCESTER</b>	MAXED TO PI	SECURE	2
	RECALLED	RESIDENTIAL	1
	<b>Sub Total</b>		<b>3</b>
<b>HUDSON</b>	MAXED TO PI	JAIL	1
		RESIDENTIAL	2
		SECURE	10
	PAROLED	RESIDENTIAL	7
		SECURE	1
	<b>Sub Total</b>		<b>21</b>

State of New Jersey  
 Juvenile Justice Commission  
**Committed Juveniles Released in 2015 Dep Type/Prog Type**

County			Total
<b>HUNTERDON</b>	MAXED TO PI	SECURE	2
	<b>Sub Total</b>		<b>2</b>
<b>MERCER</b>	MAXED TO PI	DETENTION	1
		RESIDENTIAL	3
		SECURE	14
	<b>Sub Total</b>		<b>18</b>
<b>MIDDLESEX</b>	MAXED TO PI	RESIDENTIAL	2
		SECURE	5
	PAROLED	RESIDENTIAL	5
	<b>Sub Total</b>		<b>12</b>
<b>MONMOUTH</b>	MAXED TO PI	SECURE	2
	<b>Sub Total</b>		<b>2</b>
<b>MORRIS</b>	MAXED TO PI	SECURE	1
	<b>Sub Total</b>		<b>1</b>
<b>OCEAN</b>	MAXED TO PI	SECURE	7
		PAROLED	RESIDENTIAL
		SECURE	1
	<b>Sub Total</b>		<b>13</b>

State of New Jersey  
 Juvenile Justice Commission

**Committed Juveniles Released in 2015 Dep Type/Prog Type**

County			Total
<b>PASSAIC</b>	MAXED TO PI	RESIDENTIAL	1
		SECURE	7
	PAROLED	RESIDENTIAL	5
	<b>Sub Total</b>		<b>13</b>
<b>SALEM</b>	MAXED TO PI	SECURE	2
		RESIDENTIAL	1
	<b>Sub Total</b>		<b>3</b>
<b>SOMERSET</b>	MAXED TO PI	SECURE	2
		RESIDENTIAL	1
	<b>Sub Total</b>		<b>3</b>
<b>SUSSEX</b>	MAXED TO PI	SECURE	1
			1
<b>UNION</b>	MAXED TO PI	RESIDENTIAL	3
		SECURE	9
	PAROLED	RESIDENTIAL	9
		SECURE	4
	<b>Sub Total</b>		<b>25</b>
<b>WARREN</b>	MAXED TO PI	SECURE	1
			1
<b>Grand Total</b>			<b>236</b>

State of New Jersey  
 Juvenile Justice Commission

**Committed Juveniles Released in 2016 Dep Type/Prog Type**

County			Total
<b>Sub Total</b>	PAROLED	RESIDENTIAL	1
			1
<b>ATLANTIC</b>	MAXED TO PI	RESIDENTIAL	2
		SECURE	5
	PAROLED	RESIDENTIAL	5
		SECURE	1
	RECALLED	RESIDENTIAL	1
	<b>Sub Total</b>		14
<b>BERGEN</b>	MAXED TO PI	RESIDENTIAL	2
		SECURE	4
	RECALLED	SECURE	1
	<b>Sub Total</b>		7
<b>BURLINGTON</b>	MAXED TO PI	SECURE	1
	<b>Sub Total</b>		1
<b>CAMDEN</b>	MAXED TO PI	JAIL	1
		RESIDENTIAL	7
		SECURE	15
	PAROLED	RESIDENTIAL	13
		SECURE	4
	RECALLED	RESIDENTIAL	1
	<b>Sub Total</b>		41

State of New Jersey  
Juvenile Justice Commission

**Committed Juveniles Released in 2016 Dep Type/Prog Type**

County			Total
CAPE MAY	MAXED TO PI	SECURE	2
	PAROLED	RESIDENTIAL	3
	<b>Sub Total</b>		<b>5</b>
CUMBERLAND	MAXED TO PI	SECURE	4
	PAROLED	RESIDENTIAL	1
		SECURE	2
	<b>Sub Total</b>		<b>7</b>
ESSEX	MAXED TO PI	DETENTION	1
		RESIDENTIAL	5
		SECURE	17
	PAROLED	RESIDENTIAL	6
		SECURE	1
	<b>Sub Total</b>		<b>30</b>
GLOUCESTER	PAROLED	RESIDENTIAL	1
	RECALLED	RESIDENTIAL	1
	<b>Sub Total</b>		<b>2</b>
HUDSON	MAXED OUT	DETENTION	1
	MAXED TO PI	RESIDENTIAL	2
		SECURE	8
	PAROLED	RESIDENTIAL	5
<b>Sub Total</b>		<b>16</b>	

State of New Jersey  
 Juvenile Justice Commission

**Committed Juveniles Released in 2016 Dep Type/Prog Type**

County			Total
<b>MERCER</b>	MAXED TO PI	DETENTION	1
		RESIDENTIAL	2
		SECURE	13
	PAROLED	RESIDENTIAL	4
	<b>Sub Total</b>		
<b>MIDDLESEX</b>	MAXED TO PI	SECURE	5
	PAROLED	RESIDENTIAL	4
		SECURE	1
	RECALLED	RESIDENTIAL	1
		SECURE	1
<b>Sub Total</b>			<b>12</b>
<b>MONMOUTH</b>	MAXED TO PI	SECURE	3
	<b>Sub Total</b>		
<b>MORRIS</b>	MAXED TO PI	RESIDENTIAL	1
		SECURE	3
	<b>Sub Total</b>		
<b>OCEAN</b>	MAXED TO PI	RESIDENTIAL	1
		SECURE	2
	PAROLED	RESIDENTIAL	3
	<b>Sub Total</b>		



State of New Jersey  
Juvenile Justice Commission

**Committed Juveniles Released in 2016 Dep Type/Prog Type**

County			Total
PASSAIC	MAXED TO PI	RESIDENTIAL	3
		SECURE	6
	<b>Sub Total</b>		
SOMERSET	MAXED TO PI	RESIDENTIAL	1
		SECURE	2
	<b>Sub Total</b>		
SUSSEX	MAXED TO PI	SECURE	1
	PAROLED	RESIDENTIAL	1
	<b>Sub Total</b>		
UNION	MAXED TO PI	RESIDENTIAL	5
		SECURE	7
	PAROLED	RESIDENTIAL	5
		SECURE	4
	<b>Sub Total</b>		
<b>Grand Total</b>			<b>204</b>

State of New Jersey  
 Juvenile Justice Commission  
**Committed Juveniles Released in 2015**  
**Average Length of Stay By County**

County	Total	LOS in Months
ATLANTIC	17	22.02
BERGEN	9	10.02
BURLINGTON	10	14.08
CAMDEN	54	12.82
CAPE MAY	3	14.03
CUMBERLAND	6	16.92
ESSEX	19	10.17
GLOUCESTER	3	12.28
HUDSON	21	18.85
HUNTERDON	2	14.75
MERCER	18	8.25
MIDDLESEX	12	17.97
MONMOUTH	2	9.85
MORRIS	1	7.59
OCEAN	13	11.90
PASSAIC	13	17.32
SALEM	3	19.58
SOMERSET	3	10.52
SUSSEX	1	13.61
UNION	25	23.76
WARREN	1	5.16
<b>Total</b>	<b>236</b>	<b>15.13</b>

State of New Jersey  
 Juvenile Justice Commission  
**Committed Juveniles Released in 2016**  
**Average Length of Stay By County**

County	Total	LOS in Months
	1	39.12
ATLANTIC	14	25.65
BERGEN	7	8.33
BURLINGTON	1	11.80
CAMDEN	41	15.75
CAPE MAY	5	14.88
CUMBERLAND	7	16.36
ESSEX	30	14.61
GLOUCESTER	2	13.92
HUDSON	16	11.43
MERCER	20	9.37
MIDDLESEX	12	13.87
MONMOUTH	3	13.70
MORRIS	4	13.96
OCEAN	6	16.82
PASSAIC	9	11.61
SOMERSET	3	3.33
SUSSEX	2	14.07
UNION	21	22.61
<b>Total</b>	<b>204</b>	<b>15.30</b>

State of New Jersey  
Juvenile Justice Commission  
**Committed Juveniles Released in 2015 by County/Race/Gender**

County	Race	Female	Male	Total
ATLANTIC	AFRICAN AMERICAN	1	13	14
	HISPANIC	0	1	1
	WHITE	1	1	2
	<b>Sub Totals</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>17</b>
BERGEN	AFRICAN AMERICAN	0	3	3
	HISPANIC	0	3	3
	OTHER	0	1	1
	WHITE	0	2	2
	<b>Sub Totals</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>9</b>
BURLINGTON	AFRICAN AMERICAN	0	9	9
	WHITE	0	1	1
	<b>Sub Totals</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>10</b>
CAMDEN	AFRICAN AMERICAN	2	33	35
	HISPANIC	1	9	10
	WHITE	1	8	9
	<b>Sub Totals</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>54</b>
CAPE MAY	WHITE	0	3	3
	<b>Sub Totals</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>
CUMBERLAND	AFRICAN AMERICAN	0	4	4
	HISPANIC	0	1	1
	WHITE	0	1	1
	<b>Sub Totals</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>
ESSEX	AFRICAN AMERICAN	2	17	19
	<b>Sub Totals</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>19</b>

State of New Jersey  
 Juvenile Justice Commission  
Committed Juveniles Released in 2015 by County/Race/Gender

County	Race	Female	Male	Total
GLOUCESTER	AFRICAN AMERICAN	0	1	1
	WHITE	0	2	2
	<b>Sub Totals</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>
HUDSON	AFRICAN AMERICAN	2	16	18
	HISPANIC	0	1	1
	OTHER	1	0	1
	WHITE	1	0	1
	<b>Sub Totals</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>21</b>
HUNTERDON	AFRICAN AMERICAN	0	1	1
	HISPANIC	0	1	1
	<b>Sub Totals</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>
MERCER	AFRICAN AMERICAN	3	13	16
	HISPANIC	0	2	2
	<b>Sub Totals</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>18</b>
MIDDLESEX	AFRICAN AMERICAN	0	9	9
	HISPANIC	0	2	2
	OTHER	0	1	1
	<b>Sub Totals</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>12</b>
MONMOUTH	AFRICAN AMERICAN	0	2	2
	<b>Sub Totals</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>
MORRIS	AFRICAN AMERICAN	0	1	1
	<b>Sub Totals</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>
OCEAN	AFRICAN AMERICAN	0	3	3
	HISPANIC	0	4	4
	WHITE	1	5	6
	<b>Sub Totals</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>13</b>

State of New Jersey  
 Juvenile Justice Commission  
**Committed Juveniles Released in 2015 by County/Race/Gender**

County	Race	Female	Male	Total
PASSAIC	AFRICAN AMERICAN	0	6	6
	HISPANIC	0	7	7
	<b>Sub Totals</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>13</b>
SALEM	AFRICAN AMERICAN	0	2	2
	HISPANIC	0	1	1
	<b>Sub Totals</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>
SOMERSET	AFRICAN AMERICAN	0	3	3
	<b>Sub Totals</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>
SUSSEX	WHITE	0	1	1
	<b>Sub Totals</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>
UNION	AFRICAN AMERICAN	2	18	20
	HISPANIC	0	5	5
	<b>Sub Totals</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>25</b>
WARREN	AFRICAN AMERICAN	0	1	1
	<b>Sub Totals</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>
		<b>18</b>	<b>218</b>	<b>236</b>

State of New Jersey  
Juvenile Justice Commission  
**Committed Juveniles Released in 2016 by County/Race/Gender**

County	Race	Female	Male	Total
<b>Out of State</b>	AFRICAN AMERICAN	0	1	1
	<b>Sub Totals</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>ATLANTIC</b>	AFRICAN AMERICAN	0	10	10
	HISPANIC	0	3	3
	WHITE	0	1	1
	<b>Sub Totals</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>BERGEN</b>	AFRICAN AMERICAN	0	1	1
	HISPANIC	0	5	5
	WHITE	1	0	1
	<b>Sub Totals</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>BURLINGTON</b>	OTHER	0	1	1
	<b>Sub Totals</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>CAMDEN</b>	AFRICAN AMERICAN	1	28	29
	HISPANIC	2	6	8
	WHITE	1	3	4
	<b>Sub Totals</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>41</b>
<b>CAPE MAY</b>	AFRICAN AMERICAN	0	2	2
	WHITE	0	3	3
	<b>Sub Totals</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>CUMBERLAND</b>	AFRICAN AMERICAN	0	4	4
	HISPANIC	1	1	2
	WHITE	0	1	1
	<b>Sub Totals</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>ESSEX</b>	AFRICAN AMERICAN	2	27	29
	HISPANIC	0	1	1
	<b>Sub Totals</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>30</b>

State of New Jersey  
Juvenile Justice Commission  
**Committed Juveniles Released in 2016 by County/Race/Gender**

County	Race	Female	Male	Total
<b>GLOUCESTER</b>	AFRICAN AMERICAN	0	2	2
	<b>Sub Totals</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>HUDSON</b>	AFRICAN AMERICAN	1	11	12
	HISPANIC	0	3	3
	WHITE	0	1	1
	<b>Sub Totals</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>MERCER</b>	AFRICAN AMERICAN	3	16	19
	HISPANIC	0	1	1
	<b>Sub Totals</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>MIDDLESEX</b>	AFRICAN AMERICAN	0	8	8
	ASIAN	0	1	1
	HISPANIC	0	1	1
	OTHER	0	1	1
	WHITE	0	1	1
	<b>Sub Totals</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>MONMOUTH</b>	AFRICAN AMERICAN	0	1	1
	WHITE	0	2	2
	<b>Sub Totals</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>MORRIS</b>	AFRICAN AMERICAN	0	1	1
	HISPANIC	0	2	2
	WHITE	0	1	1
	<b>Sub Totals</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>OCEAN</b>	AFRICAN AMERICAN	0	1	1
	WHITE	0	5	5
	<b>Sub Totals</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>



State of New Jersey  
 Juvenile Justice Commission  
**Committed Juveniles Released in 2016 by County/Race/Gender**

County	Race	Female	Male	Total
PASSAIC	AFRICAN AMERICAN	0	4	4
	HISPANIC	0	4	4
	WHITE	0	1	1
	<b>Sub Totals</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>9</b>
SOMERSET	AFRICAN AMERICAN	0	3	3
	<b>Sub Totals</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>
SUSSEX	AFRICAN AMERICAN	0	1	1
	WHITE	0	1	1
	<b>Sub Totals</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>
UNION	AFRICAN AMERICAN	0	17	17
	HISPANIC	0	4	4
	<b>Sub Totals</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>21</b>
		<b>12</b>	<b>192</b>	<b>204</b>

State of New Jersey  
 Juvenile Justice Commission  
Committed Juveniles Released in 2015 County/Age

County	Age	Total
<b>ATLANTIC</b>	18	5
	19	5
	20	4
	21	2
	22	1
	<b>Sub Total</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>BERGEN</b>	15	1
	17	2
	18	2
	19	2
	20	2
	<b>Sub Total</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>BURLINGTON</b>	17	3
	18	4
	19	1
	20	2
	<b>Sub Total</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>CAMDEN</b>	16	3
	17	8
	18	14
	19	15
	20	7
	21	4
	22	2
	26	1
	<b>Sub Total</b>	<b>54</b>

State of New Jersey  
 Juvenile Justice Commission  
**Committed Juveniles Released in 2015 County/Age**

County	Age	Total
CAPE MAY	19	1
	20	1
	21	1
	<b>Sub Total</b>	<b>3</b>
CUMBERLAND	17	1
	18	2
	20	3
	<b>Sub Total</b>	<b>6</b>
ESSEX	17	4
	18	8
	19	6
	20	1
	<b>Sub Total</b>	<b>19</b>
GLOUCESTER	18	1
	19	2
	<b>Sub Total</b>	<b>3</b>
HUDSON	16	2
	17	1
	18	5
	19	8
	20	1
	21	3
	24	1
	<b>Sub Total</b>	<b>21</b>

State of New Jersey  
 Juvenile Justice Commission  
**Committed Juveniles Released in 2015 County/Age**

County	Age	Total
<b>HUNTERDON</b>	20	1
	21	1
	<b>Sub Total</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>MERCER</b>	15	2
	17	4
	18	10
	19	2
	<b>Sub Total</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>MIDDLESEX</b>	16	1
	17	1
	18	3
	19	2
	20	4
	21	1
	<b>Sub Total</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>MONMOUTH</b>	19	2
	<b>Sub Total</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>MORRIS</b>	16	1
	<b>Sub Total</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>OCEAN</b>	16	1
	18	2
	19	7
	20	3
	<b>Sub Total</b>	<b>13</b>

State of New Jersey  
 Juvenile Justice Commission  
**Committed Juveniles Released in 2015 County/Age**

County	Age	Total
PASSAIC	16	1
	17	1
	18	6
	19	3
	20	2
	<b>Sub Total</b>	<b>13</b>
SALEM	18	2
	20	1
	<b>Sub Total</b>	<b>3</b>
SOMERSET	18	2
	19	1
	<b>Sub Total</b>	<b>3</b>
SUSSEX	16	1
	<b>Sub Total</b>	<b>1</b>
UNION	17	2
	18	7
	19	10
	20	3
	21	1
	22	2
	<b>Sub Total</b>	<b>25</b>
WARREN	17	1
	<b>Sub Total</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Grand Total</b>		<b>236</b>

State of New Jersey  
Juvenile Justice Commission  
**Committed Juveniles Released in 2016 County/Age**

County	Age	Total
	21	1
	<b>Sub Total</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>ATLANTIC</b>	16	1
	18	3
	19	6
	20	3
	21	1
	<b>Sub Total</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>BERGEN</b>	17	1
	18	5
	19	1
	<b>Sub Total</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>BURLINGTON</b>	18	1
	<b>Sub Total</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>CAMDEN</b>	17	3
	18	11
	19	14
	20	7
	21	6
	<b>Sub Total</b>	<b>41</b>
<b>CAPE MAY</b>	17	2
	18	1
	19	1
	20	1
	<b>Sub Total</b>	<b>5</b>

State of New Jersey  
Juvenile Justice Commission

**Committed Juveniles Released in 2016 County/Age**

County	Age	Total
<b>CUMBERLAND</b>	17	1
	18	2
	19	1
	21	2
	27	1
	<b>Sub Total</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>ESSEX</b>	16	1
	17	3
	18	10
	19	10
	20	4
	22	2
	<b>Sub Total</b>	<b>30</b>
<b>GLOUCESTER</b>	18	1
	19	1
	<b>Sub Total</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>HUDSON</b>	17	3
	18	5
	19	7
	22	1
	<b>Sub Total</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>MERCER</b>	15	1
	17	3
	18	11
	19	4
	20	1
	<b>Sub Total</b>	<b>20</b>

State of New Jersey  
 Juvenile Justice Commission  
**Committed Juveniles Released in 2016 County/Age**

County	Age	Total
<b>MIDDLESEX</b>	17	2
	18	1
	19	6
	20	2
	21	1
	<b>Sub Total</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>MONMOUTH</b>	18	1
	20	1
	22	1
	<b>Sub Total</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>MORRIS</b>	18	1
	19	2
	20	1
	<b>Sub Total</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>OCEAN</b>	17	1
	19	3
	20	1
	22	1
	<b>Sub Total</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>PASSAIC</b>	15	1
	17	3
	19	4
	20	1
	<b>Sub Total</b>	<b>9</b>



State of New Jersey  
Juvenile Justice Commission  
**Committed Juveniles Released in 2016 County/Age**

<b>County</b>	<b>Age</b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>SOMERSET</b>	16	1
	17	1
	18	1
	<b>Sub Total</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>SUSSEX</b>	18	1
	19	1
	<b>Sub Total</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>UNION</b>	16	1
	18	4
	19	5
	20	6
	21	4
	25	1
	<b>Sub Total</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>Grand Total</b>		<b>204</b>

---

State of New Jersey  
 Juvenile Justice Commission  
**County Commitments, by Race/Ethnicity & Gender**  
 For the Year -- 2015

Date: 4/4/2017

	AFRICAN AMERICAN			HISPANIC			WHITE			OTHER		
	FEMALE	MALE	Total	FEMALE	MALE	Total	FEMALE	MALE	Total	FEMALE	MALE	Total
ATLANTIC	1 2%	35 83%	36 85%	0 0%	4 9%	4 9%	1 2%	1 2%	2 4%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%
BERGEN	1 5%	4 23%	5 29%	0 0%	7 41%	7 41%	1 5%	3 17%	4 23%	0 0%	1 5%	1 5%
BURLINGTON	0 0%	9 64%	9 64%	0 0%	1 7%	1 7%	0 0%	3 21%	3 21%	0 0%	1 7%	1 7%
CAMDEN	3 2%	77 63%	80 66%	2 1%	24 19%	26 21%	2 1%	13 10%	15 12%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%
CAPE MAY	0 0%	3 30%	3 30%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	7 70%	7 70%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%
CUMBERLAND	0 0%	6 54%	6 54%	0 0%	2 18%	2 18%	0 0%	3 27%	3 27%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%
ESSEX	6 7%	74 90%	80 97%	0 0%	1 1%	1 1%	0 0%	1 1%	1 1%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%
GLOUCESTER	0 0%	4 80%	4 80%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 20%	1 20%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%
HUDSON	2 5%	28 77%	30 83%	0 0%	4 11%	4 11%	1 2%	0 0%	1 2%	1 2%	0 0%	1 2%
HUNTERDON	0 0%	1 50%	1 50%	0 0%	1 50%	1 50%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%
MERCER	4 12%	24 72%	28 84%	0 0%	4 12%	4 12%	1 3%	0 0%	1 3%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%
MIDDLESEX	0 0%	18 52%	18 52%	0 0%	9 26%	9 26%	0 0%	4 11%	4 11%	0 0%	3 8%	3 8%
MONMOUTH	0 0%	7 63%	7 63%	0 0%	1 9%	1 9%	0 0%	3 27%	3 27%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%
MORRIS	0 0%	1 25%	1 25%	0 0%	3 75%	3 75%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%
OCEAN	0 0%	6 30%	6 30%	0 0%	5 25%	5 25%	1 5%	8 40%	9 45%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%
PASSAIC	0 0%	17 50%	17 50%	1 2%	15 44%	16 47%	0 0%	1 2%	1 2%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%
SALEM	0 0%	2 66%	2 66%	0 0%	1 33%	1 33%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%
SOMERSET	0 0%	4 100%	4 100%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%
SUSSEX	0 0%	2 50%	2 50%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	2 50%	2 50%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%
UNION	2 3%	47 71%	49 74%	0 0%	17 25%	17 25%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%
WARREN	0 0%	1 100%	1 100%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>19 3%</b>	<b>363 66%</b>	<b>382 70%</b>	<b>3 0%</b>	<b>97 17%</b>	<b>100 18%</b>	<b>7 1%</b>	<b>50 9%</b>	<b>57 10%</b>	<b>1 0%</b>	<b>5 0%</b>	<b>6 1%</b>

State of New Jersey  
 Juvenile Justice Commission  
**County Commitments, by Race/Ethnicity & Gender**  
 For the Year -- 2016

Date: 4/4/2017

	AFRICAN AMERICAN			HISPANIC			WHITE			OTHER	
	FEMALE	MALE	Total	FEMALE	MALE	Total	FEMALE	MALE	Total	MALE	Total
ATLANTIC	0 0%	30 81%	30 81%	0 0%	6 16%	6 16%	0 0%	1 2%	1 2%	0 0%	0 0%
BERGEN	1 7%	2 15%	3 23%	0 0%	8 61%	8 61%	0 0%	2 15%	2 15%	0 0%	0 0%
BURLINGTON	0 0%	8 80%	8 80%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 10%	1 10%	1 10%	1 10%
CAMDEN	5 5%	68 70%	73 75%	2 2%	15 15%	17 17%	1 1%	6 6%	7 7%	0 0%	0 0%
CAPE MAY	0 0%	2 33%	2 33%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 16%	3 50%	4 66%	0 0%	0 0%
CUMBERLAND	1 4%	12 54%	13 59%	1 4%	6 27%	7 31%	0 0%	2 9%	2 9%	0 0%	0 0%
ESSEX	4 4%	83 92%	87 96%	0 0%	2 2%	2 2%	0 0%	1 1%	1 1%	0 0%	0 0%
GLOUCESTER	0 0%	6 85%	6 85%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 14%	0 0%	1 14%	0 0%	0 0%
HUDSON	2 7%	20 74%	22 81%	0 0%	4 14%	4 14%	0 0%	1 3%	1 3%	0 0%	0 0%
MERCER	3 6%	37 80%	40 86%	0 0%	4 8%	4 8%	1 2%	1 2%	2 4%	0 0%	0 0%
MIDDLESEX	0 0%	20 62%	20 62%	1 3%	8 25%	9 28%	0 0%	2 6%	2 6%	1 3%	1 3%
MONMOUTH	0 0%	3 42%	3 42%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	4 57%	4 57%	0 0%	0 0%
MORRIS	0 0%	2 22%	2 22%	1 11%	5 55%	6 66%	0 0%	1 11%	1 11%	0 0%	0 0%
OCEAN	0 0%	6 50%	6 50%	0 0%	1 8%	1 8%	0 0%	5 41%	5 41%	0 0%	0 0%
PASSAIC	0 0%	21 47%	21 47%	0 0%	20 45%	20 45%	0 0%	3 6%	3 6%	0 0%	0 0%
SALEM	0 0%	1 50%	1 50%	0 0%	1 50%	1 50%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%
SOMERSET	0 0%	4 100%	4 100%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%
SUSSEX	0 0%	3 60%	3 60%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 20%	1 20%	2 40%	0 0%	0 0%
UNION	0 0%	36 70%	36 70%	0 0%	15 29%	15 29%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%
WARREN	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 100%	1 100%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>16 3%</b>	<b>357 69%</b>	<b>373 72%</b>	<b>5 0%</b>	<b>95 18%</b>	<b>100 19%</b>	<b>5 0%</b>	<b>34 6%</b>	<b>39 7%</b>	<b>2 0%</b>	<b>2 0%</b>

State of New Jersey  
 Juvenile Justice Commission  
**County Probationers, by Race/Ethnicity & Gender**  
**For the Year -- 2015**

Date: 4/4/2017

	AFRICAN AMERICAN			HISPANIC		WHITE		
	FEMALE	MALE	Total	MALE	Total	FEMALE	MALE	Total
ATLANTIC	0 0%	4 80%	4 80%	1 20%	1 20%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%
BURLINGTON	0 0%	7 100%	7 100%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%
CAMDEN	1 3%	17 65%	18 69%	6 23%	6 23%	1 3%	1 3%	2 7%
CUMBERLAND	0 0%	2 40%	2 40%	1 20%	1 20%	0 0%	2 40%	2 40%
ESSEX	0 0%	25 96%	25 96%	1 3%	1 3%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%
GLOUCESTER	0 0%	2 100%	2 100%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%
HUDSON	0 0%	5 71%	5 71%	2 28%	2 28%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%
MERCER	0 0%	4 80%	4 80%	1 20%	1 20%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%
MIDDLESEX	0 0%	3 50%	3 50%	2 33%	2 33%	0 0%	1 16%	1 16%
MONMOUTH	0 0%	6 85%	6 85%	1 14%	1 14%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%
MORRIS	0 0%	1 100%	1 100%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%
OCEAN	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 100%	1 100%
SOMERSET	0 0%	1 100%	1 100%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%
UNION	1 5%	15 75%	16 80%	3 15%	3 15%	0 0%	1 5%	1 5%
<b>Total</b>	<b>2 1%</b>	<b>92 77%</b>	<b>94 78%</b>	<b>18 15%</b>	<b>18 15%</b>	<b>1 0%</b>	<b>6 5%</b>	<b>7 5%</b>

State of New Jersey  
 Juvenile Justice Commission  
**County Probationers, by Race/Ethnicity & Gender**  
**For the Year -- 2016**

Date: 4/4/2017

	AFRICAN AMERICAN			HISPANIC			WHITE			OTHER	
	FEMALE	MALE	Total	FEMALE	MALE	Total	FEMALE	MALE	Total	MALE	Total
<b>BERGEN</b>	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	1	1
	0%	40%	40%	0%	0%	0%	0%	40%	40%	20%	20%
<b>BURLINGTON</b>	1	3	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	25%	75%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
<b>CAMDEN</b>	1	13	14	1	6	7	2	5	7	0	0
	3%	46%	50%	3%	21%	25%	7%	17%	25%	0%	0%
<b>CUMBERLAND</b>	0	0	0	0	3	3	0	0	0	2	2
	0%	0%	0%	0%	60%	60%	0%	0%	0%	40%	40%
<b>ESSEX</b>	0	27	27	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
<b>GLOUCESTER</b>	0	2	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
	0%	66%	66%	0%	33%	33%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
<b>HUDSON</b>	0	9	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
<b>MERCER</b>	0	10	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
<b>MIDDLESEX</b>	0	6	6	0	4	4	0	1	1	0	0
	0%	54%	54%	0%	36%	36%	0%	9%	9%	0%	0%
<b>MONMOUTH</b>	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
<b>MORRIS</b>	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
<b>OCEAN</b>	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0
	33%	0%	33%	0%	0%	0%	0%	66%	66%	0%	0%
<b>PASSAIC</b>	0	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
<b>SALEM</b>	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
<b>SOMERSET</b>	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
<b>UNION</b>	0	13	13	0	5	5	0	1	1	0	0
	0%	68%	68%	0%	26%	26%	0%	5%	5%	0%	0%
<b>Total</b>	3	94	97	1	19	20	2	11	13	3	3
	2%	70%	72%	0%	14%	15%	1%	8%	9%	2%	2%

**Committed - Offense by Type and County - Year 2012**

		Drug	Persons	Property	Public Order	VOP	Weapons	Total
<b>ATLANTIC</b>	0 0%	5 10%	12 26%	7 15%	2 4%	16 34%	4 8%	46 100%
<b>BERGEN</b>	0 0%	1 4%	3 14%	5 23%	0 0%	9 42%	3 14%	21 100%
<b>BURLINGTON</b>	0 0%	0 0%	1 11%	0 0%	3 33%	5 55%	0 0%	9 100%
<b>CAMDEN</b>	1 0%	26 13%	46 23%	22 11%	18 9%	73 37%	7 3%	193 100%
<b>CAPE MAY</b>	0 0%	0 0%	2 33%	0 0%	0 0%	3 50%	1 16%	6 100%
<b>CUMBERLAND</b>	0 0%	1 7%	7 50%	0 0%	0 0%	5 35%	1 7%	14 100%
<b>ESSEX</b>	0 0%	0 0%	25 56%	3 6%	2 4%	11 25%	3 6%	44 100%
<b>GLOUCESTER</b>	0 0%	0 0%	3 60%	1 20%	0 0%	0 0%	1 20%	5 100%
<b>HUDSON</b>	0 0%	7 9%	31 41%	6 8%	7 9%	15 20%	9 12%	75 100%
<b>HUNTERDON</b>	0 0%	0 0%	1 100%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 100%
<b>MERCER</b>	0 0%	3 9%	4 12%	7 22%	4 12%	7 22%	6 19%	31 100%
<b>MIDDLESEX</b>	0 0%	3 4%	22 33%	5 7%	3 4%	30 46%	2 3%	65 100%
<b>MONMOUTH</b>	0 0%	3 6%	23 51%	6 13%	6 13%	6 13%	1 2%	45 100%
<b>MORRIS</b>	0 0%	0 0%	2 25%	3 37%	0 0%	1 12%	2 25%	8 100%
<b>OCEAN</b>	0 0%	2 7%	6 21%	7 25%	3 10%	9 32%	1 3%	28 100%
<b>PASSAIC</b>	0 0%	3 5%	18 35%	4 7%	5 9%	17 33%	4 7%	51 100%
<b>SALEM</b>	0 0%	0 0%	1 14%	1 14%	0 0%	5 71%	0 0%	7 100%
<b>SOMERSET</b>	0 0%	0 0%	2 66%	0 0%	0 0%	1 33%	0 0%	3 100%
<b>SUSSEX</b>	0 0%	0 0%	2 100%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	2 100%
<b>UNION</b>	0 0%	5 5%	28 33%	19 22%	3 3%	22 26%	7 8%	84 100%

		Drug	Persons	Property	Public Order	VOP	Weapons	Total
<b>WARREN</b>	0 0%	0 0%	7 87%	0 0%	0 0%	1 12%	0 0%	8 100%
<b>Total</b>	1 0%	59 7%	246 32%	96 12%	56 7%	236 31%	52 6%	746 100%

**Committed - Offense by Type and County - Year 2015**

	Drug	Persons	Property	Public Order	VOP	Weapons	Total
<b>ATLANTIC</b>	0 0%	10 32%	12 38%	3 9%	4 12%	2 6%	31 100%
<b>BERGEN</b>	3 12%	6 24%	1 4%	6 24%	9 36%	0 0%	25 100%
<b>BURLINGTON</b>	0 0%	3 21%	4 28%	5 35%	2 14%	0 0%	14 100%
<b>CAMDEN</b>	25 21%	23 20%	14 12%	17 14%	31 26%	5 4%	115 100%
<b>CAPE MAY</b>	0 0%	4 40%	4 40%	0 0%	1 10%	1 10%	10 100%
<b>CUMBERLAND</b>	1 12%	1 12%	1 12%	2 25%	3 37%	0 0%	8 100%
<b>ESSEX</b>	1 1%	32 38%	11 13%	5 5%	31 36%	4 4%	84 100%
<b>HUDSON</b>	4 11%	12 35%	1 2%	3 8%	10 29%	4 11%	34 100%
<b>HUNTERDON</b>	0 0%	1 100%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 100%
<b>MERCER</b>	2 5%	11 28%	7 18%	7 18%	8 21%	3 7%	38 100%
<b>MIDDLESEX</b>	0 0%	5 31%	4 25%	0 0%	6 37%	1 6%	16 100%
<b>MONMOUTH</b>	2 7%	16 57%	7 25%	2 7%	1 3%	0 0%	28 100%
<b>MORRIS</b>	0 0%	0 0%	1 16%	3 50%	1 16%	1 16%	6 100%
<b>OCEAN</b>	4 16%	9 36%	4 16%	3 12%	5 20%	0 0%	25 100%
<b>PASSAIC</b>	1 3%	14 42%	1 3%	6 18%	7 21%	4 12%	33 100%
<b>SOMERSET</b>	0 0%	2 66%	0 0%	1 33%	0 0%	0 0%	3 100%
<b>SUSSEX</b>	0 0%	1 25%	1 25%	0 0%	2 50%	0 0%	4 100%
<b>UNION</b>	3 4%	22 32%	8 11%	6 8%	6 8%	22 32%	67 100%
<b>WARREN</b>	0 0%	1 50%	0 0%	0 0%	1 50%	0 0%	2 100%
<b>Total</b>	46 8%	173 31%	81 14%	69 12%	128 23%	47 8%	544 100%



**Committed - Offense by Type and County - Year 2016**

		Drug	Persons	Property	Public Order	VOP	Weapons	Total
<b>ATLANTIC</b>	1 2%	0 0%	9 19%	24 52%	4 8%	5 10%	3 6%	46 100%
<b>BERGEN</b>	0 0%	0 0%	9 64%	1 7%	1 7%	3 21%	0 0%	14 100%
<b>BURLINGTON</b>	0 0%	0 0%	1 9%	5 45%	2 18%	3 27%	0 0%	11 100%
<b>CAMDEN</b>	0 0%	6 8%	20 26%	14 18%	8 10%	22 29%	5 6%	75 100%
<b>CAPE MAY</b>	0 0%	0 0%	1 50%	0 0%	1 50%	0 0%	0 0%	2 100%
<b>CUMBERLAND</b>	0 0%	3 6%	10 23%	19 44%	2 4%	7 16%	2 4%	43 100%
<b>ESSEX</b>	11 14%	1 1%	30 38%	7 9%	2 2%	12 15%	14 18%	77 100%
<b>GLOUCESTER</b>	0 0%	1 11%	3 33%	0 0%	1 11%	3 33%	1 11%	9 100%
<b>HUDSON</b>	0 0%	0 0%	10 31%	2 6%	3 9%	10 31%	7 21%	32 100%
<b>MERCER</b>	0 0%	1 1%	12 23%	16 30%	3 5%	14 26%	6 11%	52 100%
<b>MIDDLESEX</b>	4 9%	2 4%	19 45%	6 14%	2 4%	8 19%	1 2%	42 100%
<b>MORRIS</b>	3 25%	0 0%	3 25%	0 0%	5 41%	1 8%	0 0%	12 100%
<b>OCEAN</b>	0 0%	0 0%	2 22%	2 22%	2 22%	2 22%	1 11%	9 100%
<b>PASSAIC</b>	0 0%	2 4%	17 37%	5 11%	2 4%	17 37%	2 4%	45 100%
<b>SALEM</b>	0 0%	1 14%	2 28%	3 42%	0 0%	1 14%	0 0%	7 100%
<b>SOMERSET</b>	0 0%	0 0%	2 100%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	2 100%
<b>SUSSEX</b>	0 0%	0 0%	2 66%	0 0%	1 33%	0 0%	0 0%	3 100%
<b>UNION</b>	0 0%	2 4%	18 38%	11 23%	5 10%	9 19%	2 4%	47 100%
<b>WARREN</b>	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 100%	0 0%	1 100%
<b>Total</b>	19 3%	19 3%	170 32%	115 21%	44 8%	118 22%	44 8%	529 100%

# VISION

## Sussex County

The types of programs listed, should represent what your County's ideal Continuum of Care would look like, regardless of funding limitations.

### **PREVENTION**

Delinquency Prevention Programs are strategies and services designed to increase the likelihood that youth will remain free from initial involvement with the formal or informal juvenile justice system. The goal of delinquency prevention is to prevent youth from engaging in anti-social and delinquent behavior and from taking part in other problem behaviors that are pathways to delinquency. Primary Delinquency Prevention programs are those directed at the entire juvenile population without regard to risk of involvement in the juvenile justice system. Secondary Delinquency Prevention programs are those directed at youth who are at higher risk of involvement in the juvenile justice system than the general population. Given this goal, Delinquency Prevention programs developed through the comprehensive planning process should clearly focus on providing services that address the known causes and correlates of delinquency.

<b>PREVENTION</b>				
Rank Order	Type of Program and/or Service Need	Program/Service Currently Exists	Program/Service Currently Funded by County	Program/Service is not meeting need therefore is a Gap
1	Strong and Smart Students (SASS)	Yes	Yes	no
2	Substance abuse treatment-Early Intervention Program (underfunded for future need)	Yes	Yes	no
3	Life Skills, Job Skills, Positive Decision Making, Coping Skills, Healthy Choices, Conflict Resolution, Anger Management, Case Management (underfunded for future need)	yes	Yes	no
4	Family and Peer Relationship building	No	No	Yes
5	Bullying/Sexting/Suicide Awareness/Social Media Safety	No	No	Yes
6	Mentoring Program	No	No	Yes

## DIVERSION

The Diversion stage of the juvenile justice system offers alleged juvenile offenders an opportunity to avoid arrest and/or prosecution by providing alternatives to the formal juvenile justice system process. The goal of Diversion is to provide services and/or informal sanctions to youth who have begun to engage in antisocial and low level delinquent behavior in an effort to prevent youth from continuing on a delinquent pathway. Youth who do not successfully complete a diversion program may ultimately have their case referred for formal processing by the juvenile court. Given this goal, Diversion programs developed through the comprehensive planning process should clearly focus on providing services and/or informal sanctions that address the known causes and correlates of delinquency.

LAW ENFORCEMENT				
Rank Order	Type of Program and/or Service Need	Program / Service Currently Exists	Program / Service Currently Funded by County	Program / Service is not meeting need therefore is a Gap
1	Station House Adjustments	Yes	No	Yes
2	Fire Setter/Prevention Program	No	No	Yes
3	Sex Offender Evaluation/Treatment	No	No	Yes
4	Substance abuse treatment-all levels of service (underfunded for future need)	Yes	Yes	No
5	Mentoring Program	No	No	Yes

FAMILY CRISIS INTERVENTION UNIT (FCIU)				
Rank Order	Type of Program and/or Service Need	Program / Service Currently Exists	Program / Service Currently Funded by County	Program / Service is not meeting need therefore is a Gap
1	Family Intervention Service: FCIU/MRSS combined unit	Yes	Yes	No
2				
3				
4				
5				

FAMILY COURT				
Rank Order	Type of Program and/or Service Need	Program / Service Currently Exists	Program / Service Currently Funded by County	Program / Service is not meeting need therefore is a Gap
1	JCC referrals to existing JCC funded diversion programs	Yes	Yes	No
2	Substance abuse treatment-all levels of service (underfunded for future need)	yes	No	No
3	Life Skills, Job Skills, Positive Decision Making, Coping Skills, Healthy Choices, Conflict Resolution, Anger Management, Case	Yes	Yes	No

	Management (underfunded for future need)			
4	Sex Offender Evaluation/Treatment	No	No	Yes
5				

**DETENTION**

"Detention" is defined as the temporary care of juveniles in physically restricting facilities pending court disposition (N.J.A.C. 13:92-1.2).

An objective of detention is to provide secure custody for those juveniles who are deemed a threat to the physical safety of the community and/or whose confinement is necessary to insure their presence at the next court hearing (N.J.A.C. 13:92-1.3). For the purpose of this plan a limited amount of funding may be provided to support court ordered evaluations for adjudicated youth who reside in the detention center, if all other resources have been exhausted.

<b>DETENTION</b>				
Rank Order	Type of Program and/or Service Need	Program /Service Currently Exists	Program /Service Currently Funded by County	Program /Service is not meeting need therefore is a Gap
1	Morris County Juvenile Detention Center-county shared service agreement	Yes	Yes	No
2				
3				
4				
5				

**DETENTION ALTERNATIVES**

Detention Alternative Programs provide supervision to juveniles who would otherwise be placed in a secure detention facility while awaiting their adjudicatory hearing, expanding the array of pre-adjudication placement options available to the judiciary. Detention Alternative Programs/Services are not to be provided in the detention center. These programs are designed to provide short-term (45 – 60 days) supervision sufficient to safely maintain appropriate youth in the community while awaiting the final disposition of their case. As such, these programs help to reduce the overall detention population and relieve detention overcrowding and its related problems where it exists.

<b>DETENTION ALTERNATIVES</b>				
Rank Order	Type of Program and/or Service Need	Program /Service Currently Exists	Program /Service Currently Funded by County	Program /Service is not meeting need therefore is a Gap
1	Sussex County Home Detention Program	Yes	Yes	No
2	Sussex County Home Supervision Program	Yes	Yes	No
3	Substance abuse treatment-all levels of service (underfunded for future need)	yes	Yes	No

4	Life Skills, Job Skills, Positive Decision Making, Coping Skills, Healthy Choices, Conflict Resolution, Anger Management, Case Management (underfunded for future need)	Yes	Yes	No
5	Sex Offender Evaluation/Treatment	No	No	Yes
6	Mentoring Program	No	No	Yes

**DISPOSITION**

Disposition is the phase of the juvenile justice system where youth adjudicated delinquent are ordered by the court to comply with specific sanctions, supervision, and services as a consequence for their delinquent behavior. In New Jersey, the range of dispositions available to the court include but are not limited to restitution/fines, community service, probation, and commitment to the Juvenile Justice Commission. For youth disposed to a term of probation supervision, among the conditions of probation that might be imposed by the court is the completion of a Dispositional Option Program. The structure of these Dispositional Option Programs are varied, but common among these options are intensive supervision programs, day and evening reporting centers, and structured day and residential programs. Given this goal, Disposition programs developed through the comprehensive planning process should clearly focus on providing sanctions, supervision, and services that address the known causes and correlates of delinquency.

DISPOSITION				
Rank Order	Type of Program and/or Service Need	Program / Service Currently Exists	Program / Service Currently Funded by County	Program / Service is not meeting need therefore is a Gap
1	Morris County Juvenile Detention Center-county shared service agreement	yes	Yes	No
2	Sussex County Probation Department	Yes	No	No
3	Sussex County Detention Alternatives	Yes	Yes	No
4	Substance abuse treatment-all levels of service (underfunded for future need)	Yes	Yes	No
5	Life Skills, Job Skills, Positive Decision Making, Coping Skills, Healthy Choices, Conflict Resolution, Anger Management, Case Management (underfunded for future need)	Yes	Yes	No
6	Mentoring Program	No	No	Yes

**REENTRY**

For the purposes of this plan, the use of the term Reentry only applies to committed youth paroled from a Juvenile Justice Commission (JJC) facility and supervised by the JJC's Office of Juvenile Parole and Transitional Services and to juveniles disposed to a JJC program as a condition of probation and supervised by the Department of Probation. Reentry is a mechanism for providing additional support during this transitional period in order to foster the successful reintegration of juveniles into their communities. Given this goal, Reentry programs developed through the comprehensive planning process should clearly focus on providing services to youth, regardless of their age, that address the known causes and correlates of delinquency.

REENTRY				
Rank Order	Type of Program and/or Service Need	Program / Service Currently Exists	Program / Service Currently Funded by County	Program / Service is not meeting need therefore is a Gap
1	Sex Offender Evaluation/Treatment	No	No	Yes
2	Substance abuse treatment-all levels of service (underfunded for future need)	Yes	Yes	No
3	Mentoring Program	No	No	Yes
4				
5				

**STATE OF NEW JERSEY  
JUVENILE JUSTICE COMMISSION  
COMPREHENSIVE COUNTY FUNDING APPLICATION  
JANUARY 1, 2018- DECEMBER 31, 2018**

**County:** Sussex

**Chief Executive Officer:** Carl F. Lazzaro

Title:  Freeholder Director     County Executive

Mailing Address: County of Sussex Administrative Center, 1 Spring St., Newton, NJ 07860

Telephone: (973) 579-0240                      Fax: (973) 383-1124

Email Address: clazzaro@sussex.nj.us

**Chief Financial Officer:** Robert Maikis

Title: County Treasurer

Mailing Address: County of Sussex Administrative Center, 1 Spring St., Newton, NJ 07860

Telephone: (973) 579-0300                      Fax: (973) 383-1124

Email Address: rmaikis@sussex.nj.us

Federal Identification #: 226002477

**County Youth Services Commission Administrator:**

Name: Kristen M. Turtur

Title: Youth Services Coordinator

Mailing Address: County of Sussex Administrative Center, 1 Spring St., Newton, NJ 07860

Telephone: (973) 940-5200                      Fax: (862) 268-8013

Email Address: kturtur@sussex.nj.us

**Supervisor of the County Youth Services Commission Administrator:**

Name: Christine Florio

Title: Division of Community and Youth Services Director

Mailing Address: County of Sussex Administrative Center, 1 Spring St., Newton, NJ 07860

Telephone: (973) 940-5200                      Fax: (862) 268-8013

Email Address: cflorio@sussex.nj.us

**County Youth Services Commission Chairperson:**

Name: Carol Novrit

Title: Department of Health and Human Services Administrator

Mailing Address: County of Sussex Administrative Center, 1 Spring St., Newton, NJ 07860

Telephone: (973) 579-0559

Fax: (973) 579-9894

Email Address: cnovrit@sussex.nj.us

**County Youth Services Commission Chairperson:**

Name: Steven Pittigher

Title: Chief, Stanhope Police Department

Mailing Address: 77 Main St., Stanhope, NJ 07874

Telephone: (973) 4533

Fax: (973) 691-4952

Email Address: sbpdchief@optonline.net





# 2018 PROGRAMS AND/OR TYPE OF SERVICES TO BE FUNDED

County: Sussex

Original Date: July 19, 2017

Revision Date:     

**Delinquency Prevention Programs**

*Name/Profile Number/Funding Source*

1. Comprehensive Court Referral Program/1/SCP
2. Juvenile Substance Abuse Treatment/2/FC
3. \_\_\_\_\_
4. \_\_\_\_\_

**Law Enforcement Diversion Programs**

*Name/Profile Number/Funding Source*

1. \_\_\_\_\_
2. \_\_\_\_\_
3. \_\_\_\_\_
4. \_\_\_\_\_

**Family Crisis Intervention Unit**

*Name/Profile Number/Funding Source*

1. FCIU/3/FC
2. \_\_\_\_\_
3. \_\_\_\_\_
4. \_\_\_\_\_

**Family Court Diversion Programs**

*Name/Profile Number/Funding Source*

1. Comprehensive Court Referral Program/1/SCP
2. Juvenile Substance Abuse Treatment/2/FC
3. \_\_\_\_\_
4. \_\_\_\_\_

**Detention Alternative Programs  
(Pre-Adjudicated Youth)**

*Name/Profile Number/Funding Source*

1. \_\_\_\_\_
2. \_\_\_\_\_
3. \_\_\_\_\_
4. \_\_\_\_\_

**Community Based Disposition Options**  
*(Post-Adjudicated Youth)*

*Name/Profile Number/Funding Source*

1. Comprehensive Court Referral  
Program/1/SCP
2. Juvenile Substance Abuse  
Treatment/2/FC
3. \_\_\_\_\_
4. \_\_\_\_\_

**Comments (Identify "Other"):** \_\_\_\_\_



**Re-Entry Programs**

*Name/Profile Number/Funding Source*


1. \_\_\_\_\_
2. \_\_\_\_\_
3. \_\_\_\_\_
4. \_\_\_\_\_



**Other (Client Specific Funds):** \_\_\_\_\_

*Name/Profile Number/Funding Source*

1. \_\_\_\_\_
2. \_\_\_\_\_
3. \_\_\_\_\_
4. \_\_\_\_\_



**ALLOCATION OF FUNDS PER PROGRAM CATEGORY & FUNDING SOURCE  
JANUARY 1, 2018 TO DECEMBER 31, 2018**

County: Sussex

Original Date: 7/19/17

Revision Date:

PROGRAM CATEGORY/BUDGET SUMMARY	PARTNERSHIP	FAMILY COURT	TOTAL ALLOCATION PER PROGRAM AREA
1) DELINQUENCY PREVENTION	\$29,954.00	\$ 20,637.00	\$ 50,591.00
2) DIVERSION (Law Enforcement, FCIU and Family Court)	\$14,976.00	\$ 70,318.00	\$ 85,294.00
3) DETENTION	\$0.00	\$ -	\$ -
4) DISPOSITION	\$104,836.00	\$ 72,229.00	\$ 177,065.00
5) REENTRY	\$0.00	\$ -	\$ -
6) ADMINISTRATION	\$55,550.00	\$ -	\$ 55,550.00
7) OTHER (Client Specific Funds):	\$0.00	\$ -	\$ -
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$205,316.00</b>	<b>\$ 163,184.00</b>	<b>\$ 368,500.00</b>

**Comments:**

- All funds must be represented on this form.
- Information should correspond with Attachment A: Programs and/or Type of Services to be Funded chart and Attachment C: Program Profile(s).
- A detailed explanation for *each program* in each category is to be provided in Attachment C: Program Profile.
- If the County's RFP results in a change in how the dollars are allocated above, then a revised Attachment B-1 must be submitted after the RFP process.

**CY 2018  
Line-Item Budget  
Program Management/Administrative Cost**

County: SUSSEX

Original Date: 24-Jul-17

Revision Date:

## Page 1: Personnel

N/E*	Name/Title		Partnership	Family Court	Other** (specify)	Total Salary	
	Christine Florio	Salary	\$ 13,536.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 13,536.00	100%
		Fringe Benefits	\$ 6,880.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 6,880.00	100%
	Kristen Turtur	Salary	\$ 22,264.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 22,264.00	100%
		Fringe Benefits	\$ 12,870.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 12,870.00	100%
		Salary	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	100%
		Fringe Benefits	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	100%
		Salary	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	100%
		Fringe Benefits	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	100%
		Salary	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	100%
		Fringe Benefits	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	100%
		Salary	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	100%
		Fringe Benefits	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	100%
		Salary	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	100%
		Fringe Benefits	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	100%
		Salary	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	100%
		Fringe Benefits	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	100%
		Salary	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	100%
		Fringe Benefits	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	100%
<b>PERSONNEL TOTAL</b>			\$ 55,550.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 55,550.00	100%

\*N - New Employee

\*E - Existing Employee

\*\* "Other" funding sources: County and various JJC grants

**PROGRAM PROFILE  
CY 2018**

A Program Profile must be completed for *each* proposed program and/or service to be funded with State/Community Partnership and Family Court. **Note: If the County's RFP results in changes to the information submitted on this Program Profile, a revised Attachment C must be submitted no later than 30 days after the County Freeholder has approved the contract/award.**

County: Sussex                      Original Date: July 24, 2017                      Revision Date:       

**Allocation by Funding Source:**  Partnership \$149,766     Family Court \$       

**Total Allocation:** \$205,316

**PROGRAM GOAL**

- Prevention:* To prevent at-risk youth from engaging in anti-social and delinquent behavior and from taking part in other problem behaviors that are pathways to delinquency.  
 Primary  Secondary  
**Funding Allocation and Source:** \$29,954/Partnership \$        /
  
- Diversion:* To provide services and/or informal sanctions to youth who have begun to engage in low level delinquent behavior in an effort to prevent youth from continuing on a delinquent pathway.  
**Funding Allocation and Source:** \$14,976/Partnership \$        /
  
- Detention/Detention Alternatives:* To insure the youth's presence at the next court hearing and to provide short-term (30-60 days) supervision sufficient to safely maintain appropriate youth in the community while awaiting the final disposition of their case.  
**Funding Allocation and Source:** \$        /        \$        /
  
- Disposition:* To provide the court with a range of options that match the supervision and service needs of youth in their communities in an effort to reduce recidivism.  
**Funding Allocation and Source:** \$104,836/Partnership \$        /
  
- Re-entry:* To provide youth transitioning from a JJC residential or day program with additional support for successful reintegration into their communities in an effort to reduce recidivism.  
**Funding Allocation and Source:** \$        /        \$        /

**PROGRAM & PROGRAM DESCRIPTION**

**Program Name or service to be requested (RFP'd):** Comprehensive Court Referral Programs  
**Implementing Agency (if known):** to be determined through RFP

**Program/Services Description** *(When providing the information below, please limit your description to how the allocated funds will be implemented, not the agency's full range of services.)*

- **Program Components/Services** (*The description must minimally include the program components and the referral source*): These services will provide 90 day comprehensive evidence based/influenced programming in the areas of health decision making, coping skills, family engagement, interpersonal skills building, positive decision making, job and life skills. A comprehensive evidence based/influenced program extensively focusing on aggression, anger management, and conflict resolution skills. These services will place emphasis on the age appropriate groups, discussions, separation. There will also be supervised community service and case management.
- **Target Population:** *Must reflect the Program Category selected above based on its Definition and Rationale*  
*The description must include age, gender (admission criteria):* any gender youth up to age 18 at risk of or already court involved. Referrals come from municipal police departments and schools through Right Path, family court, Probation, JCC, or any point of Detention Alternatives.

*If funding a Prevention or Diversion program or service, list the targeted area to be served (i.e. school, neighborhood or town/community). For example, Area Type: School – High School #1.*

Area Type: School - all  
 Area Type: Neighborhood - all  
 Area Type: Town/Community - all

- **Level of Service Type (Beds, Classes Days, Evaluations, Group Sessions Hours, Individual Sessions or Youth Slots):**

Service Type: Youth/Slots  
 Number of Youth/Slots in program at any given time: 20  
 Number of unduplicated Youth/Slots served during contract period: 60  
 Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

Service Type: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Number of \_\_\_\_\_ in program at any given time: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Number of unduplicated \_\_\_\_\_ served during contract period: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

Service Type: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Number of \_\_\_\_\_ in program at any given time: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Number of unduplicated \_\_\_\_\_ served during contract period: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

Service Type: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Number of \_\_\_\_\_ in program at any given time: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Number of unduplicated \_\_\_\_\_ served during contract period: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

### **PROGRAM OUTCOME**

List the anticipated impact of this program or service and provide corresponding outcome. For example: Decrease the number of youth engaging in anti-social and delinquent behavior. Eighty (80%) of the twenty-youth involved in the program will not have a formal complaint signed against them for the time that they are in the program.

Anticipated impact: reduce juvenile delinquency
Outcome #1: <u>70% participants will not have further involvement with family court</u>
Anticipated impact: reduce anti social behaviors
Outcome #2: <u>70% participants will receive comprehensive and collaberative community services</u>
Anticipated impact:
Outcome #3: _____

Describe how each of the outcomes will be measured: Global Assessment of Functioning (GAF)

**JUSTIFICATION**

1. Refer to the Recommendation section of the Comprehensive Plan, provide the specific data that supports the need for this program or service: 2017 Youth Survey, 2012 Middle School survey, annual school report of VVSA, station house adjustments, JJC data
2. Describe what competitive process was used for selecting this agency or service: RFP
3. Complete this section if the agency listed above was funded to provide this program or service at any time during the previous or current comprehensive planning cycle.
  - a. Refer back to the most recent approved program profile, describe outcomes achieved: prior outcomes were met or exceeded. Some components were not represented.
  - b. Provide the date and results of the last monitoring: to be completed and approved by the YSC.

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

PROGRAM PROFILE
CY 2018

A Program Profile must be completed for each proposed program and/or service to be funded with State/Community Partnership and Family Court. Note: If the County's RFP results in changes to the information submitted on this Program Profile, a revised Attachment C must be submitted no later than 30 days after the County Freeholder has approved the contract/award.

County: Sussex Original Date: July 24, 2017 Revision Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Allocation by Funding Source: [ ] Partnership \$ \_\_\_\_\_ [X] Family Court \$103,184

Total Allocation: \$ \_\_\_\_\_

PROGRAM GOAL

- [X] Prevention: To prevent at-risk youth from engaging in anti-social and delinquent behavior and from taking part in other problem behaviors that are pathways to delinquency.
[ ] Primary [X] Secondary
Funding Allocation and Source: \$20,637/Family Court \$ \_\_\_\_\_ / \_
[X] Diversion: To provide services and/or informal sanctions to youth who have begun to engage in low level delinquent behavior in an effort to prevent youth from continuing on a delinquent pathway.
Funding Allocation and Source: \$10,318/Family Court \$ \_\_\_\_\_ / \_
[ ] Detention/Detention Alternatives: To insure the youth's presence at the next court hearing and to provide short-term (30-60 days) supervision sufficient to safely maintain appropriate youth in the community while awaiting the final disposition of their case.
Funding Allocation and Source: \$ \_\_\_\_\_ / \_ \$ \_\_\_\_\_ / \_
[X] Disposition: To provide the court with a range of options that match the supervision and service needs of youth in their communities in an effort to reduce recidivism.
Funding Allocation and Source: \$72,229/Family Court \$ \_\_\_\_\_ / \_
[ ] Re-entry: To provide youth transitioning from a JJC residential or day program with additional support for successful reintegration into their communities in an effort to reduce recidivism.
Funding Allocation and Source: \$ \_\_\_\_\_ / \_ \$ \_\_\_\_\_ / \_

PROGRAM & PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

Program Name or service to be requested (RFP'd): Substance Abuse Evaluations, Outpatient Substance Abuse services, Inpatient Substance Abuse services

Implementing Agency (if known): to be determined through RFP process

Program/Services Description (When providing the information below, please limit your description to how the allocated funds will be implemented, not the agency's full range of services.)



- **Program Components/Services** *(The description must minimally include the program components and the referral source):* This service will provide evaluations, intensive outpatient, outpatient, early intervention, inpatient services, and aftercare to at risk youth and as a disposition option for the court. Referrals come from municipal police departments and schools through the Right Path, the court and Probation.
- **Target Population:** *Must reflect the Program Category selected above based on its Definition and Rationale* The description must include age, gender (admission criteria): Youth of any gender up to age 21 involved with or at risk of involvement with the Family Court system.

*If funding a Prevention or Diversion program or service, list the targeted area to be served (i.e. school, neighborhood or town/community). For example, Area Type: School – High School #1.*

Area Type: School - Middle and High Schools

Area Type: Neighborhood -

Area Type: Town/Community - Sussex County community

- **Level of Service Type** *(Beds, Classes Days, Evaluations, Group Sessions Hours, Individual Sessions or Youth Slots):*  
 Service Type: Youth/Slots  
 Number of Youth/Slots in program at any given time: 15  
 Number of unduplicated Youth/Slots served during contract period: 150  
 Comments: Provider will provide the level of care indicated for each juvenile, in any combination of substance abuse services, up to the contract ceiling.

Service Type: \_\_\_\_\_

Number of \_\_\_\_\_ in program at any given time: \_\_\_\_\_

Number of unduplicated \_\_\_\_\_ served during contract period: \_\_\_\_\_

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

Service Type: \_\_\_\_\_

Number of \_\_\_\_\_ in program at any given time: \_\_\_\_\_

Number of unduplicated \_\_\_\_\_ served during contract period: \_\_\_\_\_

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

Service Type: \_\_\_\_\_

Number of \_\_\_\_\_ in program at any given time: \_\_\_\_\_

Number of unduplicated \_\_\_\_\_ served during contract period: \_\_\_\_\_

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

### **PROGRAM OUTCOME**

List the anticipated impact of this program or service and provide corresponding outcome. For example: Decrease the number of youth engaging in anti-social and delinquent behavior. Eighty (80%) of the twenty-youth involved in the program will not have a formal complaint signed against them for the time that they are in the program.

Anticipated impact: reduce the incidences of substance use among Sussex youth.
--

Outcome #1: 50% of program participants will successfully complete the assigned course of care
--

Anticipated impact: succesful completion of services with continued sobriety
Outcome #2: <u>75% of participants in services will show progress</u>
Anticipated impact:
Outcome #3: _____

Describe how each of the outcomes will be measured: Global Assessment of Functioning (GAF), staff tracking 30/60/90 day follow ups of participants.

**JUSTIFICATION**

1. Refer to the Recommendation section of the Comprehensive Plan, provide the specific data that supports the need for this program or service: station house adjustment data, Sussex County CLEAR data, 2012 Sussex County Middle School survey
2. Describe what competitive process was used for selecting this agency or service: RFP
3. Complete this section if the agency listed above was funded to provide this program or service at any time during the previous or current comprehensive planning cycle.
  - a. Refer back to the most recent approved program profile, describe outcomes achieved: 75% of participants were successful as outlined in 2015 application program profile
  - b. Provide the date and results of the last monitoring: To be completed and approved by the YSC

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

**PROGRAM PROFILE  
CY 2018**

A Program Profile must be completed for *each* proposed program and/or service to be funded with State/Community Partnership and Family Court. **Note: If the County's RFP results in changes to the information submitted on this Program Profile, a revised *Attachment C* must be submitted no later than 30 days after the County Freeholder has approved the contract/award.**

County: Sussex                      Original Date: July 24, 2017                      Revision Date:       

**Allocation by Funding Source:**  Partnership \$            Family Court \$ 60,000

**Total Allocation:** \$85,294

**PROGRAM GOAL**

- Prevention:* To prevent at-risk youth from engaging in anti-social and delinquent behavior and from taking part in other problem behaviors that are pathways to delinquency.  
 Primary     Secondary  
**Funding Allocation and Source:** \$        /    \$        /
- Diversion:* To provide services and/or informal sanctions to youth who have begun to engage in low level delinquent behavior in an effort to prevent youth from continuing on a delinquent pathway.  
**Funding Allocation and Source:** \$60,000/Family Court \$        /
- Detention/Detention Alternatives:* To insure the youth's presence at the next court hearing and to provide short-term (30-60 days) supervision sufficient to safely maintain appropriate youth in the community while awaiting the final disposition of their case.  
**Funding Allocation and Source:** \$        /    \$        /
- Disposition:* To provide the court with a range of options that match the supervision and service needs of youth in their communities in an effort to reduce recidivism.  
**Funding Allocation and Source:** \$        /    \$        /
- Re-entry:* To provide youth transitioning from a JJC residential or day program with additional support for successful reintegration into their communities in an effort to reduce recidivism.  
**Funding Allocation and Source:** \$        /    \$        /

**PROGRAM & PROGRAM DESCRIPTION**

**Program Name or service to be requested (RFP'd):** Family Crisis Intervention Unit  
**Implementing Agency (if known):** Family Intervention Services

**Program/Services Description** *(When providing the information below, please limit your description to how the allocated funds will be implemented, not the agency's full range of services.)*

- **Program Components/Services** *(The description must minimally include the program components and the referral source):* 24/365 crisis response services for families of Sussex County. This is a combined unit with CMRSS. Referrals come from municipal police departments, school, family court, community providers, and families.

- **Target Population:** *Must reflect the Program Category selected above based on its Definition and Rationale*The description must include age, gender (admission criteria): Sussex County families experiencing crisis with children any gender and ages of to 18. Behavior, conduct, or conditions which threaten the safety and well being of the child, or serious conflict within the home.

*If funding a Prevention or Diversion program or service, list the targeted area to be served (i.e. school, neighborhood or town/community). For example, Area Type: School – High School #1.*

Area Type: School - all schools

Area Type: Neighborhood - all Sussex neighborhoods

Area Type: Town/Community - all Sussex municipalities

- **Level of Service Type (Beds, Classes Days, Evaluations, Group Sessions Hours, Individual Sessions or Youth Slots):**

Service Type: Days

Number of Days in program at any given time: 1

Number of unduplicated Youth/Slots served during contract period: 365

Comments: FCIU is 7/365 and the funding is allocated at 1/12.

Service Type: \_\_\_\_\_

Number of \_\_\_\_\_ in program at any given time: \_\_\_\_\_

Number of unduplicated \_\_\_\_\_ served during contract period: \_\_\_\_\_

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

Service Type: \_\_\_\_\_

Number of \_\_\_\_\_ in program at any given time: \_\_\_\_\_

Number of unduplicated \_\_\_\_\_ served during contract period: \_\_\_\_\_

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

Service Type: \_\_\_\_\_

Number of \_\_\_\_\_ in program at any given time: \_\_\_\_\_

Number of unduplicated \_\_\_\_\_ served during contract period: \_\_\_\_\_

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

### **PROGRAM OUTCOME**

List the anticipated impact of this program or service and provide corresponding outcome. For example: Decrease the number of youth engaging in anti-social and delinquent behavior. Eighty (80%) of the twenty-youth involved in the program will not have a formal complaint signed against them for the time that they are in the program.

Anticipated impact: will not result in family court petition
--

Outcome #1: <u>90% families served will be stabilized through local services</u>
--

Anticipated impact: court involvement when necessary will be successful
Outcome #2: <u>90% of families rising to the need of court involvement through petition will be successful</u>
Anticipated impact:
Outcome #3: _____

Describe how each of the outcomes will be measured: Global Assessment of Functioning tool (GAF), family follow up 30/45 days after completion.

**JUSTIFICATION**

1. Refer to the Recommendation section of the Comprehensive Plan, provide the specific data that supports the need for this program or service: FCIU reporting
2. Describe what competitive process was used for selecting this agency or service: n/a
3. Complete this section if the agency listed above was funded to provide this program or service at any time during the previous or current comprehensive planning cycle.
  - a. Refer back to the most recent approved program profile, describe outcomes achieved: families were stabilized and only 2 family court petitions were filed last year.
  - b. Provide the date and results of the last monitoring: to be completed and approved by the YSC.

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

**COUNTY OF Sussex**  
**Youth Services Commission**  
**CY 2018**  
**Membership List**

*County Youth Service Commission Administrator: **Kristen M. Turtur***

NAME & DESIGNEE	POSITION/ REPRESENTATIVE	RACE/ ETHNICITY***
1. Maritza Berdote Byrnwe 2. Noah Franzblau**	Presiding Judge – Family Part of the Superior Court	White
3. Theresa Mahoney 4. Amy Chamer	Family Division Manager (or Assistant Family Division Manager)	White
5. Michael Lasko 6. Star Felty	Chief Probation Officer	White
7. Carl F. Lazzaro 8. Sylvia Petillo**	Highest elected official of County government (e.g., Freeholder/ County Executive)	White
9. Frances Koch 10. Rachelle Jones	County Prosecutor	White
11. Steven Insley** 12. Thomas Militano**	County Public Defender	White
13. Melissa Latronica 14. Benjamin Davey	County DCP&P District Manager	White
15. Cindy Armstrong	County Mental Health Administrator	White
16. Rosalie LaMonte	County Superintendent of Schools	White
17. Augustus Molda	Superintendent of the County Vocational School	White
18. Carol Novrit*	County Human Services Department Director	White
19. Jill Cerullo 20. Tara Preziosi	Youth Shelter Director	White
21. Tom Pollio	Youth Detention Center Director	White
22. Kattya Koenigsberg** 23. Edward Watson	Juvenile Family Crisis Intervention Unit - Director	White
24. Steven Pittigher*	President – Juvenile Officers Association or other law enforcement representative who works primarily with youth/Police	White
25. Nick Loizzi	County Alcoholism and Drug Abuse Director	White
26. Haley McCracken	Workforce Investment Board Representative	White
27.	Business Representative	

28. James Saylor

Court Liaison - Juvenile Justice Commission

White

**Youth Services Commission  
Membership  
Page 2**

NAME & DESIGNEE	POSITION/ REPRESENTATIVE	RACE/ ETHNICITY***
29. James Mahoney	Executive Director, Care Management Organization	White
30. Rachel Helt	Executive Director, Family Support Organization	White
31. Becky Carlson	Executive Director, Center For Prevention and Counseling, Community Partner	White
32. Margaret Pittaluga	Executive Director, Center For Evaluation and Counseling, Community Partner	White
33. Jennifer Colville	Supervisor, Detention Alternatives, Community Partner	White
34. Kathy Baklarz	Registered Nurse, Special Child Health	White
35. Scott Maclean	Ginnie's House, Community Partner	White
36. Nilda Raftopoulos	Executive Director, Youth Advocate Program	White
37. Pamela Hamilton	Representative, DAWNcil	White
38.		
39.		

*NOTE: If a position is vacant, you must submit a copy of the letter sent to the individual requesting their representation.  
NOTE: Positions listed in rows 1-19 are required pursuant to N.J.AC 13:90-2.4.*

**Comments:**

**PLEASE IDENTIFY CHAIR/CO-CHAIRS OF THE YOUTH SERVICES COMMISSION WITH AN ASTERISK (\*).**

**PLEASE IDENTIFY NEW MEMBERS OR INDIVIDUALS FILLING VACANCIES WITH TWO ASTERISKS (\*\*).**

**\*\*\* RACE/ETHNICITY: WHITE, BLACK, HISPANIC OR OTHER (OTHER REPRESENTS NATIVE AMERICAN, ALASKAN NATIVE AND ASIAN OR PACIFIC ISLANDER).**



**PARTNERSHIP 1/3 SET-ASIDE JUSTIFICATION**

**CY 2018**

**COUNTY OF Sussex**

Provide a detailed explanation and justification to support a determination by the county to set aside a portion of its Partnership Program Services allocation (not to exceed 1/3) to be used to implement or expand county-operated sanctions and services. Describe how using a **county-operated** approach to implementing this service represents the most efficient and expedient method for addressing priorities established by the Youth Services Commission.

N/A

Program Profile Number: \_\_\_\_\_ \$ \_\_\_\_\_

Program Profile Number: \_\_\_\_\_ \$ \_\_\_\_\_

Program Profile Number: \_\_\_\_\_ \$ \_\_\_\_\_

Program Profile Number: \_\_\_\_\_ \$ \_\_\_\_\_

Total: \$ \_\_\_\_\_

Has the above justification been approved and endorsed by the County Youth Services Commission by a 2/3 vote?  YES  NO Meeting date: \_\_\_\_\_

**Required Attachments:**

- Program Profile (Attachment C) for each service to be provided
- Line Item Budget
- Minutes reflecting the Youth Services Commission 2/3 vote approving the 1/3 Set-Aside

# Sussex County Youth Services Commission – 2017 Youth Survey

**Directions:** This survey is to be completed by the identified youth in collaboration with an agency staff member; this is **NOT** a parent survey. The SCYSC Planning Committee is requesting a minimum of **15** surveys per agency.

## I. BACKGROUND INFORMATION

**Have you completed this survey within the last two weeks:**  Yes  No

*If you answered yes to the above question please do not continue with this survey.*

**Gender:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Age:** \_\_\_\_\_

### Race/Ethnicity:

- |  |   |   |
|--|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> White, Not of Hispanic Origin | <input type="checkbox"/> African American       | <input type="checkbox"/> Inter-racial/Bi-Racial         |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Hispanic/Latino               | <input type="checkbox"/> Asian/Pacific Islander | <input type="checkbox"/> Native American/Alaskan Native |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____                   |   |   |

### This is who I live with:

- |  |   |   |
|--|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Two parent household                              | <input type="checkbox"/> Single parent home         | <input type="checkbox"/> Adoptive family            |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Foster home                                       | <input type="checkbox"/> Grandparents are guardians | <input type="checkbox"/> Kinship Legal Guardianship |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Friends   | <input type="checkbox"/> Youth Shelter              | <input type="checkbox"/> Detention Center           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Group home  | <input type="checkbox"/> Residential placement      | <input type="checkbox"/> Independent living         |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Homeless  | <input type="checkbox"/> Psychiatric hospital       |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Relative care: Please identify relationship _____ |   |   |

If sibling is caregiver, is s/he over age 21?  Yes  No

**This is the town of my permanent residence:** \_\_\_\_\_

## II. GETTING TO KNOW YOU

Please share what life is like for you right now. In each area, please check anything that applies to your current situation and/or in your family's.

### Positive things in my life:

What do you enjoy doing? \_\_\_\_\_

Who supports you when you need help? \_\_\_\_\_

What dreams do you have for yourself? \_\_\_\_\_

### About My Family

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> My family is involved in my activities                      | <input type="checkbox"/> I receive inappropriate discipline in my family               |
| <input type="checkbox"/> I have too little adult supervision                         | <input type="checkbox"/> There is violence in my family                                |
| <input type="checkbox"/> I have a parent figure who isn't always there for me        | <input type="checkbox"/> There is criminal behavior in my family                       |
| <input type="checkbox"/> I have a poor relationship with my male parent figure       | <input type="checkbox"/> There is substance abuse in my family                         |
| <input type="checkbox"/> I have a poor relationship with female parent figure        | <input type="checkbox"/> There is mental illness in my family                          |
| <input type="checkbox"/> I have been physically abused                               | <input type="checkbox"/> My parents experience conflict in their marriage              |
| <input type="checkbox"/> I have been sexually abused                                 | <input type="checkbox"/> My parents are divorced or separated and don't get along well |
| <input type="checkbox"/> I have experienced neglect                                  | <input type="checkbox"/> My family has trouble with transportation                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> My family has financial problems                            | <input type="checkbox"/> I have a parent(s) in jail or prison                          |
| <input type="checkbox"/> One of my parents has died                                  | <input type="checkbox"/> I have a parent(s) who can't read                             |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Our family members speak different languages                |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> I have a parent with an intellectual/development disability |  |

### About Teen Pregnancy/Parenting

I am:  Mom-to-be  Dad-to-be  I need parenting skills  I am a teen parent

### About Me and My School

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> I am working at my grade level   | <input type="checkbox"/> I'm involved in sports/other school/community activities |
| <input type="checkbox"/> I am disruptive in school        | <input type="checkbox"/> I have attendance problems                               |
| <input type="checkbox"/> I don't do well in school        | <input type="checkbox"/> I have been bullied by fellow students in school         |
| <input type="checkbox"/> I'm working below my grade level | <input type="checkbox"/> I have been cyber-bullied                                |
| <input type="checkbox"/> I refuse to go to school         | <input type="checkbox"/> I'm involved with the Child Study Team                   |

## Sussex County Youth Services Commission – 2017 Youth Survey

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> I've been suspended from school  | <input type="checkbox"/> I've been sent to the Bullying Specialist because of my behavior |
| <input type="checkbox"/> I dropped out of school  | <input type="checkbox"/> I have a GED   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> I don't have money for post high school education                            |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> I don't know how to get into college or get more education after high school |   |

### About My Life Skills and Education

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> I need education support         | <input type="checkbox"/> I need a GED   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> I need job skills                | <input type="checkbox"/> I need vocational/technical skills                         |
| <input type="checkbox"/> I can't find a job               | <input type="checkbox"/> I can't keep a job   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> I need help with transportation  | <input type="checkbox"/> I need help to get driver's license (driving school, etc.) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> I need independent living skills | <input type="checkbox"/> I need help learning to make positive decisions            |

### About My Friends and Peers

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> I have positive friends                            | <input type="checkbox"/> I don't have positive friends                        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> My parents don't like my friends                   | <input type="checkbox"/> I am influenced by gangs                             |
| <input type="checkbox"/> I have a hard time getting along with other people | <input type="checkbox"/> I have no difficulty getting along with other people |
| <input type="checkbox"/> I have been bullied in my community                | <input type="checkbox"/> I have bullied other children                        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> I need help with anger management                  | <input type="checkbox"/> I need help learning to resolve conflicts            |
| <input type="checkbox"/> I don't know where to find positive social outlets |   |

### About Me and Substance Use

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> I use marijuana                                  | <input type="checkbox"/> I use heroin  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> I use alcohol                                    | <input type="checkbox"/> I use tobacco |
| <input type="checkbox"/> I use prescription drugs not prescribed for me   |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> I use other substances: (e.g., Meth, Molly, OTC) |  |

### About My Health

- |   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> I have health problems | <input type="checkbox"/> My parents have health problems | <input type="checkbox"/> My family has no health insurance | <input type="checkbox"/> My family has no dental insurance |
| <input type="checkbox"/> I have dental problems |  |  |  |

### About My Behavior

- |   |   |   |
|---|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> I get into physical fights       | <input type="checkbox"/> I challenge authority                | <input type="checkbox"/> I have frequent arguments            |
| <input type="checkbox"/> I get easily frustrated          | <input type="checkbox"/> I have a hard time controlling anger | <input type="checkbox"/> I have poor problem solving skills   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> I'm involved with police/court   | <input type="checkbox"/> I have been cruel to animals         | <input type="checkbox"/> I like to set fires                  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> I am frequently depressed/upset  | <input type="checkbox"/> I have low self-esteem               | <input type="checkbox"/> I sometimes hurt myself deliberately |
| <input type="checkbox"/> I have suicidal thoughts         | <input type="checkbox"/> My peers stay away from me           | <input type="checkbox"/> I deliberately keep away from others |
| <input type="checkbox"/> I have run away from home/school | <input type="checkbox"/> I question my gender                 | <input type="checkbox"/> I have sexual orientation issues     |

### About My Computer/Social Media Use

- |  |   |  |
|--|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> I have Facebook page:                               | Check One   | Check One  |
| If yes, how much time do you spend on Facebook?                              | _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Min. <input type="checkbox"/> Hrs. per | <input type="checkbox"/> Day <input type="checkbox"/> Week |
| <input type="checkbox"/> I have Twitter account:                             |   |  |
| If yes, how much time do you spend on Twitter?                               | _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Min. <input type="checkbox"/> Hrs. per | <input type="checkbox"/> Day <input type="checkbox"/> Week |
| <input type="checkbox"/> I have Instagram account:                           |   |  |
| If yes, how much time do you spend on Instagram?                             | _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Min. <input type="checkbox"/> Hrs. per | <input type="checkbox"/> Day <input type="checkbox"/> Week |
| <input type="checkbox"/> I am into gaming:                                   |   |  |
| If yes, how much time do you spend gaming?                                   | _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Min. <input type="checkbox"/> Hrs. per | <input type="checkbox"/> Day <input type="checkbox"/> Week |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Does anyone monitor your computer/social media use? | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No              |  |

### III. SERVICES/PROGRAMS NEEDED/OTHER COMMENTS

Please tell us about any services or programs that would help you and/or your family, such as recreation programs, parenting skills, employment skills, financial management assistance, or share any other comments you have for us (if more space is needed, feel free to add additional pages) and THANK YOU for helping us plan for you!

---



---

2015 Alternatives to Detention Information to supplement the lack of JAMS data

2015	SCDA	CEC	CFPC	Total	% of population @ 362
Males:	39	43	178	260	71.8%
Females:	11	7	84	102	28.2%
White:	45	39	174	258	71%
AA:	1	5	10	16	4.4%
Hisp:	4	3	11	18	5%
Other:	0	3	8	11	3%
<i>Unknown:</i>			30	30	8.3%



**Comparison Between Sussex County and New Jersey Middle School Students  
2012 New Jersey Middle School Risk and Protective Factor Survey**

The 2012 New Jersey Middle School Risk and Protective Factor Survey was commissioned by the Division of Mental Health and Addiction Services (DMHAS) to assess the use of alcohol, tobacco, and other drugs (ATOD), track anti-social behaviors and document risk and protective factors among New Jersey youth. Administration of the survey was carried out by the Bloustein Center for Survey Research (BCSR), Bloustein School of Public Policy at Rutgers, the State University of New Jersey. The survey contained 6,544 respondents statewide, yielding a random sample with a margin of error of +/- 0.8%. The Sussex County portion of the sample contained 433 respondents in 2007, 300 in 2010, and 326 in 2012; therefore, county estimates have a margin of error of approximately +/-2.6, +/- 3.2%, and +/- 3.1%, respectively. Selected comparisons between Sussex County and New Jersey as well as Sussex County in 2007, 2010, and 2012 are presented below. In 2012, the overall response rate in New Jersey was 40.6%, compared to 51.9% for Sussex County.

	County vs. State (2012)			County Trends		
	Sussex County %	New Jersey %	Difference %	2007 %	2010 %	2012 %
<b>Alcohol Use</b>						
<b>Lifetime use of alcohol</b> (Used alcohol one or more times during their life.)	18.8	23.1	-4.3	*	23.9	18.8
<b>Annual use of alcohol</b> (Used alcohol one or more times during the past year.)	15.0	17.3	-2.3	*	19.7	15.0
<b>Past 30 day use of alcohol</b> (Used alcohol one or more times during the past 30 days.)	9.1	9.0	0.1	*	9.0	9.1
<b>Early onset of alcohol use</b> (Used alcohol for the first time at age 11 or younger.)	3.5	7.8	-4.3	*	8.1	3.5
<b>Lifetime binge use of alcohol</b> (Having 3 or more drinks of alcohol in a row within a couple of hours during their life.)	9.2	7.6	1.6	*	7.9	9.2
<b>Annual binge use of alcohol</b> (Having 3 or more drinks of alcohol in a row within a couple of hours during the past year.)	7.4	6.3	1.1	*	6.5	7.4
<b>Cigarette Use</b>						
<b>Lifetime use of cigarettes</b> (Used cigarettes one or more times during their life.)	6.6	7.6	-1.0	6.2	7.4	6.6
<b>Annual use of cigarettes</b> (Used cigarettes one or more times during the past year.)	6.1	5.7	0.4	5.2	6.6	6.1
<b>Past 30 day use of cigarettes</b> (Used cigarettes one or more times during the past 30 days.)	4.3	3.2	1.1	3.9	3.3	4.3
<b>Early onset of cigarette use</b> (Used cigarettes for the first time at age 11 or younger.)	2.0	2.7	-0.7	1.5	1.5	2.0

Red denotes a statistically significant deviation above the state estimate, and Green denotes a statistically significant deviation below the state estimate, at 95% confidence.

\* Item was either not measured this year, or the question wording differed, making comparison unreliable.

	County vs. State (2012)			County Trends		
	Sussex County %	New Jersey %	Difference %	2007 %	2010 %	2012 %
<b>Prescription Drug Use</b>						
<b>Lifetime use of prescription drugs</b> (Used prescription drugs one or more times during their life.)	4.4	5.6	-1.2	5.4	4.5	4.4
<b>Annual use of prescription drugs</b> (Used prescription drugs one or more times during the past year.)	3.4	3.9	-0.5	4.4	4.2	3.4
<b>Past 30 day use of prescription drugs</b> (Used prescription drugs one or more times during the past 30 days.)	2.5	2.0	0.5	*	1.5	2.5
<b>Early onset of prescription drug use</b> (Used prescription drugs for the first time at age 11 or younger.)	2.2	2.7	-0.5	1.8	2.5	2.2
<b>Marijuana Use</b>						
<b>Lifetime use of marijuana</b> (Used marijuana one or more times during their life.)	6.0	5.4	0.6	4.5	3.9	6.0
<b>Annual use of marijuana</b> (Used marijuana one or more times during the past year.)	5.9	4.9	1.0	4.1	3.0	5.9
<b>Past 30 day use of marijuana</b> (Used marijuana one or more times during the past 30 days.)	5.2	3.3	1.9	3.1	1.4	5.2
<b>Early onset of marijuana use</b> (Used marijuana for the first time at age 11 or younger.)	0.6	0.6	0.0	1.2	0.3	0.6
<b>Inhalant Use</b>						
<b>Lifetime use of inhalants</b> (Used inhalants one or more times during their life.)	2.1	4.1	-2.0	6.5	6.0	2.1
<b>Annual use of inhalants</b> (Used inhalants one or more times during the past year.)	2.0	2.7	-0.7	4.8	4.9	2.0
<b>Past 30 day use of inhalants</b> (Used inhalants one or more times during the past 30 days.)	1.0	1.6	-0.6	*	3.2	1.0
<b>Early onset of inhalant use</b> (Used inhalants for the first time at age 11 or younger.)	0.0	1.6	-1.6	2.2	3.0	0.0
<b>Use of Other Illicit Drugs</b>						
<b>Lifetime use of any other illicit drug</b> (Used any other illicit drug one or more times during their life.)	2.2	2.5	-0.3	2.9	2.8	2.2
<b>Annual use of any other illicit drug</b> (Used any other illicit drug one or more times during the past year.)	1.7	1.6	0.1	2.3	2.0	1.7
<b>Early onset of any other illicit drug</b> (Used any other illicit drug for the first time at age 11 or younger.)	0.7	0.9	-0.2	0.9	1.3	0.7

Red denotes a statistically significant deviation above the state estimate, and Green denotes a statistically significant deviation below the state estimate, at 95% confidence.

\* Item was either not measured this year, or the question wording differed, making comparison unreliable.

	<b>County vs. State (2012)</b>			<b>County Trends</b>		
	<b>Sussex County %</b>	<b>New Jersey %</b>	<b>Difference %</b>	<b>2007 %</b>	<b>2010 %</b>	<b>2012 %</b>
<b>Gambling</b>						
<b>Gambling in the past year</b> (Engaged in gambling at least one time during the past year.)	13.3	15.6	-2.3	**	17.1	13.3
<b>Anti-Social Behaviors</b>						
<b>Getting suspended</b> (At least one time during the past year.)	5.5	9.6	-4.1	4.9	4.0	5.5
<b>Attacking someone with intent to harm</b> (At least one time during the past year.)	6.4	7.9	-1.5	7.7	9.0	6.4
<b>Being drunk or high at school</b> (At least one time during the past year.)	3.0	3.3	-0.3	2.2	4.4	3.0
<b>Being involved in a gang, with or without a name</b> (At least one time during their lifetime.)	2.1	2.3	-0.2	4.6	4.6	2.1
<b>Being arrested</b> (At least one time during the past year.)	0.0	2.0	-2.0	1.8	0.9	0.0
<b>Carrying a handgun</b> (At least one time during the past year.)	1.1	1.6	-0.5	1.1	0.5	1.1
<b>Selling drugs</b> (At least one time during the past year.)	1.9	1.3	0.6	0.5	2.1	1.9
<b>Attempting to steal a vehicle</b> (At least one time during the past year.)	0.8	0.5	0.3	0.9	0.9	0.8
<b>Taking a handgun to school</b> (At least one time during the past year.)	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.3

Red denotes a statistically significant deviation above the state estimate, and Green denotes a statistically significant deviation below the state estimate, at 95% confidence.

\* Item was either not measured this year, or the question wording differed, making comparison unreliable.